

EXHIBIT 1

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 1

IN RE: PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE :
LITIGATION :

PATRICIA L. HAMMONS, : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
Plaintiff, : PHILADELPHIA COUNTY
vs. : MAY TERM, 2013
: :
ETHICON, INC., et al., :
Defendants. : No. 003913

November 21, 2015

Oral sworn videotaped de bene esse
at deposition of DANIEL S. ELLIOTT, M.D.,
held MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC, 103
Eisenhower Parkway, 2nd Floor, Roseland, New
Jersey, before Margaret M. Reihl, RPR, CCR,
CRR, CLR and Notary Public, on the above date,
commencing at 9:20 a.m.

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
deps@golkow.com

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES: 2 3 MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC 4 BY: ADAM M. SLATER, ESQUIRE 5 103 Eisenhower Parkway, 2nd Floor Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 228-9898 aslater@mskf.net 6 Counsel for Plaintiff 7 8 KLINE & SPECTER, P.C. 9 BY: SHANIN SPECTER, ESQUIRE 10 1525 Locust Street, 19th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (215) 772-1000 shinan.specter@klinespecter.com Counsel for Plaintiff 11 12 GOLDMAN ISMAIL TOMASELLI BRENNAN & BAUM LLP BY: TAREK ISMAIL, ESQUIRE 13 564 West Randolph Street, Suite 400 Chicago, Illinois 60661 14 (312) 881-5970 tismail@goldmanismail.com 15 -AND- BY: JOE W. TOMASELLI, JR., ESQUIRE 16 3131 Turtle Creek, Suite 1210 Dallas, Texas 75219 17 (214) 880-9903 jtomaselli@goldmanismail.com 18 Representing Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon 19 Also Present: Thomas Keighley, Videographer 20 21 22 23 24</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p> <p>1 PLT0108 Article, "Transvaginal mesh technique for pelvic organ prolapse repair: mesh exposure management and risk factors" [ETH-02794 through 02799] 101 2 3 PLT0139 Article, "Les prothèses synthétiques dans la cure de prolapsus génitaux par la voie vaginale : bilan en 2005" 109 4 5 PLT0302 Article, "Does the Prolift system cause dyspareunia?" 310 6 7 P0980 E-mail string, top one dated 1/13/05 [ETH.MESH.02286052 through 02286053] 162 8 9 PLT0516 Article, "Trocar-Guided Mesh Compared With Conventional Vaginal Repair in Recurrent Prolapse" 159 10 11 P1005 Brochure, Gynecare Prolift® [ETH.MESH.02341454 through 02341459] 148 12 13 PLT1093 Article, "Incidence and risk factors for reoperation of surgically treated pelvic organ prolapse" 69 14 15 PLT1095 Article, "Surgical management of mesh-related complications after prior pelvic floor reconstructive surgery with mesh" 119 16 17 PLT1096 Journal of Pelvic Medicine & Surgery volume 14, Number 2, March/April 2008, excerpt 311 18 19 P1306 Brochure, Pelvic Organ Prolapse "Get the Facts, Be Informed, Make YOUR Best Decision" 19 20 21 P1557 E-mail dated 10/28/05 [ETH-80249] 166 22 23 24</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p> <p>1 INDEX 2 WITNESS: Page 3 DANIEL S. ELLIOTT, M.D. 4 By Mr. Slater 7, 304 By Mr. Ismail 178, 326 5 --- 6 7 EXHIBITS 8 DEFENSE DEPOSITION EXHIBIT MARKED 9 No. 1 Article, "Long-term quality of life outcomes and retreatment rates after robotic sacrocolpopexy" 241 10 11 --- 12 PLAINTIFFS' TRIAL EXHIBITS-(previously marked) PAGE 13 14 PLT0011 ACOG Practice Bulletin, Clinical Management Guidelines for Obstetrician-Gynecologists 15 Number 79, February 2007 105 16 P0049 Clinical Study Report [ETH.MESH.00012009 through 17 12089] 77 18 PLT0062 Journal De Gynecologie Obstetrique, Conceptual advances 19 in the surgical management of genital prolapse 20 November 2004 42 21 PLT0067 Article, "Complications from vaginally placed mesh in pelvic reconstructive surgery" 89 22 23 24</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p> <p>1 P1593 Slide deck, "Gynecare Prolift, Pelvic Floor Repair Systems" 31 2 3 P2227 E-mail dated 9/3/09 [ETH.MESH.00086463 through 86465] 64 4 5 P2239 Curriculum Vitae and Bibliography Daniel S. Elliott, MD 7 6 P2402 Material Safety Data Sheet, Marlex® HGX-030-01 Polypropylene 315 7 8 P2452 FDA Letter dated 7/9/12 [ETH.MESH.04474808 through 04474809] 323 9 10 P2503 FDA Letter dated April 2012 [ETH.MESH.04474308 through 04474312] 320 11 12 P2731 The New England Journal of Medicine, "Corrections" 127 13 --- 14 15 PRIOR TESTIMONY OF DR. ELLIOTT REFERENCED: 16 TRANSCRIPT OF BELLEW TRIAL DAY 2, March 3, 2015 17 TRANSCRIPT OF BELLEW TRIAL DAY 3, March 4, 2015 18 TRANSCRIPT OF DEPOSITION November 15, 2012 19 TRANSCRIPT OF DEPOSITION November 16, 2012 20 21 22 23 24</p>

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We are now 2 on the record. My name is Thomas Keighley, and 3 I am a videographer for Golkow Technologies. 4 Today's date is November 21st, 2015. The time 5 is approximately 9:20 a.m. This video 6 deposition is being held in Roseland, New 7 Jersey at 103 Eisenhower Parkway at the offices 8 of Mazie Slater Katz & Freeman. We are here in 9 the matter of Pelvic Mesh, specifically Hammons 10 versus Ethicon, Inc., et al. This is for the 11 Court of Common Pleas, Lehigh County. The 12 deponent is Dr. Daniel Elliott.</p> <p>13 Counsel, your appearances will be noted on 14 the stenographic record, and the court reporter 15 is Peg Reihl, if she could swear in the witness 16 and we can proceed.</p> <p>17 ... DANIEL S. ELLIOTT, M.D., having been 18 duly sworn as a witness, was examined and 19 testified as follows ...</p> <p>20 MR. ISMAIL: Just if I can note for the 21 stenographic record, I guess now for the video 22 as well, there was a cross-notice filed for 23 this notice -- of this deposition in the MDL to 24 which Ethicon filed a motion to quash. That</p>	<p>1 A. This is my current Curriculum Vitae. 2 Q. That's a list of your background, your 3 education, your qualifications, that type of thing? 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. Would you tell the jury what your 6 profession is, please. 7 A. I am a urologic reconstructive surgeon at 8 the Mayo Clinic. 9 Q. And tell the jury where you're a licensed 10 physician. 11 A. In the state of Minnesota. 12 Q. What is the Mayo Clinic where you work? 13 A. It's a large tertiary care medical center, 14 meaning -- tertiary care just means the end of the line 15 type thing, you don't get referred on from there, which 16 is a multi-specialty practice. 17 Q. And where is that located? 18 A. In Rochester, Minnesota. 19 Q. Tell the jury a little bit about your 20 educational background, where you went to medical 21 school, your residency, the training you did from that 22 point forward briefly. 23 A. Medical school was in southern California 24 at Loma Linda University School of Medicine. Then I</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p> <p>1 motion is still pending. I just want to make 2 sure that objection was preserved and noted on 3 this record.</p> <p>4 MR. SLATER: My understanding is just from 5 seeing some correspondence that the plaintiffs 6 maintained their cross-notice, and I guess that 7 will be decided by the federal judges.</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: Yes, thank you.</p> <p>9 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>10 Q. You can look at me when you speak, 11 Dr. Elliott. It's actually fine either way, okay?</p> <p>12 A. Okay.</p> <p>13 MR. SLATER: Are we ready to proceed? Did 14 you swear the witness? You swore him in? 15 Okay, great. Okay. Let's proceed.</p> <p>16 ---</p> <p>17 DIRECT EXAMINATION</p> <p>18 ---</p> <p>19 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>20 Q. Good morning, Dr. Elliott.</p> <p>21 A. Good morning.</p> <p>22 Q. Dr. Elliott, we've marked for 23 identification a document P2239. Can you tell us what 24 that document is?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p> <p>1 did a one-year general surgery at the Mayo Clinic in 2 Rochester, Minnesota, followed by five years of 3 urologic surgery training at Mayo Clinic. I was asked 4 to come on staff and then did a one-year advanced 5 surgical fellowship at the Baylor College of Medicine 6 in Houston.</p> <p>7 Q. Would you tell the jury about your medical 8 practice, what you do day to day?</p> <p>9 A. It's the reconstructive urology means 10 we're taking care of problems that are occurring in the 11 pelvis, complications dealing with males and females. 12 Majority of my practice, probably roughly two-thirds is 13 female, one-third is male.</p> <p>14 Q. What are the types of conditions you 15 treat?</p> <p>16 A. Breaking down into stress incontinence, 17 both male and female, pelvic organ prolapse for females 18 and then the complications arising from those 19 treatments.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you teach, do you have any teaching 21 appointments?</p> <p>22 A. Yes. I'm a teacher at Mayo as far as 23 teaching residents, rotations on my service, lectures 24 for medical students. Also, I guess you could call it</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p> <p>1 an educator with the SUFU, which is Society of 2 Urodynamics & Female Urology, I'm on the education -- 3 Q. Say that a little slower. What is SUFU? 4 A. Society of Urodynamics & Female Urology, 5 that's the large, arguably the most elite in the United 6 States society dealing with female urology and pelvic 7 floor function, and so I'm on the education committee 8 for that. So that there's education as far as future 9 education for both residents, though, mainly for 10 individuals who have already graduated and are in 11 practice. 12 Q. As part of your training and teaching of 13 residents, do you have occasion to teach with regard to 14 IFUs, the instructions for use for medical devices? 15 A. It would be on a daily basis with 16 residents, especially new residents who are coming on 17 my service, we go over the IFUs, if we're using a 18 medical device, and then if there's a new product that 19 comes out, we'll review those. 20 Q. When you teach residents about the IFU, 21 what are the types of things you focus on when you're 22 actually teaching day-to-day? 23 A. Well, we go over everything. It depends 24 upon if it's a new resident or not. Let's take a new</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p> <p>1 Q. Do you act as a peer reviewer? 2 A. Yes, for I say roughly 16 journals. 3 Q. Have you published articles in the 4 peer-reviewed medical literature yourself? 5 A. Yes, I have. 6 Q. Do you have experience treating prolapse 7 with mesh? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Tell the jury that experience. 10 A. Surgically treating prolapse is dealing 11 with only transabdominal or robotic. I have never 12 placed transvaginal mesh for prolapse. 13 Q. Do you perform procedures to treat 14 prolapse that do not involve mesh? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Tell the jury about that. 17 A. Well, there's going to be a spectrum of 18 different conditions, bladder, rectum or enterocele 19 where the intestines fall down, and I have been trained 20 and daily or every other day perform transvaginal 21 prolapse repairs, but not with mesh. 22 Q. What do you use to do those procedures? 23 A. It's the traditional colporrhaphy is the 24 name of it using sutures, absorbable sutures.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p> <p>1 resident, typical one, it's every six weeks I have a 2 new resident on my service. We sit down, we go over 3 the IFU, we go over the procedure, how it's described 4 and then the various different warnings or potential 5 complications. 6 Q. As part of that process, have you learned 7 what it is that you're looking for in an IFU and what 8 needs to be taught to physicians to look for? 9 A. Oh, absolutely, but that's not just with 10 IFUs. That's also as far as paper writing and 11 reviewing of manuscripts. 12 Q. Do you have involvement with the 13 peer-reviewed literature? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Tell the jury your involvement -- first of 16 all, what is the peer-reviewed medical literature? 17 A. Peer reviewed for any article coming out 18 in a reputable journal, it will be reviewed by multiple 19 individuals within your peer group, so that's why it's 20 peer reviewed. So I'm a reviewer for some 16 different 21 journals, more or less, and so your responsibility is 22 to obtain a manuscript, look at it critically. The 23 goal is to find weaknesses in the paper, strengths in 24 the paper, what is lacking, where it can be improved.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p> <p>1 Q. Have you attended at any point training 2 with regard to mesh kits like the Prolift®? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Tell us about that. 5 A. It was with AMS, I was an instructor, they 6 had combined incontinence and prolapse. I taught the 7 incontinence part, but also the cadavers right next to 8 me were where the instructors were teaching the 9 transvaginal prolapse repair, so I went over and then 10 did that with those instructors. 11 Q. And that was for the AMS Apogee and 12 Perigee? 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. Is that a similar product to the Prolift®? 15 A. Very similar, yes. 16 Q. Over the years have you become involved in 17 treating patients who had Prolifts® placed by other 18 doctors at other locations where they've had 19 complications? 20 A. Correct, yes, I have. 21 Q. Tell us about your treatment of women with 22 Prolift® complications or other mesh complications as 23 well. 24 A. That began roughly 2006, 2007, in that</p>

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 14	Page 16
<p>1 time frame, I don't remember the exact time, but that 2 was the ballpark that we started seeing various 3 different complications like vaginal extrusion, organ 4 erosion and more commonly pelvic pain.</p> <p>5 Q. In your practice, have you treated 6 patients who have had complications from the Prolift®?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And is that what you were just describing? 9 Is that among the patients that you've treated with 10 those conditions?</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 Q. As part of your treatment of patients with 13 Prolift® complications, did you become familiar with 14 the Prolift® system?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. What did you do?</p> <p>17 A. Well, initially, besides just when these 18 complications would come in, you know, I'm attending 19 meetings, national, international meetings, we would be 20 discussing it with colleagues in the field, 21 urogynecology colleagues, my institution. We would go 22 back online and look at the product, because, remember, 23 I chose not to place the product, so we had to learn 24 about how is this put in, reviewing of manuscripts. We</p>	<p>1 Prolift®.</p> <p>2 Q. Have you actually spoken at any national 3 meetings to other physicians about the treatment of 4 mesh complications?</p> <p>5 A. Well, numerous times, most -- numerous 6 times and most recently in February, again, at that 7 SUFU meeting, Society of Urodynamics & Female Urology, 8 where I was the invited lecturer on management of 9 complications of the mesh.</p> <p>10 Q. Have you previously been qualified as an 11 expert in a Federal Court case with regard to the 12 Prolift®?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403.</p> <p>15 MR. SLATER: We offer Dr. Elliott as an 16 expert in the fields of urology and female 17 pelvic medicine and reconstructive surgery.</p> <p>18 MR. ISMAIL: We'll reserve for our 19 qualifications for cross.</p> <p>20 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>21 Q. Doctor, in the course of your testimony, 22 I'll be asking you to -- if you have opinions on 23 certain issues.</p> <p>24 You realize that, right?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p> <p>1 always do that, a PubMed search, which is the largest 2 search engine looking for articles about this and 3 management of complications.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you have the opportunity to see the 5 IFU at some point as part of your practice as well?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, with the Prolift®, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Was it helpful to you in treating the 8 complications to learn about the Prolift® system?</p> <p>9 A. From the IFU?</p> <p>10 Q. The IFU and the other material and 11 conversations you had, did you find that was helpful to 12 you in treating the complications?</p> <p>13 A. Discussing with colleagues and review of 14 manuscripts was. I'd have to say that the IFU for the 15 procedure was helpful, how it was going, the management 16 of the complications, no.</p> <p>17 Q. How prevalent has been your treatment of 18 mesh complications, including Prolift® complications, 19 in your practice?</p> <p>20 A. Well, it depends what time frame you're 21 talking about. 2005, uncommon; as the time goes on, 22 more and more common, such that in any given week I'm 23 seeing three to five or maybe more patients with 24 various different mesh complications, including the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. In the course of your testimony, do you 3 understand that if you offer an opinion, whether I ask 4 you for an opinion or if you offer it in the course of 5 your testimony, that it must be to a reasonable degree 6 of medical certainty?</p> <p>7 A. Correct.</p> <p>8 Q. So that I don't have to keep repeating 9 that phrase over and over, can we have an understanding 10 that if you offer an opinion, it will be to a 11 reasonable degree of medical certainty, or you will 12 tell us otherwise?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. What I'd like to do now is you have 15 a list of materials reviewed, correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>17 Q. And just tell us what that list is.</p> <p>18 A. It's a fairly brief summary of all the 19 materials that I've reviewed pertaining to the mesh and 20 specifically Prolift®. Number one was the medical 21 literature that I reviewed, that would have been mainly 22 through PubMed, which is the largest search engine for 23 medical literature, clinical and preclinical studies. 24 Ethicon and J&J internal documents and videos, surgical</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 18	Page 20
<p>1 videos usually. Ethicon and J&J current and former 2 employees' depositions, which there's a large number of 3 those, which we did not glean out each one, but there's 4 a large number. Depositions of the Ethicon consultants 5 and the New England Journal of Medicine editors and, 6 lastly, Ethicon and J&J product labeling and marketing 7 documents, like the IFU and patient brochures.</p> <p>8 Q. Those categories of information, you've 9 set forth a reliance list of what you've relied on in 10 this case?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. With regard to the Johnson & 13 Johnson and Ethicon internal documents that were not 14 publicly available, was that significant information to 15 you in forming your opinions in this case?</p> <p>16 A. Very much so, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>18 A. Because as a surgeon active in practice, 19 attending meetings, reviewing of the medical 20 literature, that gives me one side of complications or 21 what is known. What I was unaware of prior to this 22 litigation is what was the degree, severity of the 23 complications that were known prior to that and was not 24 available to the -- say, the average doctor on the</p>	<p>1 picture, which was normal anatomy, the second one now 2 has a schematic -- again, understand it's in a very 3 simplified form, which there's nothing wrong with that, 4 but it's just showing the anterior bladder wall falling 5 down, which is called a cystocele.</p> <p>6 Q. Why does that happen? What is it 7 physiologically that happens that allows the bladder to 8 bulge down into the vagina?</p> <p>9 A. Be multiple different factors, increasing 10 age, childbirth, possibly hysterectomy, obesity, 11 chronic cough, factors like that that increase the 12 strain on the pelvis that would have the tissue weaken 13 over time and then fall down.</p> <p>14 Q. When you refer to the tissue, you're 15 talking about the tissue of the pelvic floor?</p> <p>16 A. That's correct, the vaginal tissue, 17 though, technically, it's the tissue underneath the 18 vagina that's holding things up and it's weakened 19 because of those aforementioned factors.</p> <p>20 Q. Let's turn to the next page. Let's turn 21 to Page 7 of the patient brochure. There's an 22 illustration of a rectocele. Can you just tell us 23 simply what that is showing.</p> <p>24 A. Yeah, a rectocele, think of it as just the</p>
Page 19	Page 21
<p>1 street.</p> <p>2 Q. Let's go to an exhibit that's on the top 3 of your pile there P1306, which is Prolift® patient 4 brochure.</p> <p>5 Is this a document you're familiar with?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>7 Q. Is this a document you've relied on in 8 part in forming your opinions in this matter?</p> <p>9 A. That is correct.</p> <p>10 Q. What I'd like to do is just for 11 illustrative purposes turn to Page 5, please, and there 12 is a diagram of normal pelvic anatomy.</p> <p>13 And the jury will have this up on their screen 14 to see. Can you just tell the jury very simply what of 15 significance is shown in this simple illustration?</p> <p>16 A. Well, it's a cartoon or a schematic of the 17 female pelvis in a coronal or going down the middle, 18 and it's just showing the anatomy with the bladder, 19 urethra, vagina and uterus. It's a quite simplified 20 anatomy view for a patient.</p> <p>21 Q. Now, let's turn to the next page, Page 6, 22 and there's an illustration of a cystocele, and can you 23 tell the jury what they're seeing there?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, this in comparison to the first</p>	<p>1 opposite of what I described of where the bladder is 2 falling down, as we say, into the vagina, this is where 3 the rectum is ballooning up into the vagina, again, 4 because of those other issues of pregnancy, childbirth 5 and weakening of the tissues.</p> <p>6 Q. There's a diagram on Page 7 of uterine 7 prolapse. Very simply, what is that?</p> <p>8 A. Again, similar to the other issues, this 9 is where the uterus is falling down, again, due to lack 10 of support or weakened support.</p> <p>11 Q. Is surgery required for all pelvic organ 12 prolapse?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. Is it an elective surgery or a surgery 15 that must be done in the vast majority of cases?</p> <p>16 A. It is a quality -- it's very important to 17 emphasize this, it's a quality of life problem, meaning 18 the patient is really in charge as far as the 19 decision-making. So for the majority of individuals in 20 my practice, observation or conservative therapies are 21 done. It is very rarely in the United States a 22 necessity that surgery has to be done.</p> <p>23 Q. Let's turn to the list of treatment 24 options. It would be the second PowerPoint slide,</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 22	Page 24
<p>1 treatment options for pelvic organ prolapse, and I'll 2 ask you to briefly go through the list and tell us what 3 each of them -- what each of these options are? 4 A. It's a summary that made up of options or 5 historical options for treatment of pelvic organ 6 prolapse in women. As I mentioned, it's a quality of 7 life problem. So the first option is observation and 8 being conservative, just reassuring the patient that if 9 it's not bothering them, don't do anything. If it's 10 minimally bothersome, you know, you may or may not 11 choose to do something. 12 Next option is a pessary, which is a -- kind of 13 think of it like a plug, a silicone or a plastic plug 14 being placed in the vagina to help hold things up. 15 Historically, that was done a lot, now a little bit 16 less so, but still it's a conservative, nonsurgical 17 option. 18 Q. Basically, it would be placed under the 19 bladder to hold the bladder up? 20 A. It's placed in the vagina underneath the 21 bladder to either hold up the bladder, hold up the 22 uterus or hold up the rectum, dependent upon what 23 problem they're trying to fix. 24 The next one is the traditional sutured</p>	<p>1 The next is biologic grafts. This is where you 2 can use either tissue from a tissue bank, like 3 cadaveric tissue, which is not the patient's, but it's 4 human, or you can use xenografts, which is coming from 5 a different source, like pig or cow. And then you also 6 have synthetic grafts, which is a mesh that's placed in 7 the vagina. 8 Last on the list is the mesh kit, in this 9 particular case the Prolift®, but it can be multiple 10 other mesh kits out there. 11 Q. What are the most prevalent surgical 12 procedures for the treatment of prolapse? 13 A. Currently as far -- well, again, it 14 depends upon what type of prolapse you're talking 15 about, because there's going to be a lot of different 16 ones. 17 Q. Let's talk about, for example, a 18 cystocele. 19 A. Cystocele would be an anterior 20 colporrhaphy. The traditional nonsutured repair would 21 be most common. 22 Q. Are the various abdominal sacrocolpopexies 23 that you described both open and laparoscopic or 24 robotic prevalent as well?</p>
Page 23	Page 25
<p>1 repairs, like the colporrhaphy. Colporrhaphy just 2 means repair of the vagina, so you can have an anterior 3 colporrhaphy of the bladder, posterior colporrhaphy for 4 rectum, and that's using sutures, the traditional type 5 of repair, which I do very commonly. 6 We also mentioned briefly here the sacrospinous 7 ligament fixation and uterosacral ligament fixation. 8 Those are for what's called vault prolapses, where the 9 whole vagina is falling out, so through the vagina, you 10 can suture it to various different structures to 11 provide support. 12 And then you have the transabdominal 13 sacrocolpopexy. This is a procedure that can be done 14 either with an incision or done laparoscopically or 15 done with a robot, which is my preferred route. 16 Q. What does that mean laparoscopically or 17 with a robot? 18 A. The procedure is fixing the vagina up to 19 the sacrum. It can be done with an incision, where 20 it's opened up, or using a laparoscope, which is 21 cameras through little ports, four or five ports or 22 using a robot, which is basically a robot attached to 23 the cameras looking in. It's a different way of doing 24 it.</p>	<p>1 A. They're very common, but, again, that's 2 for total vaginal vault prolapse, yes, and depending on 3 the various different regions, like in the south, it is 4 the most common procedure performed for that common 5 problem. 6 Q. Doctor, I'm going to now hand across the 7 table to you what we are marking as P2810, and this 8 would be the actual Prolift® anterior repair kit, and 9 what I'll ask you to do first is just to show the jury 10 what the Prolift® kit is. We've obviously started to 11 open it to save time, and the camera will show the 12 instruments and tell the jury what we're seeing there. 13 A. Well, important probably, let's go back to 14 the basics. It comes as a kit. So what the surgeon 15 gets is a kit in a box. 16 Q. And I'll hand you the box, which also has 17 the booklet in it as well. 18 A. Which the nurse brings this to you, takes 19 it out of the box. The surgeon opens it up, and so 20 it's a contained kit, as opposed to multiple different 21 pieces. It's a self-contained operation, a kit. 22 So then you're going to have the various 23 different components of the kit, which you will have 24 the trocar, however long that is, 15 inches or so</p>

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 26	Page 28
<p>1 curved. It's curved for gaining access, we can go into 2 it later, as far as through the obturator foramen or 3 how this goes in, so it goes in through it and --</p> <p>4 Q. What does that mean? If you're going to 5 say something technical, you might as well tell the 6 jury, obturator foramen.</p> <p>7 A. You have the pelvis, male or female, 8 doesn't matter, you have the obturator foramen, which 9 are the holes off to the side, kind of look like this. 10 As I explain it to residents, I go like this is how it 11 is. So you have the vagina here and then these 12 obturator foramen which are the big bones attached to 13 it with overlying muscles, gracilis, abductor longus, a 14 bunch of -- four or five different muscles overlying 15 this.</p> <p>16 So when you're gaining access to the vagina, 17 you will go through the obturator foramen from the 18 outside in and go down to the vagina. So there will be 19 a surgeon's hand in the vagina to grab this. Again, 20 this is the trocar gaining access going through those 21 muscles, through the obturator foramen into the vagina. 22 You should have this loaded up here, then there's the 23 cannula that actually goes over this.</p> <p>24 So when the surgeon goes in, then he pulls it</p>	<p>1 So this will go from the outside through the 2 obturator foramen into the vagina. This is pulled out. 3 The retrieval device is placed through it and then the 4 mesh is pulled through it. So at the end of the 5 procedure, this is very important, all of these, the 6 trocar, the retrieval device and the cannula are no 7 longer with the patient. The only thing that's 8 remaining is the mesh.</p> <p>9 Q. Now, we have here -- we've marked this as 10 Exhibit 2292, a total repair kit, and what I'll ask you 11 to do, keep it separate, I really just want you to be 12 able to -- to pull out the mesh part.</p> <p>13 MR. ISMAIL: Objection to the relevance. 14 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>15 Q. If you could, please show the jury the 16 total Prolift® implant.</p> <p>17 A. I'll just keep it in the plastic here, 18 actually show it a little better here.</p> <p>19 So you have the total Prolift®, where you have 20 the anterior component of it or part right here, that's 21 what I showed just a second ago (indicating).</p> <p>22 Q. That's for treatment of a bladder 23 prolapse?</p> <p>24 A. Bladder or anterior prolapse, a cystocele.</p>
Page 27	Page 29
<p>1 on out, so we don't have to go into detail now, but a 2 cannula is another part of it. And then the -- you'll 3 have a retrieval system here, and then, lastly, you'll 4 also have the mesh. Now, again this is an anterior 5 mesh.</p> <p>6 Q. What is that used to treat?</p> <p>7 A. This is to treat anterior prolapse, okay, 8 the bladder, a cystocele, okay.</p> <p>9 Q. So if the bladder is dropping down on to 10 the vagina or into the vagina, this is for the 11 treatment of that condition?</p> <p>12 A. Correct. There will be three different 13 types of meshes predesigned, precut meshes, one for 14 anterior like this one here. This will show up very 15 well, may show up a little better like this that can be 16 seen with arms on it, four arms going out those 17 obturator foramen, which I had mentioned. The 18 posterior will have a different configuration, and then 19 the total will be a combination of the anterior and 20 posterior.</p> <p>21 Q. When you showed the guide and the cannula, 22 is that ultimately to set the tunnels to pull the arms 23 back out of the body?</p> <p>24 A. Correct, correct, yeah.</p>	<p>1 Then you have the posterior aspect up here with the 2 various different arms, again, the arms are configured 3 differently because they're exiting out the -- they're 4 not going through the obturator foramen, they're 5 actually going through the buttocks. So you can get an 6 idea of the volume of the meshes and the arms and the 7 shape. This is treating a total vaginal vault 8 prolapse.</p> <p>9 Q. And the posterior part of the Prolift®, 10 that's to treat a rectocele or rectal prolapse?</p> <p>11 A. The posterior is for rectocele, that is 12 correct, yes. The total would be for anterior 13 cystocele, enterocele like the intestines are pushing 14 down and rectocele, so it's treating the whole vault.</p> <p>15 Q. I'll take that.</p> <p>16 Doctor, in your career have you ever used the 17 Prolift®?</p> <p>18 A. No, I have not, by choice.</p> <p>19 Q. Do the other doctors at the Mayo Clinic 20 use the Prolift®?</p> <p>21 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of 22 foundation.</p> <p>23 MR. SLATER: Rephrase.</p> <p>24 BY MR. SLATER:</p>

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 30	Page 32
<p>1 Q. Did the other doctors at the Mayo Clinic 2 use the Prolift®?</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of 4 foundation, hearsay, 403.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: No, all by choice 6 separately, just chose back in 2005 in that 7 time frame not to use it.</p> <p>8 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>9 Q. Why did you chose not to use the Prolift®?</p> <p>10 A. I didn't see a need for it.</p> <p>11 Q. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>12 A. In my practice we had good success, good 13 quality of life, low recurrence rate, and I didn't see 14 a purpose for it.</p> <p>15 Q. When the Prolift® first came out, did you 16 look to see if there was data to support the use of the 17 Prolift®?</p> <p>18 A. Right when it first came out, no. We're 19 going back a lot of years now. I remember looking and 20 reviewing it because there was a lot of interest in 21 female urology. This is my first year -- five years in 22 practice, and it was new, it was different, and so I 23 looked into it. I don't recall the literature I 24 reviewed at that point in time, but, again, I just</p>	<p>1 A. This is, assuming we're on the same 2 page -- we are on the same page, correct?</p> <p>3 Q. Yes.</p> <p>4 A. Okay. This is a schematic, again, a 5 cartoon or a simplified version of the actual anterior 6 mesh in-situ, meaning in the patient and where it goes, 7 where the arms go and things.</p> <p>8 Q. What are the structures that we see, just 9 to orient us?</p> <p>10 A. Well, it's quite simplified because a lot 11 of the important things are not there. But you can see 12 the bladder, you can see underneath it the mesh and 13 then under that you can see the vagina. And then you 14 see the rectum and you see the obturator foramen and 15 various different ligaments around the pelvis, but, 16 again, it's quite simplified.</p> <p>17 Q. The bladder would be to the front, the 18 rectum would be to the back as the jury sees this?</p> <p>19 A. As you go down you have bladder, mesh, 20 vagina, rectum from top to bottom.</p> <p>21 Q. If you turn -- this is actually the 55th 22 page of the slide deck, just for the record. If you 23 turn back one page to the -- actually turn forward one 24 page, okay, on the 54th page of the slide deck, I</p>
Page 31	Page 33
<p>1 decided I didn't see a need.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. I'd like you to look now at Exhibit 3 1593 and this is a Prolift® professional education 4 PowerPoint slide deck.</p> <p>5 Are you familiar with this document?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, I am.</p> <p>7 Q. Is this something you've relied on in 8 forming your opinions?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>10 Q. What I'd like to do is turn you towards 11 the back, actually, about seven or eight pages from the 12 back, there's an illustration of the anterior implant 13 position.</p> <p>14 Do you have that?</p> <p>15 A. This one?</p> <p>16 Q. Yes. Great.</p> <p>17 A. Doesn't look like we have a page number on 18 it.</p> <p>19 Q. There's no page numbers on it but --</p> <p>20 A. That one.</p> <p>21 Q. Great. It will certainly be up on the 22 screen for the jury.</p> <p>23 Can you tell the jury what this is showing, 24 this simple schematic?</p>	<p>1 believe it is -- it says Gynecare Prolift® Total 2 Implant Position.</p> <p>3 What is that showing us?</p> <p>4 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, relevance, 403.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Okay. That's showing --</p> <p>6 it's a continuation of the volume of mesh 7 that's put in. It shows the anterior and 8 posterior mesh in place as it would 9 theoretically be supporting the bladder, the 10 apex of the vagina and then the posterior 11 aspect which is where the rectum would be.</p> <p>12 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Now, what I'd like to do, if we 14 could, is go through some animation video clips. Are 15 these video clips that you have selected and that you 16 have reviewed as part of your review of this case?</p> <p>17 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Are these animation videos something 19 you've relied on in forming your opinions?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Do you, in your opinion, feel they would 22 be useful to you in demonstrating aspects of the 23 procedure and illustrating your opinions in this case?</p> <p>24 A. Very much so, yes.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 34	Page 36
<p>1 Q. Okay. We are going to play the video 2 clips with no sound, and they are short video clips, 3 and the first one is a short one. It's 501 for the 4 record.</p> <p>5 MR. ISMAIL: Just we object under 403 to 6 the playing or showing to the jury of any of 7 the video of the actual surgery itself.</p> <p>8 MR. SLATER: Okay. We're starting with 9 the animation clips.</p> <p>10 MR. ISMAIL: Fair enough.</p> <p>11 MR. SLATER: Is there an objection to the 12 animations?</p> <p>13 MR. ISMAIL: Depends what you show.</p> <p>14 MR. SLATER: There's not a blanket 15 objection, initially?</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Not a blanket objection.</p> <p>17 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Doctor, what we're going to do, 19 before we show this, clip 501 we're going to put it up 20 on the screen, and then you'll just tell the jury, 21 we'll pause it about halfway through when it gets set 22 up, and then you can tell the jury what they see, okay. 23 (Video played.)</p> <p>24 BY MR. SLATER:</p>	<p>1 the other operations I discussed, where the arms would 2 be going through the obturator foramen. That's why it 3 highlighted the more out -- proximal vagina and then 4 deep vagina. So those arms go in different locations.</p> <p>5 Q. What I actually want to do now is I want 6 to go back to the start on this clip.</p> <p>7 A. Okay.</p> <p>8 Q. Let's go back. We're not going to be able 9 to pause it because it's going to be played in other 10 courts potentially, and they're not going to be able to 11 know when you paused it. So what I'm going to do is 12 I'm just going to have the clip played.</p> <p>13 A. Okay.</p> <p>14 Q. And this is -- I'm just saying this for 15 everyone in the room, probably realize that was kind of 16 silly what I just did, hope everybody had a good giggle 17 out of it. We're just going to show it from the 18 beginning when I'm ready to start, and then you'll just 19 narrate as it goes, and then when it's done, you can 20 explain if there's anything else you have to explain.</p> <p>21 So let me start over. That was just for 22 everyone in the room to know -- get their jollies here.</p> <p>23 Doctor, we're now going to show animation clip 24 502. As it plays, would you please explain to the jury</p>
Page 35	Page 37
<p>1 Q. What is that showing us?</p> <p>2 A. Okay. Again, it's just showing the 3 anterior Prolift® mesh, as it would be placed in the 4 patient as far as somewhat of its orientation, and then 5 the female pelvis in what's called the dorsal lithotomy 6 position, just the way you operate, a woman on her 7 back, legs up in stirrup and then access to the vagina. 8 And then you can see underneath it is the pelvic bones, 9 how they would be in the woman when she's on her back.</p> <p>10 Q. Just for the record, you're turned a 11 little to the side because you're looking at a screen 12 on the wall?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, I am. There's a screen over here.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. We're going to now go to clip 502. 15 What are we going to see here?</p> <p>16 A. On 502?</p> <p>17 Q. Yeah, let's play -- actually, let's play 18 it and then if you want to have him pause it or you 19 certainly can tell him to pause it at a certain time 20 and explain what we're seeing.</p> <p>21 A. Yeah, it's just describing -- you can 22 pause it a second there very quickly. It initially 23 highlighted the arms, which is a very key component to 24 the Prolift® mesh, which makes it unique compared to</p>	<p>1 what they're seeing.</p> <p>2 A. Sure. It's a schematic again showing the 3 mesh with highlighting the various different arms that 4 go through the obturator foramen, which I've discussed 5 just a little earlier and then place it in the vagina 6 how it will be done, with an incision. They described 7 there a fairly small incision. Now you've turned 8 sideways, and then they'll place the mesh through that.</p> <p>9 Q. And the mesh is placed through the vagina 10 through a vaginal incision?</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 Q. Next we're going to go to clip 504A, and 13 what we'll do is, again, we'll show it and please tell 14 the jury what of significance they're seeing, please.</p> <p>15 A. Okay. Now, this is a surgeon with a 16 finger placed through the vagina through the vagina 17 incision, now, those trocars, which I showed just a 18 little while ago, going through the obturator foramen 19 through multiple different muscles, there they show one 20 of the muscles. There's other ones. Again, there's 21 four or five different large muscle groups that it goes 22 through, through the vagina, on to the surgeon's index 23 finger, and then they will first place the distal most, 24 see there, toward the opening of the vagina. There's</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 38	Page 40
<p>1 where the first one goes through, ideally through the 2 arcus tendineus, which is an anatomical strong 3 structure.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Now, let's go to animation clip 5 505, please, and just again narrate through for the 6 jury what is significant to you.</p> <p>7 A. Again, we have the schematic and now the 8 arms are already placed through. We've actually missed 9 a step. There's another video in there describing how 10 they placed the other ones, but this is how the mesh 11 wraps through the retrieval device and then will be 12 pulled out through the skin, through the vagina, 13 through the skin and out.</p> <p>14 Q. And I think -- well, rephrase.</p> <p>15 Let's go to clip 506 now, and can you tell the 16 jury what they're seeing there.</p> <p>17 A. Okay. Again, this is the placement 18 through the retrieval devices of all the four arms that 19 will go through the vagina and out the obturator 20 foramen through those cannula that I described earlier, 21 and now the cannulas are being removed and the mesh is 22 then being slid into place. The cannulas then are 23 removed. Here's where it shows the mesh lying flat in 24 there, again, in the cartoon fashion.</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Doctor, what is the mesh material in the 3 Prolift®, what is it called?</p> <p>4 A. It's -- well, the basic is polypropylene 5 mesh.</p> <p>6 Q. And what is it called, what's the name of 7 the mesh?</p> <p>8 A. Gynemesh®.</p> <p>9 Q. And was that originally developed to be 10 used in the pelvis or for another use?</p> <p>11 A. Another use.</p> <p>12 Q. What's that?</p> <p>13 A. For hernia repair, abdominal hernia 14 repair.</p> <p>15 Q. And that was called Prolene Soft when it 16 was developed for hernia?</p> <p>17 A. That is correct.</p> <p>18 Q. When Gynemesh® mesh started -- Prolene 19 Soft mesh started to be marketed for use in the pelvis, 20 it was first marketed in about 2003; is that correct?</p> <p>21 A. Roughly in that time frame, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And when it was first sold as Gynemesh® 23 PS, was it sold in a kit like this or was it sold 24 differently?</p>
Page 39	Page 41
<p>1 Q. Doctor, we're not going to go through the 2 total or posterior Prolift® procedures in the interest 3 of time.</p> <p>4 The video animation clips that we just showed 5 for the anterior procedure, are they a fair 6 demonstration of those steps of the procedure in a 7 general sense of what is done to get the mesh into the 8 body and the arms out?</p> <p>9 A. Well, it's very -- it's a schematic. I 10 don't know -- I would argue on the word fair, but it's 11 showing how it goes through because it's very 12 simplified form of it, yes, let's put it that way.</p> <p>13 Q. What I meant is does it, in a general 14 sense, demonstrate what would happen in the posterior 15 or total procedures as well?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, in a very general sense, but I'd say 17 it would be misleading, though.</p> <p>18 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike, 19 nonresponsive.</p> <p>20 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>21 Q. Doctor, the clip that we just -- the clips 22 that we just saw of the anterior procedure, do they 23 generally show how the mesh in an animated, simple form 24 is placed into the body and the arms are pulled out?</p>	<p>1 A. No, it was not in a kit, it was just a 2 sheet of polypropylene.</p> <p>3 Q. And what did doctors do with that mesh 4 when it was first sold as Gynemesh® PS?</p> <p>5 A. The surgeon would trim it, tailor it to 6 the given patient and place it through the vagina.</p> <p>7 Q. And just would use a portion of the mesh 8 to help support a suture repair as-needed?</p> <p>9 A. That is correct. It would be to tailor, 10 to repair whatever they're repairing.</p> <p>11 Q. We're going to talk more about this a 12 little later, but do you have an opinion as to whether 13 the use of Gynemesh®, just cutting a portion of it and 14 placing it in the vagina for a particular patient's 15 needs, whether or not that is a safer alternative than 16 the Prolift® with the larger amount of mesh and the 17 arms that we've seen?</p> <p>18 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of 19 foundation. I don't believe this is a 20 disclosed opinion.</p> <p>21 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>22 Q. You can answer.</p> <p>23 A. I would be very careful what I say -- I 24 would say it would be a safer procedure. I do not</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 42	Page 44
<p>1 agree with it being safe, but it is safer than the kit 2 with arms, et cetera.</p> <p>3 Q. And we'll talk more about it later, but 4 very succinctly, what's the reason why?</p> <p>5 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of 6 foundation, undisclosed opinion.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: There would be multiple 8 factors. The largest one would be the sheer 9 volume of mesh, but then also the trocars with 10 the arms going through the various different 11 muscle groups, because that is going to fix 12 this mesh in a completely different way.</p> <p>13 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>14 Q. Doctor, next exhibit is PLT0062, not a 15 PowerPoint, but it's an actual document.</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Copy. While you're at it, 17 can I have the other one. I didn't want to 18 interrupt while you did the video. Thank you. 19 These are the 504s and the 506s?</p> <p>20 MR. SLATER: They are, and we can -- we'll 21 get you the actual clips if you don't have 22 them. They're exactly the same as what was 23 utilized in Bellew, so you guys should have 24 them, but we can have them Dropboxed or sent</p>	<p>1 Q. If you could, turn to the fourth page is 2 Page 579, and what I want to focus on in the bottom 3 right corner, there's a -- I guess a blowup of a 4 microscopic picture of the -- or a close-up picture of 5 the soft Prolene mesh. That's the mesh in the 6 Prolift®?</p> <p>7 A. That is correct.</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>10 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>11 Q. And just focusing on that one box that 12 says soft Prolene on it, what are we seeing there? 13 What's of significance?</p> <p>14 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay. I don't 15 want to keep interrupting. I have a standing 16 objection to hearsay to the use of this 17 article. Okay. I'll keep objecting. 18 Objection, hearsay. Sorry, I didn't mean to 19 interrupt.</p> <p>20 MR. SLATER: Let me just ask, I don't 21 understand your hearsay objection. It's a 22 medical literature.</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p> <p>24 MR. SLATER: You think they're not useful,</p>
<p>1 over to you.</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: Thank you.</p> <p>3 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Doctor, I've handed you PLT0062. 5 Is this a medical journal article you are 6 familiar with?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>8 Q. Is this an article that you feel and 9 believe to be medically reliable in the field?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, it is, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Is this something you've relied on in 12 forming your opinions?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. First of all, who wrote this article?</p> <p>15 A. Well, it's a TVM group, as they call them. 16 There's multiple different authors involved, six, I 17 believe.</p> <p>18 Q. What was the role of the TVM group, this 19 group of doctors from France, what was their -- very 20 simply their role with the Prolift®?</p> <p>21 A. Well, a group of physicians got together, 22 these surgeons that are mentioned here, in France, as 23 you stated, to devise this new technique for prolapse 24 repair using the polypropylene mesh.</p>	<p>1 you can't use medical literature in a trial?</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: This article is hearsay.</p> <p>3 MR. SLATER: You don't have to object to 4 the use of my articles on the hearsay basis 5 anymore during this deposition. That's 6 preserved.</p> <p>7 MR. ISMAIL: I'm probably going to, given 8 that I think we have a disagreement as to 9 whether learned treatises are hearsay or not.</p> <p>10 MR. SLATER: All right. But I'm saying 11 I'm granting you a standing objection to my use 12 of learned treatises as hearsay that is 13 inadmissible, so you don't have to object it 14 because you can -- every time I use medical 15 literature, you can object to it and say it was 16 hearsay and shouldn't be allowed to be used, so 17 that way we can move through, is that okay? It 18 will help me to not have you objecting when I'm 19 already agreeing you have a preserved 20 objection.</p> <p>21 MR. ISMAIL: I appreciate that. What I'll 22 do is every time you introduce a new article, 23 I'll object to that one as being hearsay, and 24 if I have a standing objection to the use of</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 46	Page 48
<p>1 that particular article, I won't keep 2 interrupting.</p> <p>3 MR. SLATER: You have a standing objection 4 to my use of medical journal articles.</p> <p>5 MR. ISMAIL: I have an objection to this 6 article, Exhibit 62, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 62, as 7 hearsay, and I appreciate the standing 8 objection to the use of this article.</p> <p>9 MR. SLATER: Sure, and it's for the record 10 PLT0062.</p> <p>11 MR. ISMAIL: Yes. Thank you.</p> <p>12 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Doctor, I'm going to start over. 14 On Page 579 of this article, there is an 15 illustration and a close-up picture of soft Prolene 16 mesh.</p> <p>17 Do you see that?</p> <p>18 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Is that the mesh material in the Prolift®?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>21 Q. What is of significance that we're seeing 22 here?</p> <p>23 A. Well, they're just showing -- you have to 24 take it in all -- there's four different photographs.</p>	<p>1 surgery, and now that this incision is closed, what is 2 supposed to happen? What was intended to happen with 3 the healing process and with the mesh in the body?</p> <p>4 A. Well, theoretically, as you see here, the 5 picture has large pores, now, again, this is magnified, 6 so we have to take that, but, theoretically, you are 7 going to have the tissues grow through those to get 8 nice healthy tissue in between those pores, that's in 9 theory. It would be like a scar net is the kind of 10 phrase that was used. But, again, that's in theory 11 what would happen.</p> <p>12 Q. What actually occurs in practice based on 13 your review of the materials, the medical literature, 14 your medical experience, all the materials you 15 reviewed, what is it that actually occurs?</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of 17 foundation, 705.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Okay. In my daily practice 19 on physical exams in people with Prolift®, what 20 actually happens when that Prolift® gets in 21 there, or any mesh, for that matter, not just 22 Prolift®, but let's just talk specific to 23 Prolift®, the mesh is going to be pulled, the 24 pore size is going to decrease, and then</p>
Page 47	Page 49
<p>1 Q. We're only looking at the soft Prolene 2 picture.</p> <p>3 A. They're just showing the mesh, the weave 4 of the mesh, the space of the meshes.</p> <p>5 Q. What do they call -- what are those spaces 6 referred to as?</p> <p>7 A. The pore size would be the easiest one, 8 the gate in between them, the space in between the 9 various meshes.</p> <p>10 Q. We have -- you see there's some larger 11 spaces and they have a thread right through the middle.</p> <p>12 Do you see those?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>14 Q. There's also knots and spaces there. What 15 are those referred to as?</p> <p>16 A. Well, again, there's a -- all the meshes 17 have a different weave to them. So this is the weave 18 of the mesh and the areas where it's all knotted, as 19 you mentioned.</p> <p>20 Q. So it's showing the actual appearance of 21 the pores and the interstices between the mesh?</p> <p>22 A. Correct, on a relatively microscopic or 23 magnified view.</p> <p>24 Q. When the mesh is in the body after the</p>	<p>1 instead of getting this intergrowth through the 2 holes of the mesh and have nice healthy tissue, 3 you then get a scar plate. So the scar forms 4 around this.</p> <p>5 So where it's important for me is then on 6 physical exam, when you do a pelvic exam, you 7 feel this fibrotic or wooden, what you kind of 8 describe it as, again, this firmness within the 9 vagina.</p> <p>10 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>11 Q. What is it that leads to the development 12 of scar tissue, what is it about the interaction of the 13 mesh in the body that leads to that?</p> <p>14 A. Well, that's a long, drawn out 15 conversation because what you've got, you've got a 16 foreign body --</p> <p>17 Q. Let's do it not the long, drawn out 18 conversation version.</p> <p>19 A. All right, we'll be specific. Mesh is not 20 human, it's foreign. You put it in the body, the body 21 perceives it as foreign. The body's natural response 22 is to try to get rid of it, and the process starts to 23 create this foreign body reaction, which increases the 24 scar tissue, that causes the mesh to contract or the</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 50	Page 52
<p>1 tissue to contract around it, which then perpetuates 2 the problem. That's why it's a progressive problem. 3 So it's a long, drawn out conversation. That's a very 4 succinct answer.</p> <p>5 Q. As part of the foreign body reaction, is 6 there any inflammatory response as well?</p> <p>7 A. Well, that is part of it, okay. The body 8 perceives the mesh as foreign, which it is. The 9 response of the body is to create inflammatory 10 response. So as long as that foreign body is in there, 11 you're going to have an inflammatory process.</p> <p>12 Q. With regard to the size of the pores in 13 the Prolift® mesh or any mesh, is there an 14 understanding as to whether or not larger spaces or 15 smaller spaces are better in terms of the healing 16 process?</p> <p>17 A. The larger the space, the space in between 18 the mesh, the reduced inflammatory and foreign body 19 reaction you're going to have.</p> <p>20 Q. There's been reference, and tell me if 21 you're familiar with it, to a 1 millimeter pore size in 22 all directions under strain.</p> <p>23 Is that a concept that's of any significance to 24 you?</p>	<p>1 described. Now you get that caking, and we can feel it 2 when we do physical exams on Prolift®, the banding we 3 call it, feel out lateral in the vagina, and you feel 4 this rod, for lack of a better phrase, you touch it, it 5 hurts. It's a whole cascade of everything I've 6 mentioned several times now.</p> <p>7 Q. What is contraction or shrinkage, what 8 does that mean?</p> <p>9 A. That's when, again, we go back to this 10 foreign body reaction, inflammatory response, the body 11 is trying to heal itself. The only way it can is by 12 creating scar. When that happens, the scar contracts 13 down, pulling the mesh. The mesh is the ultimate 14 responsibility, but it pulls on it, okay, and the 15 significance of mesh contraction is pain, because, like 16 I mentioned in that video, where these trocars are 17 going through all those muscles and mesh is going 18 through those muscles, muscles hurt when you start to 19 pull on them. So as the mesh contracts, pulls 20 together, pulls on those muscles of the pelvis and it 21 causes the pain.</p> <p>22 Q. Doctor, if you could go back to the 23 professional education PowerPoint, 1593, it's the 24 larger one right there, top left, and it's about the</p>
Page 51	Page 53
<p>1 A. Yeah, it's a very important concept.</p> <p>2 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>3 A. Saying that -- again, you made a very good 4 point there as far as when it's in the body, under 5 strain. It doesn't matter what it's doing on the 6 table. As I hold up this mesh, that doesn't matter. 7 What matters is when it's in the body and when it's 8 being pulled on when the woman is walking, coughing, 9 doing activities, what those pores do. Those pores 10 contract down, then you're going to start this whole 11 cascade, the scar plate, the inflammatory response, 12 foreign body reaction.</p> <p>13 Q. What happens to the pores when the 14 Prolift®, as we've seen in those schematics, gets put 15 into the body, what happens to the pores?</p> <p>16 A. Collapses.</p> <p>17 Q. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>18 A. Means, again, we have this picture of 19 these large pores, okay, when you start to pull on it, 20 when you place it, just the arms, you're going to have 21 to pull on those arms, you're going to have to tension 22 this, and then those pores go from this to collapsed 23 down like this (indicating). When that happens, now 24 the body can't grow through it, like that scar net I</p>	<p>1 tenth page in, and actually I counted them, I think 2 it's the tenth page, and there is a slide that says 3 "Mesh Use in Hernia Surgery" and has a picture of 4 rebar.</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Is this of significance to you, this 7 illustration and the language next to it?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Tell the jury, first of all, it says, 10 "Much like rebar in concrete, the stress at any one 11 point is distributed over the entire area of the 12 graft."</p> <p>13 Do you see that?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>15 Q. Now, have you seen anything in any medical 16 literature or any material you've ever seen that shows 17 that when the Prolift® is placed, it actually has this 18 distribution of stress across the entire mesh, like 19 they say in the engineering rebar?</p> <p>20 A. Well, no, it's the exact opposite, 21 actually.</p> <p>22 Q. And so using this diagram, what's the 23 significance of this picture of rebar?</p> <p>24 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 54	Page 56
<p>1 foundation, 705.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Well, the rebar analogy is</p> <p>3 accurate and completely inaccurate at the same</p> <p>4 time. Yes, I agree, it's a very strong</p> <p>5 substance, unbending, but when it's placed in</p> <p>6 the human body, that's not what you want. You</p> <p>7 need to have something dynamic that can move,</p> <p>8 and so that's why I say it's correct and it's</p> <p>9 incorrect. It's very, very strong, but that's</p> <p>10 not what you want having placed in the vagina.</p> <p>11 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>12 Q. If rebar has to be removed from the</p> <p>13 sidewalk, you take the jackhammers and chop down into</p> <p>14 the concrete and get it out?</p> <p>15 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Which I have done in between</p> <p>17 high school and college, and it is a bear.</p> <p>18 That's why I never do it anymore. Did it once</p> <p>19 and that's it.</p> <p>20 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>21 Q. When mesh has to be removed, how does that</p> <p>22 analogy apply to the human body?</p> <p>23 A. Well, I don't have the luxury of not being</p> <p>24 able to do that, like I can do with rebar concrete. It</p>	<p>1 clips of video from actual surgical videos from Ethicon</p> <p>2 from their professional education department, correct?</p> <p>3 A. That is correct.</p> <p>4 Q. Now, have you reviewed and selected these</p> <p>5 short clips to help illustrate your opinions?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, I have.</p> <p>7 Q. Would they be helpful to you in</p> <p>8 demonstrating relative aspects of the Prolift®</p> <p>9 procedure?</p> <p>10 A. Definitely.</p> <p>11 Q. The first one that we're going to use is</p> <p>12 5701, and what we'll do is we'll show the video and</p> <p>13 while it's playing, please, just as you did before with</p> <p>14 the animations, narrate and tell us what is of</p> <p>15 significance to you in explaining your opinions on the</p> <p>16 Prolift®.</p> <p>17 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, to showing</p> <p>18 the video.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: It's going to be a surgical</p> <p>20 video. It's going to be sort of graphic for</p> <p>21 people not used to this, but it's showing the</p> <p>22 mesh trying to be put through the vagina.</p> <p>23 They're doing actually a stay stitch there</p> <p>24 first. And now they've got the retrieval</p>
Page 55	Page 57
<p>1 is very similar. You have to cut, you have to use big</p> <p>2 scissors. We just did one two or three days ago, large</p> <p>3 scissors to cut through this. It's very stuck, and</p> <p>4 it's very tedious surgery because it can be fixed to</p> <p>5 the bladder, very difficult -- the bladder is thin, get</p> <p>6 into it, you got a mess. Posteriorly on the rectum or</p> <p>7 up top on the intestines, and you can't get it all out.</p> <p>8 It's a very tedious -- we call it a train wreck because</p> <p>9 it's very difficult to get out.</p> <p>10 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike,</p> <p>11 nonresponsive, 403.</p> <p>12 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>13 Q. Doctor, with regard to the difficulty in</p> <p>14 removing the mesh, do you have an opinion as to whether</p> <p>15 or not that is medically safe or unsafe aspect of the</p> <p>16 Prolift® system?</p> <p>17 A. It's quite unsafe.</p> <p>18 Q. Doctor, with regard to the reaction of</p> <p>19 this large mesh implant that you've shown us with the</p> <p>20 human tissue, the foreign body reaction, the</p> <p>21 inflammatory response, do you have an opinion as to</p> <p>22 whether that is medically safe or unsafe?</p> <p>23 A. It's unsafe.</p> <p>24 Q. We're now, Doctor, going to go to some two</p>	<p>1 devices already in there, and there they're</p> <p>2 actually stuffing the mesh in there, because,</p> <p>3 remember, I showed you the mesh, it's a large</p> <p>4 volume of mesh, the vagina is small. You have</p> <p>5 to stuff it in there. So that was actually a</p> <p>6 very good description or visual image for</p> <p>7 everybody to just kind of see how you have to</p> <p>8 push it through there.</p> <p>9 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>10 Q. When the mesh gets pushed in that way,</p> <p>11 what impact does that have on the mesh itself?</p> <p>12 A. Well, there can be multiple different</p> <p>13 factors. You're pushing it through vagina, which can</p> <p>14 cause infection of it, contamination of it. You can</p> <p>15 distort the meshes if you're pulling on it, and it's</p> <p>16 not going to lay flat.</p> <p>17 Q. Let's go to clip -- and one other thing,</p> <p>18 in that image, in that video there were -- did we see</p> <p>19 the cannulas actually coming out that were placed for</p> <p>20 an anterior procedure?</p> <p>21 A. Yeah, we saw on that one the retrieval</p> <p>22 devices were already in. The cannulas had already been</p> <p>23 removed. The retrieval devices were there on the mesh</p> <p>24 arms, they hadn't been pulled through yet.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 58	Page 60
<p>1 Q. Let me ask you this: In the image we 2 could actually see the white cannulas. Were they still 3 in the body, not the next clip, but the clip we just 4 saw?</p> <p>5 A. I thought the cannulas had been removed 6 already. I'd have to look at it then. If the cannulas 7 were removed, then just the -- yeah, the cannulas are 8 still there, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Let's go to clip 5702, the next clip, and 10 tell us as it plays what we're seeing and what's 11 significant, please.</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Okay. So now we see he's 14 pulling out the cannula and then the mesh arms 15 extending out through the obturator foramen, 16 and, again, what's important to note about that 17 as we saw earlier the size of the mesh arms, 18 which are about one centimeter, a little larger 19 going through those cannulas, which are just a 20 couple millimeters and they're rolled, so it 21 will cause the mesh to roll, the arm meshes to 22 roll.</p> <p>23 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>24 Q. And what we'll do now is go to the next</p>	<p>1 you're pulling on it with more than, what, 2.3-kilos, 2 which is roughly 12 pounds of force, which is not much, 3 and you'll pull on it, those pores -- remember, they 4 start like this, you pull on them and they'll collapse 5 on you. Again, that increases the foreign body, 6 prevents that growth through the interspaces and starts 7 that whole foreign body cascade I talked about.</p> <p>8 Q. With regard to the amount of force you 9 just stated, was that confirmed to be the amount of 10 force used during the procedure by Scott Ciarracca?</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of 13 foundation.</p> <p>14 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>15 Q. Do you have an opinion -- and we can take 16 that down now.</p> <p>17 Do you have an opinion, Doctor, as to whether 18 or not the arms and the cannulas are necessary to treat 19 pelvic organ prolapse?</p> <p>20 A. I have an opinion, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. What's your opinion?</p> <p>22 A. They're absolutely not essential. They're 23 counterproductive.</p> <p>24 Q. And do you have an opinion as to whether</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 59</p> <p>1 PowerPoint slide, which is a side by side comparison of 2 a still shot from the animation and from the video we 3 just saw, and can you tell the jury what of 4 significance this shows?</p> <p>5 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: Okay. The biggest thing to 7 me is if you look at the cartoon first, for me 8 it's on the left, that the mesh arms are laying 9 flat, but then, in reality, when it goes into 10 the human, you can't have a 1 to 1.5 centimeter 11 mesh arm go through a cannula that's a couple 12 millimeters and not get it to roll. So if you 13 were able to zoom in there where it comes out 14 of the skin, it's going to be rolled. That's 15 going to also collapse those pores and start 16 that whole cascade of inflammation, foreign 17 body reaction, scarring.</p> <p>18 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>19 Q. When the mesh is pulled through the 20 cannulas, as we see illustrated on these still shots, 21 what happens to the mesh when it's being pulled through 22 the cannulas, what happens to the pores and the mesh 23 itself?</p> <p>24 A. It can collapse, it will collapse. If</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p> <p>1 or not the use of the arms and the cannulas, as we've 2 seen, is medically safe or unsafe?</p> <p>3 A. It's unsafe.</p> <p>4 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>5 A. Again, like I've mentioned, as far as just 6 multiple different issues. Number one, the rolling 7 going through the muscles, which will cause contraction 8 and pain. Then also it fixes the vagina. The vagina 9 is a dynamic organ. As a woman stands, lays down, 10 coughs, it's going to move. Those arms are going to 11 cause it to be fixed, and then so when she does 12 activity, that's what causes the pain, so pull on the 13 muscles and other structures.</p> <p>14 Q. Let's go to the next PowerPoint slide. We 15 have in front of you a slide we've titled tension free 16 and, first of all, we have little footnotes there with 17 respect to the deposition testimony where these pieces 18 of information came from.</p> <p>19 Have you read those depositions?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I have.</p> <p>21 Q. And have you relied on those depositions 22 in part in forming your opinions?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. What is tension free? In the context of</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 62	Page 64
<p>1 Prolift® and the concept of the Prolift®, what was the 2 concept of tension free?</p> <p>3 A. Well, tension free, if we're talking about 4 the mesh just sitting on the table versus the mesh in 5 real life, okay, I deal with real life. I don't care 6 what it's like on the table. I care what's in the 7 patient.</p> <p>8 So as it sits on the table, it's going to be 9 tension free, there's no pulling on it. But in order 10 for you to put it in the woman, it's impossible to have 11 something be tension free. If there's no tension, the 12 prolapse still exists, so it's -- you can't have it in 13 real life in the patient.</p> <p>14 Q. Now, the first thing we have on this, on 15 documents, I'm just going to ask you about a phrase 16 tension free, meaning the mesh is in unstretched 17 condition as if laying on a table, okay.</p> <p>18 Do you have an opinion as to whether or not in 19 actual use in the body, the mesh can be placed tension 20 free, as described there?</p> <p>21 A. It cannot be.</p> <p>22 Q. And just very simply why? I think you 23 might have talked about this already, but just very 24 simply.</p>	<p>1 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>2 Q. Doctor, look at the next exhibit on the 3 pile. Take that slide down.</p> <p>4 It's Exhibit P2227, and it's an e-mail written 5 by Piet Hinoul, medical affairs director, September 3, 6 2009.</p> <p>7 Is this an e-mail you're familiar with?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>9 Q. What I'd like to do is turn to the second 10 page. There are a series of asterisked bullet points. 11 We're going to go to the last one on the page, which 12 starts there is an issue.</p> <p>13 Do you see where I'm reading? It's the last 14 asterisk.</p> <p>15 A. I'm there, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. I'm going to just read it for the record, 17 and then I want to ask you about this, okay?</p> <p>18 A. All right.</p> <p>19 Q. "There is the issue of being able to 20 adjust, fine tune the position of a Prolift® mesh. 21 This must also be addressed up front; the mesh and 22 Prolift® can indeed be adjusted, but that is because 23 one overcorrects (surgeons not adjusting by loosening 24 after having pulled it too tight have all the problems</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p> <p>1 A. Again, like we've talked about that the 2 human vagina is not a table, okay. It's going to be 3 moving, lifting, walking, and it's going to -- in order 4 to hold a prolapse, which is everything is falling 5 down, you've got to hold it up; therefore, there's 6 going to be tension on that device. Placing it through 7 the body is going to require tension. You've got to 8 pull it through and adjust it.</p> <p>9 Q. And we saw the video of how it was pushed 10 through the vagina and then how the arms were used. 11 Does that impact on that opinion as well?</p> <p>12 A. Again, that's consistent with my opinion.</p> <p>13 Q. Tension on the mesh plus contraction 14 equals pain. What is the significance of that?</p> <p>15 A. That's what I referred to earlier, that if 16 mesh is pulled with a minimal amount of force, 17 12 pounds of pressure, those pores will collapse. That 18 will cause this foreign body reaction, inflammation and 19 scarring, that causes the mesh to contract, article 20 like by Tunn, et al., 65, 80% mesh contraction. When 21 that happens, structures are pulled on, specifically 22 muscles or nerve intergrowth, and that causes pain.</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike, 24 hearsay.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p> <p>1 with pain, incontinence, obstructed defecation), again 2 we adjust to make it tension free not the other way 3 around."</p> <p>4 And then reading a little further, this tension 5 free concept is something we own, we must also use it 6 here. Doctors like the sound of it (despite the fact 7 that most do not understand it).</p> <p>8 Now, is that language I just read written by a 9 medical affairs director, Piet Hinoul, of significance 10 to you?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Why?</p> <p>13 A. Well, they acknowledge multiple different 14 things in here. Number one that surgeons don't know 15 how to tension this, and, number two, the tension free 16 concept is something that sounds very good. The 17 company wants to protect that marketing aspect. That's 18 a different story here, but the biggest one is that the 19 surgeons don't know how to tension this.</p> <p>20 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike, 21 nonresponsive.</p> <p>22 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>23 Q. Let me ask you this question: I just want 24 to clean something up in case -- that was a great</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p> <p>1 objection, just got to always hedge against that. 2 Doctor, this language that I just read, why 3 is -- well, let me just say something right now. When 4 you answer this question, don't talk about marketing at 5 all, okay. So I'm going to ask the question again. 6 Doctor, I just read language written by Piet 7 Hinoul, medical affairs director. Why is that language 8 significant to you with regard to the tension free 9 concept?</p> <p>10 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of 11 foundation.</p> <p>12 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>13 Q. From a medical standpoint, why is that 14 important?</p> <p>15 A. From a medical standpoint, you know, 16 again, multiple different aspects of the tendency of 17 surgeons to tighten this up too much. They don't 18 understand how to tighten this. It hasn't been 19 explained to them well enough. And so -- and that 20 tensioning problem is one of the root sources for all 21 the various different complications, pain, obstruction, 22 incontinence, et cetera.</p> <p>23 Q. When the mesh is placed under tension, in 24 your opinion, does that lead to any negative side</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 68</p> <p>1 Q. Now, over time I've seen reference to 2 functional outcomes, quality of life outcomes. 3 What does that mean? 4 A. That's the other aspect of prolapse, 5 just -- and it's a quality of life problem. Just 6 because you have an organ that's fallen down, say the 7 bladder, articles like Whiteside, et al. 2004 talk 8 about what we're really after here is this woman's 9 quality of life, is she happy, is the support, the 10 surgery provided an improvement of quality of life. 11 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike, 12 hearsay.</p> <p>13 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>14 Q. Doctor, I'm going to ask you the question 15 again. Don't refer to, in case the objection was well 16 done, the Whiteside article in answering the question. 17 MR. SLATER: I assume that's your 18 objection, right?</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: Yes.</p> <p>20 MR. SLATER: Okay. Trying to move this 21 along.</p> <p>22 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>23 Q. Doctor, when we talk about functional 24 outcomes, quality of life outcomes as opposed to</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p> <p>1 effects? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. What is that? 4 A. Again, that's going back to this issue, 5 it's the root source of the problem that tensioning 6 causes the pores to collapse, can cause the tissue 7 integration, which then leads to scarring, inflammatory 8 response and subsequently pain. 9 Q. Doctor, we'll take that document down. 10 Doctor, there was a theory that this large mesh 11 implant would result in a more durable, longer lasting 12 anatomic repair than with a suture repair. 13 Was that part of the concept? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. When we say the focus was on an 16 anatomic -- correction, the anatomic positioning, what 17 does that mean? 18 A. It means we have to kind of go back almost 19 a certain step. When you have a woman with prolapse, 20 it means the bladder or structure has fallen down to 21 the wrong spot. So you have anatomy is can you restore 22 it to a normal position, okay. So that's where we talk 23 about anatomical repair, putting it back up to where it 24 should be.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 69</p> <p>1 anatomic, what's the distinction? 2 A. Anatomy is just looking at has that 3 prolapse been repaired or not. It's not taking into 4 account a patient's quality of life, sexual function or 5 just symptoms of prolapse, fullness, pressure. 6 Functional outcomes are looking at if you do 7 this surgery is the woman pleased with the outcome as 8 far as the improvement of the prolapse symptoms. 9 Q. Doctor, please look at the next exhibit, 10 which is PLT1093. This is an article titled "Incidence 11 and risk factors for reoperation of surgically treated 12 pelvic organ prolapse" authored by Dällenbach and some 13 other authors in 2011. 14 Are you familiar with this article? 15 A. Yes, I am. 16 Q. Is this article, in your opinion, 17 medically reliable and authoritative in the field? 18 A. Yes, it is. 19 Q. Is this an article you've relied on in 20 forming your opinions? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Why is this article important, in general 23 terms? 24 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 70</p> <p>1 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>2 Q. Rephrase. Why is this article of 3 significance to you?</p> <p>4 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Because what it's doing is 6 looking at and trying to correct somewhat of 7 the incorrect thinking we have as far as the 8 true recurrence rate and reoperation rate 9 following prolapse repairs. So what this is 10 doing is breaking it down and looking at the 11 true incidence, which records it at roughly -- 12 I think their conclusion is like 6 to 12% 13 reoperation for prolapse.</p> <p>14 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>15 Q. Doctor, if you turn to the page that has 16 the discussion on it, I'm not seeing the page numbers. 17 It's the third page from the end.</p> <p>18 A. Okay, I'm there.</p> <p>19 Q. And it says -- you see discussion?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. It says in the first sentence, our 22 study suggests that the risk of reoperation after 23 prolapse surgery is relatively low and associated with 24 variables indicating pre-existing weakness of pelvic</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 72</p> <p>1 Q. I want to read this and ask you what, if 2 any, significance this has to you.</p> <p>3 We systematically searched Medline, (search 4 terms: "reoperation for surgically treated/managed 5 pelvic organ prolapse, recurrent pelvic organ prolapse, 6 follow-up studies," all languages, from 1966 to 2010) 7 and found few studies reporting the incidence of 8 reoperation for recurrent prolapse. Most authors 9 measured the combined risk of reoperation for 10 surgically treated prolapse and urinary incontinence, 11 thus overestimating the rate for pelvic organ prolapse 12 reoperation alone. The risk of reoperation for 13 prolapse or urinary incontinence of 29.2% frequently 14 quoted as a reference in further studies results in a 15 retrospective cohort study of 384 women. It goes on to 16 talk about following them prospectively, and at five to 17 ten years their reoperation rate was 13% and 17%. And 18 then says the risk of re-operation for prolapse alone 19 during a five-year follow-up was much lower (1.5%) in 20 another study.</p> <p>21 Do you see that?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>23 Q. Is that of significance to you?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 71</p> <p>1 floor tissues.</p> <p>2 What is that -- is that of significance to you?</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay. Do I 4 have a standing objection to Exhibit 1093?</p> <p>5 MR. SLATER: You have a standing objection 6 to every one of my articles as hearsay and any 7 questions on them.</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: I understand, but I'm going 9 to identify each one to which I have the 10 hearsay objection, and then I won't interrupt 11 your exam on this article.</p> <p>12 MR. SLATER: Yeah, please don't.</p> <p>13 MR. ISMAIL: Standing objection to 1093 on 14 hearsay.</p> <p>15 MR. SLATER: I'll start again.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: And can you -- I'm trying to 17 track exactly where you are.</p> <p>18 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>19 Q. You see Discussion?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I am under Discussion.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. I'm going to actually go now to the 22 second paragraph. You see it says, "we 23 systematically"?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, I'm there.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 73</p> <p>1 Q. Why is that significant to you in forming 2 your opinions?</p> <p>3 A. Number one, you cannot describe the 4 reoperation of prolapse if you're also combining it 5 with stress incontinence, they're two separate 6 problems, okay. So it's going to falsely elevate both 7 of them in reality, and so that's why they're talking 8 about the common report of 29.2%, which I've actually 9 rooted my studies, so it's not accurate. So what they 10 did then is look at the true reoperation rate, and so 11 for this one, you know, they are down to 1.5% at 12 five-year follow-up, which is obviously a very small 13 number.</p> <p>14 Q. Now, they're talking about treating 15 patients with suture repairs, correct; that's what they 16 did?</p> <p>17 A. That's correct.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Turn to the next page, please. And 19 it's actually the second to last page of the article, 20 there is a Table 6 at the top left corner, and if you 21 come down that left column, about two-thirds of the way 22 down the page, there's a sentence that says, "The 23 anatomical recurrence rate in our cohort is probably 24 higher; but, in most cases, women are asymptomatic and</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 74	Page 76
<p>1 do not require surgery."</p> <p>2 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>3 A. That is correct.</p> <p>4 Q. Why?</p> <p>5 A. Because, again, when you have -- this is a</p> <p>6 prolapse is a quality of life problem, okay. So what</p> <p>7 you want to do and what success is is the woman</p> <p>8 asymptomatic and her symptoms of prolapse cured. So</p> <p>9 they're saying as the anatomy may have come down, but</p> <p>10 the women are fine.</p> <p>11 Q. On the right-hand column almost directly</p> <p>12 across the page, it says based on previous reports, we</p> <p>13 would expect a high right of reoperation, which is not</p> <p>14 the case. Our study supports the idea that</p> <p>15 conventional vaginal surgery is effective to treat</p> <p>16 pelvic organ prolapse.</p> <p>17 Is that of significance to you?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Why?</p> <p>20 A. Because it's showing that the traditional</p> <p>21 types of repairs actually work to relieve the patient's</p> <p>22 symptoms.</p> <p>23 Q. And, finally, on the last page in the last</p> <p>24 paragraph, based on our data and recent studies, we</p>	<p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, same, cumulative,</p> <p>3 sorry.</p> <p>4 MR. SLATER: Go off for a second.</p> <p>5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. The</p> <p>6 time is 10:32, we are off the record.</p> <p>7 (Brief recess.)</p> <p>8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:41, and</p> <p>9 we are back on the record.</p> <p>10 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>11 Q. Doctor, in the course of asking you about</p> <p>12 your background, I neglected to ask you one question.</p> <p>13 Are you a board certified physician?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, I am.</p> <p>15 Q. Who are you board certified by?</p> <p>16 A. By urology, American Urologic Association</p> <p>17 and then also by combined boards of urology and GYN for</p> <p>18 female pelvic medicine and reconstructive surgery.</p> <p>19 Q. And what is the significance of those</p> <p>20 board certifications?</p> <p>21 A. The first one is stating that you have</p> <p>22 gone through -- for me it was six years of urologic</p> <p>23 training, including general surgery, and that the board</p> <p>24 recognizes you having taken three different exams that</p>
Page 75	Page 77
<p>1 believe the risk of reoperation for recurrence after</p> <p>2 pelvic organ prolapse reconstructive surgery to be</p> <p>3 between 6% and 12% rather than 30% as previously</p> <p>4 described.</p> <p>5 Is that significant?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Why?</p> <p>8 A. Again, it's stating that the 29.2 or 30%,</p> <p>9 as they state here, reoperation rate is much higher</p> <p>10 than in reality, it's down to around 6 to 12%.</p> <p>11 Q. Based on the Dällenbach article, your</p> <p>12 understanding of the overall medical literature, your</p> <p>13 experience and your knowledge in the field, do you have</p> <p>14 an opinion as to whether or not the Prolift® was</p> <p>15 necessary in order to treat pelvic organ prolapse as</p> <p>16 compared to the existing traditional alternatives?</p> <p>17 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay,</p> <p>18 cumulative.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Based upon this study and</p> <p>20 others and my own personal experience, it was</p> <p>21 not needed.</p> <p>22 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>23 Q. Meaning that the alternatives were</p> <p>24 adequate?</p>	<p>1 you are a qualified urologist.</p> <p>2 The second one is subspecializing in female</p> <p>3 urology and pelvic floor reconstruction, so the boards</p> <p>4 of GYN, urology came together because we have a lot of</p> <p>5 overlap, and I've had this certificate available since</p> <p>6 2013.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Doctor, we're now going to go to</p> <p>8 the next exhibit, which we've marked P0049, and if you</p> <p>9 could, first looking at the front page, what is this</p> <p>10 document?</p> <p>11 A. This is just the -- as it states at the</p> <p>12 top, the Evaluation of the TVM technique for Ethicon.</p> <p>13 Q. It says clinical study report dated</p> <p>14 June 27, 2006, and it says the principal investigator</p> <p>15 was Michel Cosson, Dr. Cosson. Is that what this</p> <p>16 technically is, is this clinical study report for the</p> <p>17 French TVM study?</p> <p>18 A. That is correct and their 12-month data.</p> <p>19 Q. And let's now turn to Page 4. There's a</p> <p>20 section that says -- and just very, very briefly and</p> <p>21 simply, what was the French TVM study; what were they</p> <p>22 doing?</p> <p>23 A. They were looking at the feasibility and</p> <p>24 the results and the complications, efficacy of the TVM</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 78	Page 80
<p>1 technique.</p> <p>2 Q. And when you say the TVM technique, that's</p> <p>3 what ultimately became the Prolift® procedure?</p> <p>4 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And we look at the statistical methods</p> <p>6 section, and I'm going to try to avoid much of the</p> <p>7 statistical jargon and let you explain it simply, but</p> <p>8 about six or eight lines down, there's a sentence that</p> <p>9 says, the criterion for success was that the upper 90%</p> <p>10 two-tailed confidence interval (same as the tail on a</p> <p>11 one tail 95% confidence interval) did not exceed 20%.</p> <p>12 Otherwise, the study would be deemed a failure, as it</p> <p>13 would not show that the prolapse rate was less than</p> <p>14 20%.</p> <p>15 In layman's terms, what is that telling us?</p> <p>16 A. Any time you set up a study you establish</p> <p>17 criteria beforehand of what you expect is defining as</p> <p>18 success, so they're doing a very good job of that.</p> <p>19 Then they get into a bunch of statistical</p> <p>20 stuff, the two-tailed confidence interval, et cetera.</p> <p>21 It's detailed statistics of how they prove something is</p> <p>22 a success or not, and then their bottom line saying</p> <p>23 that if they have a prolapse recurrence greater than</p> <p>24 20%, that they deemed the procedure as a failure.</p>	<p>1 grade them. Easiest way is grade 1 is essentially</p> <p>2 completely normal. Grade 2 is little bit of prolapse,</p> <p>3 grade 3 is more, grade 4 is coming all the way out.</p> <p>4 That's just a brief way of describing it. So they're</p> <p>5 saying Stage II where it's dropped down a fair bit is a</p> <p>6 failure.</p> <p>7 Q. I'm reading now further in the results and</p> <p>8 conclusions section. The results show a failure rate</p> <p>9 at 12 months of 18.4% with a 90% confidence interval of</p> <p>10 -- I'm going to start over.</p> <p>11 I'm going to read now within the results and</p> <p>12 conclusions section. The results show a failure rate</p> <p>13 at 12 months of 18.4% with a 90% confidence interval of</p> <p>14 11.9 to 26.6. Thus the study did not meet the</p> <p>15 predefined criteria of a failure rate of less than 20%.</p> <p>16 What does that mean?</p> <p>17 A. It means that at 12 months, which is the</p> <p>18 absolute minimum you would want to do a study for</p> <p>19 prolapse, 12 months would be very, very minimum, that</p> <p>20 based upon the statistical analysis they were above the</p> <p>21 20% predefined failure rate. So, subsequently, based</p> <p>22 upon this data, the TVM system, which became Prolift®</p> <p>23 did not make anatomical success, did not reach their</p> <p>24 criteria.</p>
Page 79	Page 81
<p>1 Q. And when they see -- well, I'll withdraw</p> <p>2 it. Let me move forward. Let's go down to the results</p> <p>3 and conclusions section, the actual results now. It</p> <p>4 says, the primary effectiveness variable was recurrence</p> <p>5 of prolapse at 12 months post-procedure (failure of</p> <p>6 procedure), with failure being defined as a prolapse of</p> <p>7 International Continence Society Stage II or more or a</p> <p>8 surgical re-intervention.</p> <p>9 So that's telling us the criteria for success</p> <p>10 or failure?</p> <p>11 A. Again, they're going on -- they're</p> <p>12 defining what we define, the studiers, the researchers</p> <p>13 as a success or failure. So they're saying the</p> <p>14 International -- ICS, International Continence Society</p> <p>15 Stage II or more or surgical re-intervention is</p> <p>16 failure.</p> <p>17 Q. When they say recurrence of prolapse, does</p> <p>18 that just mean after you've treated it does it come</p> <p>19 back at some level?</p> <p>20 A. Correct, that's anatomic recurrence, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And they call Stage II being a recurrence.</p> <p>22 What does that mean?</p> <p>23 A. That just means that you grade prolapses.</p> <p>24 There's multiple different grading systems, but you</p>	<p>1 Q. And just to be clear, they gave a range of</p> <p>2 11.9 to 26.6, that's the confidence interval where</p> <p>3 they're saying we can take these results and apply them</p> <p>4 more broadly, and that's the statistical range?</p> <p>5 A. Correct. That's when statistics --</p> <p>6 advanced people with biostatistics come in and do their</p> <p>7 math, and so I have to trust their math on that one.</p> <p>8 So they're telling me it did not meet the success of</p> <p>9 the procedure.</p> <p>10 Q. The second paragraph of the results and</p> <p>11 conclusions says the secondary effectiveness parameters</p> <p>12 show a failure rate at six months of 12.6%, 90%</p> <p>13 confidence interval, 7.3 to 20.1%.</p> <p>14 What is that telling us?</p> <p>15 A. Again, they're just saying at the short</p> <p>16 term at six months, the raw number of 12.6 had already</p> <p>17 recurred, so it was a fast recurrence.</p> <p>18 Q. And the 20.1% with the confidence</p> <p>19 interval, it was already over 20%?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I'm sorry. Yes, at six months</p> <p>21 already they had exceeded their predefined success or</p> <p>22 failure number.</p> <p>23 Q. Turn to Page 5, please, the very top of,</p> <p>24 again, the results and conclusions section, moderate or</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 82	Page 84
<p>1 severe vaginal retraction was reported in 11 (12.6%) 2 patients.</p> <p>3 What is that telling us?</p> <p>4 A. Vaginal retraction is what we've already 5 mentioned earlier on scarring of the mesh. They happen 6 to use the word retraction. It's the same thing, but 7 in these surgeon's hands, high volume surgeons, they 8 had 12.6 of moderate or severe contraction, mesh 9 contraction.</p> <p>10 Q. Based on the results of the TVM study, do 11 you have an opinion as to whether or not the Prolift® 12 was a safe and effective procedure to be marketed on 13 the widespread basis it was?</p> <p>14 A. Let's break it down in two. You said safe 15 and effective. So, number one, effective, no. These 16 researchers, it failed. It did not meet the 17 effectiveness, which is purely anatomic.</p> <p>18 Safety-wise, that was addressed in the second 19 one, that 12.6, so not a small number, had vaginal 20 retraction that was visible or palpable.</p> <p>21 So on both those aspects, no.</p> <p>22 Q. Did you see Axel Arnaud's deposition 23 testimony where he testified that the French TVM study 24 showed a 20.7% exposure rate at one year?</p>	<p>1 controlled foreign body reaction, and we cite to Piet 2 Hinoul.</p> <p>3 Do you have an opinion as to whether or not the 4 Prolift® achieved the design challenge of a controlled 5 foreign body reaction in women?</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: Objection to the use of the 7 slide.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: It did not.</p> <p>9 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>10 Q. And what's your basis for that?</p> <p>11 A. The basis is going to be multifactorial. 12 My personal experience day-to-day examining patients, 13 operating on patients, review of the medical 14 literature, a review of internal documentation, 15 attendance at national, international meetings, 16 discussion with colleagues, that the mesh did not have 17 a controlled foreign body reaction and had 18 complications associated with it.</p> <p>19 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>20 Q. The concept of a fine balance, if there's 21 too much fibrosis, it would be unsafe, as testified to 22 by Piet Hinoul.</p> <p>23 Do you have an opinion as to whether or not the 24 Prolift® achieved that fine balance?</p>
Page 83	Page 85
<p>1 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, leading, lack of 2 foundation.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Yes, I read that.</p> <p>4 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>5 Q. Is that of significance to you?</p> <p>6 A. Very much so, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Why?</p> <p>8 A. Because he stated what the true incidence 9 of the vaginal mesh exposure was in the study at 20.7, 10 which the study itself quotes a lower number.</p> <p>11 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of 12 foundation.</p> <p>13 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>14 Q. Is a 20.7% exposure rate, in your opinion, 15 a safe rate for that complication?</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. Why not?</p> <p>18 A. Well, not just my opinion, my colleagues, 19 internal documentation say, you know, that is a very 20 common number. It is a very high number, and that 21 ultimately leads to reoperation, which is increased 22 risks there, so, no, it's not a safe number.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next PowerPoint 24 slide. I want to ask you about design challenge is a</p>	<p>1 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, argumentative, 2 705.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: It did not meet that fine 4 balance.</p> <p>5 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>6 Q. And what's your basis for that opinion?</p> <p>7 A. Again, just like I just mentioned, all 8 those aforementioned criteria. No small issue is my 9 daily or weekly examination of patients with Prolift®, 10 medical literature, review or our attendance at 11 meetings, international, national colleagues, 12 discussing those issues.</p> <p>13 Q. And with regard to the concept of too much 14 fibrosis would be unsafe, why is that? I think you've 15 talked about it, but let's just make it clear for the 16 record right now.</p> <p>17 A. Again, fibrosis is a response to the mesh 18 and the decrease in pore size, the small pore size, 19 which causes foreign body reaction, chronic 20 inflammation, which the body responds naturally, just 21 causing scarring.</p> <p>22 So too much fibrosis is a result of all those 23 other issues, okay, come together, and that's what 24 causes the pain, the vaginal extrusion, et cetera.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 86	Page 88
<p>1 Q. There's a concept of scar plating or 2 bridging fibrosis. You may have -- I think you talked 3 about it earlier, but is that relevant in this context? 4 A. Yes, that's what I'm referring to, the 5 scar plating is the result of the implantation of the 6 device, the decreased pore size, inflammation, foreign 7 body reaction, more scarring, and then you get that 8 plate. Remember, I keep going like this. This is 9 where it goes -- theoretically goes through the tissues 10 versus plating and scarring. 11 Q. Let's go to the next PowerPoint slide. 12 With regard to the concept of design 13 requirements, are you familiar with testimony from 14 Ethicon witnesses about their design requirements? 15 MR. ISMAIL: Objection as argumentative, 16 use of the slide, leading, lack of foundation. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, I've read all those 18 depositions. 19 BY MR. SLATER: 20 Q. I want to ask you about a specific design 21 requirement. The mesh lays flat. Assuming that the 22 mesh laying flat is a design requirement for the 23 Prolift®, do you have an opinion as to whether or not 24 the Prolift® met that design requirement?</p>	<p>1 this. The pelvis is a dynamic structure, okay. It's 2 not just like always laying down at the time of 3 surgery. A woman is going to be getting up, she's 4 going to be moving, she's going to go right, she's to 5 go left, she's going to lean over, and that's going to 6 make the vagina have to move. 7 The pelvis is an incredibly complicated 8 structure, and so these internal organs have to move. 9 Now if they're anchored in and have these arms going 10 out, going through muscles and that's anchored in 11 because of the scarring, foreign body reaction, et 12 cetera, it can't do that. So when those mesh arms 13 pull, it's going to be causing the pain and also the 14 vaginal extrusion and other factors -- other issues, 15 excuse me. 16 Q. When the mesh arms came through the 17 cannulas and they come through the cannulas in the 18 body, are they flat or has the shape been changed? 19 A. No, just like I pointed out, that's why 20 the video was so important, that's why I said the 21 original cartoon is not fair because it shows them 22 laying flat. You cannot have a flat piece of mesh this 23 wide go through a cannula -- a cannula this big, you 24 can't have a one centimeter thing come out flat, it</p>
Page 87	Page 89
<p>1 A. It did not meet that requirement. 2 Q. And what's your basis for that? 3 A. Okay. Basis, again, goes down the line of 4 my physical exam of these patients on a weekly basis, 5 including those with Prolift®, the medical literature, 6 internal documentation, national/international 7 meetings, discussion with colleagues. 8 Q. With regard to whether the mesh lays flat, 9 we've seen some materials and some videos here today, 10 does that enter into your opinion on that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Why is that? 13 A. The mesh, the Prolift® kit, when the mesh 14 comes it's a one size fits all, okay. It's analogous 15 to saying everybody should fit in the same size of 16 shoe, doesn't happen. So if that mesh is, let's say, 17 this long and you have a woman who is shorter or the 18 surgeon does not place it in the correct location or 19 the sufficient location, that mesh is going to bunch 20 up, it's not going to lay flat. It can't. 21 Q. With regard to the arms and the use of the 22 cannulas, does that impact on your opinion? 23 A. Yes, see, the arms, see, that's also 24 another aspect, the arms are going to be pulling on</p>	<p>1 won't be, can't do it, physically impossible. 2 Q. Okay. A design requirement of the mesh 3 incorporated safely into the woman's pelvis. 4 Assuming that to be one of the design 5 requirements, do you have an opinion as to whether that 6 design requirement was met with the Prolift®? 7 A. It was not met. 8 Q. Why is that? 9 A. Again, that goes back to everything we've 10 said over and over. The mesh has to be safely 11 incorporated in the pelvis, so no scarring, no 12 extrusion, no fibrosis, no pain, and that was not 13 achieved. 14 Q. Doctor, we're going to take that slide 15 down. We're going to go to the next exhibit. Please 16 look at Exhibit PLT0067 titled "Complications from 17 vaginally placed mesh in pelvic reconstructive 18 surgery." 19 Are you familiar with this article? 20 A. Very much so, yes. 21 Q. Is this article, in your opinion, 22 medically reliable and authoritative in the field? 23 A. Yes, it is. 24 Q. Is this an article that you've relied on</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 90	Page 92
<p>1 in forming your opinions?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. What is this article?</p> <p>4 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: This is written with my 6 colleagues in the urogynecology department at 7 Mayo. Roberta Blandon, she was a resident. I 8 didn't know her, but I know Gebhart, Trabuco 9 and Klingele well. I operate every other week 10 with three of -- two of those.</p> <p>11 And so this is summarizing -- this is in 12 the very early days, it was published in 2009, 13 submitted I think probably prior to that in the 14 early days of the mesh complications. It's one 15 of the first papers out there talking about 16 those complications.</p> <p>17 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>18 Q. And I just want to ask you a question 19 because we're going to talk a little bit more about the 20 complications described in this paper. Rephrase. 21 I want to ask you something baseline before we 22 talk about -- rephrase. 23 I want to ask you a baseline question. 24 When contracted Prolift® mesh is explanted,</p>	<p>1 Q. Is the mesh soft when it's coming out when 2 you're taking it out from these complications, or does 3 it have -- what does it feel like?</p> <p>4 A. It's encased in scar, you can feel it. If 5 you want to say a nice thing about mesh is when you can 6 feel it, because it's firm in there, okay. Normal 7 human body, it's not firm, okay. And so when you try 8 and get rid of autologous slings, they're very actually 9 difficult to find, but the meshes you can rub back and 10 forth, I tell the residents, I say, feel right here 11 because a lot of times we're working deep down in the 12 pelvis. We can't see it. You have to go by 13 proprioception, feel this, feel this band, feel where 14 this is going through the obturator foramen. So, no, 15 it's not soft at all.</p> <p>16 Q. Let's go to Page 529 of this article, and 17 in the left-hand column, the second full paragraph, I 18 want to read a sentence, a short portion of it, and ask 19 you a question. "One of our most important findings is 20 that only 14% of patients were referred by the original 21 surgeon, which suggests a lack of awareness of these 22 complications by the original treating physician and 23 the potential for underreporting of the rate and extent 24 of these complications due to nonrespondent/volunteer</p>
Page 91	Page 93
<p>1 when that's being done and when it's taken out, what 2 is -- we've seen what it looks like out of the box and 3 how it feels. How is it -- is it any different when 4 you're actually removing it from the body?</p> <p>5 A. It's a mess.</p> <p>6 Q. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>7 A. It's a very difficult surgery. The 8 mesh -- there is actually a picture of explanted mesh 9 here. Here we go.</p> <p>10 The picture that they show on Page 529 is 11 explanted mesh, okay. I, as a surgeon, look at this 12 and that is a human's body attached to that mesh. They 13 had to use big scissors to cut through this, and you 14 look at the burned edges, that means they're using a 15 cautery to burn through this mesh, okay. That is mesh, 16 just like the analogous to the rebar, okay, rebar in 17 concrete, okay. You got to get that out of there. 18 It's a train wreck. You have to use a jackhammer to 19 get it out. Obviously, in the human body you don't 20 have to use that, but it's stuck in there because this 21 is caked in scar.</p> <p>22 MR. ISMAIL: I'm sorry. Move to strike, 23 hearsay, 403, nonresponsive.</p> <p>24 BY MR. SLATER:</p>	<p>1 bias."</p> <p>2 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>5 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>6 Q. Why?</p> <p>7 A. This mirrors my practice. Let's just 8 focus on this data here, but the majority, especially 9 in here, of these patients are not being referred by 10 their doctor back home. Their doctor back home is 11 unaware of the level and the severity of the 12 complication, and the patient is seeking care 13 elsewhere, which, again, that mirrors my practice.</p> <p>14 MR. ISMAIL: Again, I assume we have a 15 standing objection on Plaintiff Exhibit 67 use 16 of hearsay.</p> <p>17 MR. SLATER: You have your standing 18 hearsay objection.</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: Thank you.</p> <p>20 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>21 Q. Let's go to the top of page -- of the 22 right hand column on Page 529, about four lines down. 23 I want to read the sentence and ask you a question or 24 two sentences.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 94	Page 96
<p>1 With the growing popularity of mesh insertion 2 kits, in which a large surface area of synthetic 3 material is placed, the vaginal surgeon is faced with 4 the challenges of very complex surgical dissections. 5 If mesh excision is warranted, tissue fibrosis, 6 scarring, bleeding, and urinary tract and anorectal 7 injury are easily encountered, which add to patient 8 morbidity.</p> <p>9 Is that of significance to you?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Why?</p> <p>12 A. Well, that mirrors my weekly practice. 13 This is complicated surgery. You have arguably three 14 of the top urogynecologists in the nation, there's 15 going to be others who are good, but these are top 16 notch guys, highly experienced at a high volume 17 tertiary care center, and they're saying they struggle 18 to do this. I struggle when I'm getting these things 19 out. It's a bear.</p> <p>20 Q. Let's go to the bottom of that column, the 21 right-hand column on Page 529. I want to read a 22 sentence and ask you a question.</p> <p>23 "It is important to remember that a percentage 24 of patients who undergo pelvic reconstructive surgery</p>	<p>1 Q. "The widespread marketing of these 2 technologies should be avoided until level I evidence 3 becomes available demonstrating their superiority over 4 traditional repairs, with acceptable rates of 5 morbidity."</p> <p>6 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>8 Q. And why is that?</p> <p>9 A. They're stating here that basically this 10 product is out without high quality studies showing 11 that it's worked and it's safe, and they're saying it 12 should not have been accepted, it should not be 13 performed.</p> <p>14 Q. With regard to the Prolift®, do you have 15 an opinion as to whether what I just read is accurate?</p> <p>16 A. It is accurate, yes, I support it 17 completely.</p> <p>18 Q. Did they -- did Ethicon have level I 19 evidence demonstrating superiority of the Prolift® over 20 traditional repairs with acceptable rates of morbidity 21 before it was marketed, in your opinion?</p> <p>22 A. There were no studies, no.</p> <p>23 Q. Did such studies ever exist, in your 24 opinion, level I evidence showing the superiority of</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 95</p> <p>1 with vaginally placed mesh will have life-changing 2 complications. Moreover, whereas minor complications 3 such as small vaginal mesh erosions are simple and easy 4 to manage, incapacitating pelvic pain, dyspareunia, and 5 large-scare erosions can be exceedingly complex and not 6 easily resolved."</p> <p>7 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>9 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>10 A. Well, again, there's a focus on the 11 vaginal extrusion, which the data from other 12 individuals would say that is a much more recurrent 13 problem than we knew at this point in time, but we're 14 saying these are some life-changing, severe, 15 life-altering problems that occurs as a result of the 16 Prolift® mesh.</p> <p>17 Q. And this article, the description of these 18 various complications, in your opinion, do they apply 19 to the Prolift®?</p> <p>20 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>21 Q. I want to go to the bottom of the first 22 full paragraph on Page 530, the last sentence. This 23 was February 2009, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p> <p>1 the Prolift® over traditional repairs with acceptable 2 rates of morbidity, was that ever produced for the 3 Prolift®?</p> <p>4 A. No. There are studies out there showing 5 efficacy, anatomical success, but we've already talked 6 about that. That's not quality of life. So to answer 7 your question specifically, no, that has not been done.</p> <p>8 Q. Let's go to the next PowerPoint slide.</p> <p>9 Doctor, I want to ask you about testimony from 10 David Robinson where he testified that gynecology had 11 not adopted the routine use of meshes due to 12 unacceptably high mesh complication rates.</p> <p>13 Are you familiar with that testimony?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, I am --</p> <p>15 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, argumentative, 16 lack of foundation.</p> <p>17 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>18 Q. David Robinson, who was the medical 19 affairs director, listed what he perceived to be 20 unacceptably high mesh complication rates, 6 to 25%, 3 21 to 12% and 6 to 12% from various studies.</p> <p>22 Are you familiar with that?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 MR. ISMAIL: Sorry. Objection to the use</p>

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Golkow Technologies, Inc. - 1.877.370.DEPS

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 98	Page 100
<p>1 of the slide, 403, argumentative. 2 BY MR. SLATER: 3 Q. With regard to the use, the routine use of 4 meshes and the nature of the complications one sees 5 with the Prolift®, do you agree or disagree with the 6 medical affairs director that these types -- these 7 rates of complications with the Prolift® whether that 8 would be acceptable or unacceptable? 9 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection. 10 BY MR. SLATER: 11 Q. You can answer. 12 A. I have yet to answer any of the questions 13 yet. 14 Q. You can answer. 15 A. Yes, I am familiar with this document, 16 these are the depositions which I read, so I am very 17 familiar with this, and I agree with him that the -- it 18 has not been accepted due to high complication rates, 19 and these are the numbers that he quoted. 20 Q. Let's go to the next slide. 21 Doctor, we have a PowerPoint slide here 22 entitled "Prolift® TVM Complication Rates." 23 What is this showing us? 24 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p>	<p>1 Why did you want to have this slide put 2 together comparing these rates? 3 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay to slides 4 15 and 16. 5 THE WITNESS: I put them in here 6 specifically because David Robinson, a person 7 of authority within Ethicon, had stated various 8 different unacceptable rates as listed there 9 from 3% up to 25%, as it states, and then we 10 compare it to the available literature of these 11 selected articles of stating complication rates 12 much higher than that. 13 BY MR. SLATER: 14 Q. Do you have an opinion when you look at 15 the rates of complications for these various studies of 16 the Prolift® whether or not those rates are acceptable 17 from a medical safety standpoint or not, in your 18 opinion? 19 A. From my opinion, based upon my daily 20 experience or weekly experience with these individuals 21 is that each one of those complications represent a 22 human being's life who has potentially been devastated, 23 so these are unacceptable rates. 24 Q. And do you base your opinion also on your</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: These are multiple different 2 studies, I reviewed all of these studies. 3 They're listed here. There are some -- 4 BY MR. SLATER: 5 Q. Let me ask you -- let me stop you. These 6 studies, are these studies medically reliable and 7 authoritative in the field? 8 A. Yes, these are good quality studies. 9 Q. And did you rely on them for forming your 10 opinions in this case? 11 A. Yes, I did. 12 Q. Okay. Go ahead, tell us what we're seeing 13 here. 14 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay. 15 THE WITNESS: Basically, these are a 16 combination of all the complications reported 17 in these various different studies from these 18 various different surgeons, going from as low 19 of 15.6 to up to 33.6. 20 BY MR. SLATER: 21 Q. Now let's go to the next slide. Where we 22 have side by side the rates of complications David 23 Robinson had described as unacceptable versus the rates 24 of complications for various studies of the Prolift®.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p> <p>1 reading of that literature and other associated 2 literature? 3 A. These are just six to eight selected 4 articles. There's many more articles -- and that's 5 also not including my attendance at national, 6 international meetings about this exact subject or -- 7 and lecturing on them. 8 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike, 9 hearsay. 10 BY MR. SLATER: 11 Q. Let's go to the next exhibit, take the 12 PowerPoint down. PLT0108, the next exhibit. 13 Doctor, I provided you Exhibit PLT0108. This 14 is an article by various doctors, including Dr. Cosson. 15 Is this an article that you have relied on for 16 your opinions? 17 A. Yes, I have. 18 Q. And is this article, in your opinion, 19 medically reliable and authoritative? 20 A. Yes, it is. 21 Q. And this was dated as an accepted date of 22 July 25, 2005, just a few months after the Prolift® 23 went on the market? 24 A. Correct.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 102	Page 104
<p>1 Q. And, again, Cosson, he's the one who was 2 named in the final study report for the TVM study, he 3 was the lead investigator for the Prolift® prototype 4 study?</p> <p>5 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. If we look in the abstract section in the 7 beginning, about halfway down that abstract, they say 8 that 34 cases of mesh exposure were observed within the 9 two months following surgery, which represents an 10 incidence of 12.27%.</p> <p>11 Do you see that?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>13 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, standing 14 objection to 108, please.</p> <p>15 MR. SLATER: Yeah, you have a standing 16 objection to them all.</p> <p>17 MR. ISMAIL: I know, but I feel like I 18 have to identify which ones are the 19 inappropriate hearsay for the record.</p> <p>20 MR. SLATER: No problem. You don't have 21 to object again to this article.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, I see that.</p> <p>23 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>24 Q. Now, what I'd like to do is turn to the</p>	<p>1 "Nowadays, based on these data, we can only 2 advise that caution be exercised when carrying out this 3 new surgical procedure. In fact, experimental studies 4 and clinical trials seem necessary in order to reduce 5 the level of exposure to less than 5% of cases."</p> <p>6 Is that statement of significance to you?</p> <p>7 A. Very much so, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>9 A. Well, because you have one of the 10 highest -- at this point in time, one of the highest 11 volume surgeons, Dr. Cosson, who is involved in the 12 original studies of this, who knows it probably better 13 than most -- well, much greater than most surgeons, and 14 he, in his opinion, is saying that we have -- are 15 having basically an unacceptably high complication 16 rate. This should be reserved as an experimental 17 procedure, meaning not widely accepted, until we can 18 get that exposure rate down to he says 5%.</p> <p>19 Q. Was the exposure rate across the board in 20 general in the medical community, when you look at the 21 medical literature, ever brought below 5% for the 22 Prolift®?</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: No.</p>
Page 103	Page 105
<p>1 last page -- before I do that, just for the record, you 2 may have talked about it before, what is mesh exposure?</p> <p>3 A. Mesh exposure, I have to be very careful 4 on the nomenclature, good you point that out, mesh 5 exposure now is defined as mesh that's coming through 6 the vagina. If you look back at older report, they may 7 talk about mesh erosion. Now mesh erosion is reserved 8 for when mesh is eroding into another organ, bladder, 9 rectum or somewhere else.</p> <p>10 Q. That's a strict definition you apply in 11 your clinical and academic practice, correct?</p> <p>12 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Do people still interchangeably use those 14 terms?</p> <p>15 A. Routinely the terms are used 16 interchangeably, but in academic presentations and in 17 papers now, it's very well-defined.</p> <p>18 Q. Looking at the last page, the conclusion 19 to the article written by -- the last author listed is 20 the senior author, that would be Cosson, right?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. Looking at the conclusion, the last 23 paragraph, I want to read something and ask you a 24 question about it.</p>	<p>1 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>2 Q. We had gone through an exhibit just a few 3 minutes ago listing exposure rates for various Prolift® 4 studies. Were they below or above 5%?</p> <p>5 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: All those are above, and any 7 studies I've ever reviewed which hint at lower, 8 they're always short-term studies.</p> <p>9 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>10 Q. Let's go to the next exhibit.</p> <p>11 Doctor, I've handed you what we've marked as 12 Exhibit PLT0011. It's an ACOG Practice Bulletin with 13 regard to Clinical Management Guidelines for 14 Obstetricians-Gynecologists, February 2007, and it says 15 in the left column it was authored with the assistance 16 of Dr. Scott Smilow and Dr. Anne Weber.</p> <p>17 Are you familiar with this document?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, I am.</p> <p>19 Q. Is this something you've relied on for 20 your opinions?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, I have.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you find this to be medically reliable 23 and authoritative in the field?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 106	Page 108
<p>1 Q. I want to now draw your attention in this 2 practice guideline, this is just -- these are 3 recommendations to gynecologists in day-to-day practice 4 for things they should consider and how they should 5 practice routinely?</p> <p>6 A. Correct. It's a bulletin that ACOG, which 7 is the American College of OB-GYN puts out periodically 8 on a routine basis of just updates for people to get a 9 synopsis of what's going on.</p> <p>10 Q. If you look at Page 468, the top 11 right-hand portion, the last -- the first full 12 paragraph in the right column, I'm going to read it and 13 ask you a question.</p> <p>14 MR. ISMAIL: Before do you, standing 15 objection as hearsay to Exhibit -- Plaintiff 16 Exhibit 11.</p> <p>17 MR. SLATER: You have a standing or a 18 sitting objection.</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: Thank you.</p> <p>20 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>21 Q. I'm going to read the first full paragraph 22 in the right-hand column on Page 468.</p> <p>23 "Given the limited data and frequent changes in 24 the marketed products (particularly with regard to type</p>	<p>1 colporrhaphy, the traditional repair is not 2 experimental. A procedure that is experimental means 3 that it has not been proven safe and efficacious. It 4 has to be both, can't just be one or the other, and so 5 until it is proven safe, it cannot be for every surgeon 6 to be doing it. It has to be under very close study 7 guidelines with a highly informed and consented 8 patient.</p> <p>9 Q. Are you familiar with the fact that later 10 in 2007, ACOG modified the bulletin to remove the word 11 experimental?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, I read that.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you know why that was done?</p> <p>14 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, first, relevance, 15 403, lack of foundation.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: I have read the internal 17 documentation e-mails of how that came about, 18 yes.</p> <p>19 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>20 Q. In very simple terms, what happened?</p> <p>21 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection, also improper 22 expert testimony, doesn't aid the jury.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: There was pressure put on 24 the ACOG bulletin, the committee that does</p>
Page 107	Page 109
<p>1 of mesh material itself, which is most closely 2 associated with several of the postoperative risks, 3 especially mesh erosion), the procedures should be 4 considered experimental and patients should consent to 5 surgery with that understanding."</p> <p>6 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And why is that?</p> <p>9 A. That this -- the ACOG board following 10 review of the literature, has come with the opinion 11 that the procedure is experimental, which means it 12 should not be used in widespread for every patient.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or 14 not the Prolift® should or should not have been 15 considered and actually utilized as an experimental 16 procedure?</p> <p>17 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: I have an opinion and it 19 should have stayed as an experimental.</p> <p>20 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>21 Q. When something is experimental, what does 22 that mean?</p> <p>23 A. Experimental puts it in a completely 24 different class of surgeries. The standard anterior</p>	<p>1 this, by individuals paid by Ethicon to 2 change -- get rid of the experimental.</p> <p>3 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>4 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or 5 not the word experimental should have remained in that 6 bulletin or not?</p> <p>7 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Absolutely should have.</p> <p>9 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>10 Q. Should have remained?</p> <p>11 A. Should have remain -- absolutely, it 12 should have remained there as experimental.</p> <p>13 Q. Let me ask you a question, we just saw an 14 ACOG bulletin in February 2007 saying that these mesh 15 kit procedures should be experimental.</p> <p>16 Is that the same thing that Cosson, the 17 developer of the procedure, said in 2005?</p> <p>18 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, leading.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: That is what he stated, yes.</p> <p>20 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>21 Q. Let's go to the next exhibit, and it is an 22 article that we've marked as PLT0139.</p> <p>23 Is this an article that you are familiar with?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 110	Page 112
<p>1 Q. Is this an article that you believe to be 2 medically reliable and authoritative?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, as it pertains to the abstract. The 4 remainder of the article is in French, so I have read 5 it and I can (speaking in French), I can read a bit, 6 but I can't read in detail here.</p> <p>7 Q. With regard to the English abstract on the 8 second page, is that medically reliable and 9 authoritative?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And that's something you relied on for 12 your opinions?</p> <p>13 A. Definitely, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And this was written by various doctors 15 from the TVM group, including Cosson?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And let's look at the abstract, let's look 18 at the summary of the study they did?</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: Standing objection, hearsay, 20 Plaintiff Exhibit 139.</p> <p>21 MR. SLATER: Standing objection.</p> <p>22 MR. ISMAIL: Thank you.</p> <p>23 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>24 Q. And what I want to do is go through this</p>	<p>1 In the middle of the section of the summary it 2 says, "Proposed to improve these phenomena, soft 3 Prolene recently used by several authors does not 4 appear to fulfill expectations."</p> <p>5 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, it does.</p> <p>7 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>8 A. Because you have to look at, you know, 9 that's why I mentioned the first part of this. They're 10 talking about the historical things, the Marlexes and 11 the Gortexes and the complication rates that were found 12 with those; therefore, individuals said, let's use a 13 different mesh. Let's use Prolene soft, okay. And 14 then when they did that, and, again, this is the early 15 days, these are the highest volume surgeons probably in 16 the world at that time, and they said the Prolene soft 17 did not meet -- reach the expectations they had hoped 18 it would.</p> <p>19 Q. And when they talk about the authors, that 20 includes Cosson, who developed the Prolift®?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, Cosson, among others, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And soft Prolene, just to be clear, that's 23 the mesh in the Prolift®?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p>
<p>1 in the first sentence, actually, the second sentence, 2 it says, "In light of the growing number of proposed 3 techniques and materials we reviewed the experience of 4 the pioneers in order to provide surgeons with the most 5 objective information available," and they're talking 6 about the use of transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>7 A. Correct.</p> <p>8 Q. In the body of the article, they talk 9 about certain complication rates with the use of 10 synthetic mesh to treat prolapse, and about halfway 11 down it says, "The rate of erosion was also quite 12 variable, as high as 45%," and then two lines down it 13 says, "the rate of dyspareunia has reached as high as 14 60%. Here again grades of prosthetic retraction should 15 be better defined."</p> <p>16 So stopping there, is that information 17 significant to you?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>19 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>20 A. Well, they're reviewing, you know, all the 21 synthetic meshes around, saying there's a high rate of 22 complication specifically when they're talking about 23 the retraction.</p> <p>24 Q. The next -- rephrase.</p>	<p>1 Q. Go down towards the bottom, the last 2 paragraph, and it says in part, "The lack of data on 3 the rate of complications and patient quality of life 4 is unacceptable for this functional surgery. We still 5 have reservations about widespread use of synthetic 6 meshes."</p> <p>7 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, very much so.</p> <p>9 Q. Why?</p> <p>10 A. Okay. Again, that's what I've been 11 stating all along. This is a quality of life problem, 12 okay. And these surgeons when they say functional, 13 that means quality of life. And so they address what I 14 already mentioned multiple times.</p> <p>15 Q. Let's go to the next exhibit PLT0696.</p> <p>16 Doctor, Exhibit 0696, PLT0696, is an article 17 titled "Evaluation and management of complications from 18 synthetic mesh after pelvic reconstructive surgery: a 19 multicenter study" by Dr. Abbott, et al.</p> <p>20 Are you familiar with this article?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, I am, very much so.</p> <p>22 Q. And is this article medically reliable and 23 authoritative in the field, in your opinion?</p> <p>24 A. It's a very good article, yes.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 114	Page 116
<p>1 Q. Is this an article you've relied on in 2 forming your opinions?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, I have.</p> <p>4 Q. What I would like to do first is turn to 5 -- well, rephrase.</p> <p>6 Very simply, what is this article about; what 7 are they talking about?</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, Exhibit 9 696, standing objection.</p> <p>10 MR. SLATER: Yep.</p> <p>11 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>12 Q. Let me ask the question again. What is 13 this article about? Let's start in general, and then 14 we'll go to specifics real quick.</p> <p>15 A. The article, as it states, which is 16 important, it's a multicenter study, so it's not just 17 one institution. So it's experience of multiple 18 different doctors, high volume, high profile, top notch 19 surgeons, and they're evaluating the -- their 20 complications that they have seen and referred in to 21 their institution from meshes and then the outcome 22 following these. So it's much more advanced study than 23 the original Blandon one. Blandon one is early is. 24 This is now late with multiple studies looking at this</p>	<p>1 what does this tell us about whether smoking, in your 2 opinion, factors into that?</p> <p>3 A. Well, it's not just my opinion, but the 4 opinion of these authors that smoking was not a factor 5 because if you look at never smoked, 61%. If you add 6 in there the previous but current nonsmokers, that 7 equals a total of 82% nonsmokers. So 82% of the people 8 weren't currently smoking and they had complications.</p> <p>9 Q. Let's go to page e5, if we could. And 10 what I would like to do is draw your attention to the 11 middle column, and the first full paragraph, about 12 halfway down, and they're talking about the patients 13 and some statistics on them, and it says, the most 14 common complaints were mesh erosion (42.7%), pelvic 15 pain (34.6%), and dyspareunia (30%), although most 16 women (70.3%) had with greater than one distinct 17 symptom or complaint.</p> <p>18 What is significant, if anything, about that?</p> <p>19 A. It means you have, to be basic, a bunch of 20 problems to fix. 70% were coming in with more than 21 just one problem, and then it breaks it down what those 22 various different problems are, but, I mean, it's not 23 just one thing you have to try and fix.</p> <p>24 Q. Turn to the next page, the Comment</p>
Page 115	Page 117
<p>1 problem.</p> <p>2 Q. The concepts that we're going to talk 3 about in this article, do they apply to the Prolift®, 4 in your opinion?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Let's first turn to Page e3, and 7 there's a Table 2.</p> <p>8 Do you see that?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>10 Q. And first at the top it says, there were 11 347 patients?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. And if you go down further it says, 14 "smoking status." What is that telling us?</p> <p>15 A. As it states, did the patient smoke, have 16 they never smoked, past smoker or a lifetime nonsmoker.</p> <p>17 Q. And what was the statistics on the 347 18 patients?</p> <p>19 A. Well, just reading it right off of there, 20 never smoked was 61%, past smoker 21%, current smoker 21 was 12.4%.</p> <p>22 Q. And with regard to the concept of mesh 23 erosion and complications that are discussed in this 24 article, and we're going to get to them in a second,</p>	<p>1 section, please, Page e6, and it says a little down 2 from the beginning of the comment section, 3 approximately one half of the women who sought 4 treatment of a mesh-related complication at a tertiary 5 referral center actually underwent their index 6 procedure, or their first procedure, at another 7 facility. This trend has been reported in other 8 studies as well. This raises the potential concern 9 that physicians who perform these mesh procedures may 10 not be aware of the complications their patients 11 experience and that these providers may be responsible 12 for future mesh-related complications, with no 13 awareness of the existing magnitude of the issue.</p> <p>14 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>16 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>17 A. Well, for two different reasons. Number 18 one, 50% of the procedures -- let's break it up into 19 50/50. 50% of these procedures, these complications 20 they're facing were done by high volume, high qualified 21 surgeons, okay, so that raises a problem right there.</p> <p>22 Number two, the other 50% were done by surgeons 23 who are unaware that this complication is even 24 existing, so it's multiple problems with that statement</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 118	Page 120
<p>1 right there.</p> <p>2 Q. Let's look at the right-hand column on</p> <p>3 page e6, almost halfway down the page, there's a</p> <p>4 sentence that starts, "Furthermore, complications after</p> <p>5 TVM tend to be more severe, are more chronic in nature</p> <p>6 and can be more difficult to treat. For instance, mesh</p> <p>7 erosion, pelvic pain, dyspareunia, vaginal</p> <p>8 constriction, vaginal spotting and obstructive</p> <p>9 defecation were all significantly more common after</p> <p>10 index surgery with TVM than 1 with sling only."</p> <p>11 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>12 A. Oh, absolutely. They're describing here</p> <p>13 that this is a problem that we can't fix. In medical</p> <p>14 school, residency and advanced training, we are trained</p> <p>15 to fix problems. That's what doctors are supposed to</p> <p>16 do, and they're stating we can't fix it.</p> <p>17 Q. Let's go down further on the third column</p> <p>18 on Page e6, almost to the bottom, about eight lines up,</p> <p>19 it says, "Most patients (60%) received 2 or more unique</p> <p>20 interventions; even then, there was no guarantee of</p> <p>21 symptom resolution."</p> <p>22 What, if any, significance is that?</p> <p>23 A. Okay. It's that there used to be this</p> <p>24 dogma of oh, treat a mesh exposure, that's it, it's</p>	<p>1 MR. SLATER: Yes.</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: Thank you.</p> <p>3 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>4 Q. Doctor, Exhibit PLT1095 is an article</p> <p>5 titled "Surgical management of mesh-related</p> <p>6 complications after prior pelvic floor reconstructive</p> <p>7 surgery with mesh." There's a few authors,</p> <p>8 including -- is it Heesakkers?</p> <p>9 A. John Heesakkers.</p> <p>10 Q. Heesakkers and Mariëlla Withagen from</p> <p>11 2011.</p> <p>12 Are you familiar with this article?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, I am.</p> <p>14 Q. Is this article medically reliable and</p> <p>15 authoritative in the field, in your opinion?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>17 Q. Is this an article you relied on?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And do you know any of these authors?</p> <p>20 A. I've heard Withagen speak. John</p> <p>21 Heesakkers, he is the chair of the European Urology</p> <p>22 Reconstructive Surgery, which I am a board member of,</p> <p>23 so I've talked to him, I've talked to him about mesh</p> <p>24 complications, so I know him personally.</p>
Page 119	Page 121
<p>1 gone, no big deal.</p> <p>2 What they're saying is it requires multiple --</p> <p>3 60% of their patients required two or more, and I think</p> <p>4 later on they say there's something like 12% required</p> <p>5 up to five or six, so it's a much larger number. I</p> <p>6 don't have any specifics right here. So but bottom</p> <p>7 line, it's a problem that continues to create more</p> <p>8 problems, and it can't just be resolved quickly.</p> <p>9 Q. The description of complications and the</p> <p>10 issues with treating the complications in this article,</p> <p>11 in your opinion, do these concepts apply to the</p> <p>12 Prolift®?</p> <p>13 A. Absolutely, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or</p> <p>15 not this profile of complications is medically safe or</p> <p>16 unsafe for patients?</p> <p>17 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative.</p> <p>18 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>19 Q. What's your opinion?</p> <p>20 A. It's unsafe.</p> <p>21 Q. Let's go to the next exhibit, which is</p> <p>22 PLT1095, which I did give you before.</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: When we came in first thing</p> <p>24 the morning?</p>	<p>1 Q. Let's turn -- this is a paper about the</p> <p>2 treatment of mesh complications, including Prolift®?</p> <p>3 A. That's correct.</p> <p>4 MR. ISMAIL: Objection to hearsay, Exhibit</p> <p>5 1095, also, on not disclosed previously as a</p> <p>6 reliance material for this witness.</p> <p>7 Standing objection?</p> <p>8 MR. SLATER: Standing objection.</p> <p>9 MR. ISMAIL: Thank you.</p> <p>10 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>11 Q. Let's turn to the fourth page, Page 1398,</p> <p>12 and first I want to read something in the right-hand</p> <p>13 column. About halfway down the right-hand column it</p> <p>14 says, a distinct difference in frequency of</p> <p>15 mesh-related symptoms existed between the different</p> <p>16 types of mesh insertion procedure, especially in</p> <p>17 sacrocolpopexy compared to the other procedures. Pain</p> <p>18 and dyspareunia are mainly seen after mesh insertion</p> <p>19 and vaginal bleeding and discharge after</p> <p>20 sacrocolpopexy.</p> <p>21 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>24 A. Because then they're going back to this</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 122	Page 124
<p>1 issue of this being a quality of life problem and this 2 patient having with the mesh kits, transvaginal mesh 3 kits having the vaginal pain.</p> <p>4 Q. I'm going to ask you to do something. Can 5 you just grab the mesh from the anterior kit real 6 quick.</p> <p>7 With the abdominal sacrocolpopexy, is mesh 8 used, where it's put in through the abdomen?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, through the abdomen, which is 10 different than through the vagina.</p> <p>11 Q. And can you illustrate for the jury 12 holding up the Prolift® how much mesh would be used in 13 a abdominal sacrocolpopexy and give the jury some idea 14 of the difference.</p> <p>15 A. Well, you have to break it down so we can 16 see it here. So this is the mesh for the anterior 17 prolapse, anterior Prolift® and then the --</p> <p>18 Q. Hold it up more.</p> <p>19 A. The amount in contact with the vagina, 20 we're not talking about the arms, just the vagina is 21 going to be this part here, okay. And then you also 22 have the arms, okay, which go through the muscles what 23 I've already referred to.</p> <p>24 Now, for the sacrocolpopexy, the robotic</p>	<p>1 as between abdominal sacrocolpopexy and the Prolift® 2 procedure as to which one has a more or less acceptable 3 safety and efficacy profile overall?</p> <p>4 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Yeah, the data will show the 6 abdominal sacrocolpopexy, whether it be done 7 robotically, laparoscopically or with an 8 incision is a much safer procedure, with lower 9 incidence of dyspareunia, chronic problems 10 associated with Prolift®. So it's a -- you 11 can't compare the two. They're apples and 12 oranges as far as the procedure goes.</p> <p>13 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>14 Q. Let's turn now to Page 1402 of the article 15 that we are discussing here. The Heesakkers-Withagen 16 article and in the right-hand column, towards the top 17 right, top paragraph, last sentence, it says, also, the 18 urologist is always involved in the treatment of 19 patients with (suspected) mesh complications affecting 20 the bladder.</p> <p>21 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>24 A. Because what Withagen, who's a, you know,</p>
Page 123	Page 125
<p>1 sacrocolpopexy or the open procedure, the amount in 2 contact with the vagina is going to be about that much, 3 okay, maybe a little bit more, maybe a little bit less, 4 and you'll be able to have it lie flat anteriorly, and 5 there may be also a piece that size going posteriorly. 6 In direct contact with the vagina is significantly 7 less.</p> <p>8 Q. That size difference, what's the size of 9 the amount of mesh, can you estimate the size of what's 10 used with the abdominal procedure?</p> <p>11 A. Okay. It's going to be anteriorly, what's 12 that, 2, maybe 3 centimeters, and also what I do is, 13 and most people do, is you trim the top so it's a 14 little more curved so it would actually be less than 15 this. Let's just say 2 by 2 anteriorly, posteriorly 16 maybe 2 by 3 centimeters, which is going to be 17 significantly less, you can just visualize it, 18 significantly less than the volume of mesh put in 19 otherwise for the Prolift®.</p> <p>20 Q. So that's about an inch, 2 centimeters?</p> <p>21 A. 2.54 centimeters in an inch.</p> <p>22 Q. So a little less.</p> <p>23 A. That's why I just said, just look at this.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as within --</p>	<p>1 highly trained, very good pelvic surgeon is what she's 2 saying, and she gets another expert involved in the 3 bladder, because these are so difficult to get out.</p> <p>4 Q. Let's go down further in that column to 5 the last -- the second to last -- really, the last full 6 paragraph and about halfway down through that it says, 7 "Of the patients included in this study, 20 underwent 8 insertion of Prolift® at our hospital between halfway 9 of 2005 and end of 2009. In this period, 180 Prolift® 10 meshes were inserted. So, 20 out of 180, (11%) 11 patients with Prolift® inserted at our center developed 12 complications that required excision."</p> <p>13 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, it is, especially given the probably 15 relatively short amount of follow-ups, that's a very 16 high number.</p> <p>17 Q. Having over 10% reoperations to remove 18 mesh?</p> <p>19 A. It's quite -- that's a very high number, 20 yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Finally, I want to go to the last page of 22 the text. The Conclusion, the very bottom of the left 23 column over to the top, I want to read something and 24 ask you a question. So we're at the bottom of the left</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 126</p> <p>1 column under the Conclusion, the last paragraph. 2 A. I'm there. 3 Q. It says, "The increasing number of 4 inserted meshes for SUI and POP raises concerns. Mesh 5 is successfully used for repair of prolapse, but when 6 complications arise, they may be severe in nature and 7 result in a decrease in quality of life. New meshes 8 are introduced into clinical practice, despite the lack 9 of proper studies showing their safety and 10 effectiveness. Moreover, the use of easy-to-do mesh 11 kits lowers the threshold for inexperienced surgeons to 12 start operating with meshes. This can only lead to 13 more complications, which is harmful for the patients."</p> <p>14 Is that significant to you? 15 A. Very much so, yes. 16 Q. Why is that? 17 A. Well, you go point by point through here 18 is -- in the first line, mesh is successfully used to 19 repair prolapse. You know, I agree with that, that 20 they can repair prolapses. Now we had a high failure 21 rate, it's 20% or so, but that's not the issue. It's 22 that these complications are the problem. That's the 23 life-changing aspect of it and that they're introduced 24 without any studies, okay. There were no human studies</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 128</p> <p>1 Q. And in the bottom right-hand column 2 there's a set of corrections. 3 Do you see that? 4 A. Yes, I do. 5 Q. And the bottom one says that there was a 6 correction to an article titled "Anterior Colporrhaphy 7 versus Transvaginal Mesh for Pelvic Organ Prolapse," 8 published in the New England Journal of Medicine, 9 May 12, 2011. 10 And are you familiar with that article? 11 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, the Altman study, 13 I'm familiar. 14 BY MR. SLATER: 15 Q. And they talk about a correction that was 16 made to some language in the Altman study of the 17 Prolift®? 18 A. That is correct. 19 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay. 20 Standing objection 2731. 21 BY MR. SLATER: 22 Q. If somebody in this courtroom were to have 23 relied on the Altman study to say that that is proof of 24 the safety or efficacy or that the Prolift® is a</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 127</p> <p>1 on Prolift® prior to release, okay. To my opinion that 2 is unethical and unacceptable. 3 And then, number three, this gets into more of 4 a discussion, these easy kits allow inexperienced 5 to start -- inexperienced surgeon, to allow them to 6 operate, that's beyond the scope of this here. But it 7 raises the ability for people who are not advanced 8 surgeons of doing these things. Again, that's, to a 9 certain degree, a different issue here. 10 MR. ISMAIL: In addition to hearsay, which 11 has been preserved, move to strike as 12 nonresponsive and not proper grounds for expert 13 testimony. 14 BY MR. SLATER: 15 Q. Let's go to the next exhibit. 16 Doctor, I've handed you what we've marked as 17 Exhibit -- actually, what number is on that? 18 A. P2731. 19 Q. Is it P or PLT? 20 A. P. 21 Q. Just P, okay. Okay. Let me start over. 22 Doctor, I've handed you Exhibit P2731, and this 23 is a page from the New England Journal of Medicine? 24 A. That is correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 129</p> <p>1 suitable device or system, what would be your response 2 to that based on the correction and the information you 3 have available to you from the depositions of the 4 editors of the New England Journal of Medicine and the 5 internal documents you've seen from the company? 6 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, 403. 7 BY MR. SLATER: 8 Q. You can answer. 9 A. Based upon what I have read, as you 10 mentioned, the depositions from the journal -- New 11 England Journal of Medicine editors, what I've read of 12 internal documentation, of correspondence going back 13 and forth between the author and key people, three or 14 four within Ethicon, that the author originally stated 15 that this data was not -- had no industry involvement. 16 And then we come to find out that roughly, what, 100 or 17 so changes were made by Ethicon on this document. 18 Subsequently, there's no disclosure of bias, 19 which is the reason why rules exist to declare if 20 there's a potential bias. So that Altman study, along 21 with other errors that were pointed out on POP-Q scores 22 makes that study unreliable and false. 23 Q. When you talk about errors with POP-Q 24 scores, what are you talking about, and why is that</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 130	Page 132
<p>1 significant in assessing the validity of the Altman 2 study?</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, hearsay.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: POP-Q is a grading system, 5 POP-Q, pelvic organ prolapse quantification of 6 the prolapse, okay. It's basic numbers and 7 certain POP-Q scores, we should abbreviate 8 POP-Q, because it's just easier. It's a very 9 logical system, and so in my review of these 10 internal documents, e-mails back and forth and 11 depositions, we find out that those POP-Q 12 scores are not possible, not physically 13 possible, so, therefore, that data is false. 14 That's why I have been privy to information the 15 average doctor on the street has not been. So, 16 again that's why it's a major because it 17 undermines the very core and validity of that 18 information.</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike, 20 nonresponsive.</p> <p>21 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>22 Q. Let's go to the next PowerPoint slide. 23 Doctor, I want to ask you about some 24 characteristics of the Prolift® and ask you a question</p>	<p>1 reaction, scarring and pain.</p> <p>2 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>3 Q. Number 2, mesh does not lay flat in an 4 unstretched condition.</p> <p>5 Why do you say that?</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: As I stated earlier, you 8 can't get that mesh to lie flat. If it doesn't 9 lie flat, it bunches, it curls, ropes and then 10 that causes, again, that cascade of the 11 problem, pore size decrease, foreign body 12 reaction, inflammation, pain.</p> <p>13 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>14 Q. With regard to the arms, roping, curling 15 and banding, location in obturator space and deep 16 pelvis, why do you include that?</p> <p>17 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: The roping, curling and 19 banding, we showed multiple times here, that's 20 going to cause that -- those arms to roll up, 21 scar. They band, you can feel them on physical 22 exam. Going through the obturator foramen 23 space and deep pelvis, the significance of that 24 is it's going to anchor it in and those</p>
Page 131	Page 133
<p>1 about them, okay?</p> <p>2 A. Okay.</p> <p>3 Q. First of all, did you compile a list of 4 what you believe to be medically unsafe Prolift® 5 characteristics?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, in an abbreviated form listed here, 7 yes.</p> <p>8 Q. The first one, "tension is unavoidable/no 9 'tension free'"</p> <p>10 MR. ISMAIL: Object to the -- sorry.</p> <p>11 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>12 Q. You've talked about these things, some of 13 them at length, but I just want you to briefly just 14 tell us why you include that in the list?</p> <p>15 MR. ISMAIL: Object to the slide as 16 argumentative, object to the testimony as 17 cumulative.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Tension free is not 19 physically possible within the female pelvis. 20 So that's why it's tension free is -- tension 21 is going to happen, which then goes down to one 22 of the root sources of problems, where you get 23 tension, you get the pore size collapse, then 24 you cause that inflammation, foreign body</p>	<p>1 muscles, those multiple muscles that have been 2 pierced will then contract with pain -- excuse 3 me -- with activity causing pain.</p> <p>4 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>5 Q. Mesh does not incorporate safely in the 6 pelvis.</p> <p>7 What does that mean?</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: That's what we've been 10 stating multiple times. This mesh is not a 11 safe product to be placed in the female pelvis 12 transvaginally.</p> <p>13 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>14 Q. Difficult/impossible to safely and 15 effectively remove the mesh.</p> <p>16 Why do you say that?</p> <p>17 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Because the product when put 19 in for a quality of life issue, it is 20 impossible to get that mesh out completely. 21 You can leave behind or do severe damage to the 22 pelvic structures in trying to take it out.</p> <p>23 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>24 Q. Do you hold those opinions to a reasonable</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 134	Page 136
<p>1 degree of medical certainty?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, those are based upon my personal 3 experience, review of the literature, internal 4 documentations, everything.</p> <p>5 Q. Based upon the list of medically unsafe 6 Prolift® characteristics that you have compiled, do you 7 have an opinion as to whether or not the Prolift® 8 system is a defective -- defectively designed system 9 and procedure for the treatment of pelvic organ 10 prolapse?</p> <p>11 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative, lack 12 of foundation, lack of qualifications.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: As I've mentioned, based 14 upon my experience in taking care of these 15 complications, my experience performing the 16 traditional repairs without mesh, that this was 17 an unsafe, poorly designed product that has no 18 role being placed in the female pelvis.</p> <p>19 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>20 Q. Let's go to the next slide.</p> <p>21 Doctor, did you compile a list of injuries 22 caused by medically unsafe Prolift® characteristics, 23 meaning what the consequences are of the list of 24 characteristics you listed on the prior slide?</p>	<p>1 THE WITNESS: Because you're treating a 2 quality of life problem, prolapse, and if you 3 place a device in there that has chronic, 4 severe, permanent and progressive inflammation, 5 it's unacceptable to trade a quality of life 6 problem with a viable, acceptable alternative 7 and trade it for a chronic, permanent problem.</p> <p>8 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>9 Q. Contraction of the mesh, and then you have 10 the term excessive. Tell us what that means and why 11 that is, in your opinion, applicable?</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, cumulative.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: The key with that is, number 14 one, contraction, so the mesh shrinks down as a 15 result of the scarring and inflammation, but 16 then excessive, so it's pulling on the muscles, 17 causing the pain, causing banding, rolling and 18 subsequently causing mesh exposure, so it 19 causes multiple different problems.</p> <p>20 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>21 Q. Scar plating and fibrotic bridging, 22 explain that, why that is a result of the Prolift®?</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, cumulative.</p> <p>24 BY MR. SLATER:</p>
Page 135	Page 137
<p>1 MR. ISMAIL: Objection. Sorry. 2 Objection, the slide is argumentative, also 403 3 as being -- many of these being irrelevant to 4 the plaintiff at issue.</p> <p>5 MR. SLATER: I'm going to ask the question 6 differently.</p> <p>7 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>8 Q. Doctor, I'd like to talk about a list of 9 injuries caused by medically unsafe Prolift® 10 characteristics, a list that we have here to talk 11 through, okay?</p> <p>12 A. Okay.</p> <p>13 Q. Is this list applicable to the Prolift® in 14 those issues that you just went through on the prior 15 slide?</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>18 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>19 Q. Doctor, I'm going to walk through these 20 one at a time.</p> <p>21 Chronic, severe inflammation, why is that, in 22 your opinion, a result of a medically unsafe 23 characteristic of the Prolift®?</p> <p>24 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403.</p>	<p>1 Q. And what you've called medically unsafe 2 Prolift® characteristics?</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: Cumulative.</p> <p>4 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>5 Q. Scar plating, fibrotic bridging, Number 3.</p> <p>6 A. Thank you. Again, this goes back to the 7 fundamental problem with the mesh of causing that 8 plating. It doesn't cause tissue integration, where it 9 goes through those pores. It causes that plating, 10 which then causes the mesh to contract; bridging, which 11 causes pain for both the partner -- excuse me -- for 12 the patient in sexual activity with the partner also, 13 along with other as far as just ambulation.</p> <p>14 Q. Extrusion/exposure/erosion of mesh - 15 complex/recurrent. What are you talking about there, 16 and why is that an injury caused by a medically unsafe 17 Prolift® characteristic?</p> <p>18 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, cumulative.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Due to the design of this 20 product, what I see in my daily practice, 21 because those pores constrict, because you get 22 this fibrosis or persistent infection, you can 23 get extrusion of the mesh, exposure, and the 24 key here is complex and recurrent, meaning it's</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 138</p> <p>1 not just one quick little procedure and it's 2 done. As that Abbott study showed it comes 3 back multiple times. 4 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike, 5 hearsay. 6 BY MR. SLATER: 7 Q. Vaginal pelvic pain, which can be chronic. 8 Why is that the result of a medically unsafe Prolift® 9 characteristic? 10 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, cumulative. 11 THE WITNESS: This is one of the biggest 12 issues which I see in my clinic on a weekly 13 basis is that we now have a quality of life 14 problem of this pelvic organ prolapse. Woman 15 has fullness, pressure, and then now we've 16 traded it for a chronic, progressive, 17 permanent, unfixable problem, okay. So the 18 women's quality of life, these are the patients 19 that I have in my clinic, they and their 20 spouse, they're crying because they are ruined 21 because of a quality of life problem when there 22 was a viable other option available. 23 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike, 24 nonresponsive.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 140</p> <p>1 Q. Urinary dysfunction, which can be chronic, 2 why is that a result of medically unsafe Prolift® 3 characteristics, in your opinion? 4 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, cumulative. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. Due to the placement 6 where this is placed, in the vesicovaginal 7 space, in between the bladder and the vagina, 8 where all the nerves for bladder function come 9 in like this, you now have created that foreign 10 body, which is going to cause contraction, 11 erosion, inflammation, and it's going to 12 affecting those nerves causing permanent 13 bladder dysfunction, which you can't fix. 14 BY MR. SLATER: 15 Q. Mesh removal operations, why do you 16 include that as injuries caused by medically unsafe 17 Prolift® characteristics? 18 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, cumulative. 19 THE WITNESS: Every surgery has risks to 20 it, especially as the individual becomes older, 21 there's data out there showing mentation 22 issues, et cetera. So if the patient undergoes 23 multiple surgeries to try and fix this, besides 24 just the expense of it, the wear and tear on</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p> <p>1 BY MR. SLATER: 2 Q. Dyspareunia, which can be chronic, why is 3 that a result of a medically unsafe Prolift® 4 characteristic? 5 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative. 6 THE WITNESS: That's just the same thing 7 as what I just mentioned as far as with the 8 vaginal pain, pelvic pain. Quality of life 9 problem for permanent progressive problem is 10 not fixable. 11 BY MR. SLATER: 12 Q. Pelvic floor myalgia, otherwise known as 13 muscle spasms, which can be chronic, why does that 14 result from medically unsafe Prolift® characteristics, 15 in your opinion? 16 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, cumulative. 17 THE WITNESS: This is due to those mesh 18 arms going through all those muscles that I 19 mentioned. When they pull, they tug, the 20 pelvic musculature becomes irritated and 21 painful, and so it's directly due to the 22 presence of that foreign body and the arms in 23 the product. 24 BY MR. SLATER:</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p> <p>1 the human body, it's not just a one and done, 2 easy fix, office procedure. 3 BY MR. SLATER: 4 Q. Doctor, you said earlier, and I'll just 5 confirm it, you said you're familiar with the IFU for 6 the Prolift®? 7 A. Yes, I am. 8 Q. This profile of injuries, complications 9 that can be caused by the Prolift®, in your opinion, is 10 that adequately warned of in any IFU for the Prolift® 11 that you've ever seen? 12 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of 13 foundation, lack of qualifications. 14 THE WITNESS: No. 15 BY MR. SLATER: 16 Q. Is the medical information that is set 17 forth in this list that you have compiled found in the 18 Prolift® IFU, in your opinion? 19 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections. 20 THE WITNESS: No. 21 BY MR. SLATER: 22 Q. Is it important to not only warn of 23 specific individual risks but also of the entire full 24 spectrum of the risks at the same time?</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 142	Page 144
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Why does that matter?</p> <p>3 A. The IFU needs to warn about all the known</p> <p>4 complications, their severity, their frequency, so you</p> <p>5 got to -- and the ability to change it. So you've got</p> <p>6 to warn for all of those potential factors, which were</p> <p>7 all known.</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike</p> <p>9 under 705. Sorry.</p> <p>10 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>11 Q. Let me ask you this: Is it important for</p> <p>12 the entire risk profile and the most severe</p> <p>13 complications to be fully disclosed to the doctor?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: The doctor, as a surgeon</p> <p>18 myself, I need to know so I can relay</p> <p>19 accurately to the patient, a human being that's</p> <p>20 sitting in my office, I have to be able to tell</p> <p>21 them, here's what we can expect, I have to be</p> <p>22 told all known complications, severity and</p> <p>23 their nature, what is known, so I can</p> <p>24 accurately consent my patient.</p>	<p>1 THE WITNESS: I did not list these in</p> <p>2 level of complexity, which I probably should</p> <p>3 have, but starting off with mesh removal</p> <p>4 operation, this is to remove the mesh, that can</p> <p>5 be removal of an exposure, it's outpatient type</p> <p>6 procedure versus the complete removal of the</p> <p>7 mesh, which is a major transabdominal belly</p> <p>8 procedure, highly complicated thing. So that</p> <p>9 falls in the next point of just surgical care.</p> <p>10 These are complicated procedures requiring</p> <p>11 multiple office visits, multiple follow-up,</p> <p>12 multiple effect upon the individual's usual</p> <p>13 lifestyle, okay.</p> <p>14 Pain management/injections, another option</p> <p>15 for treating pelvic pain. This is the majority</p> <p>16 of what I see. Unfortunately, I have yet to</p> <p>17 have, in my experience now, since meshes have</p> <p>18 come out, so now it's, what, ten years now, I</p> <p>19 have yet to have a successful pain management</p> <p>20 patient with meshes, I can't fix them. I have</p> <p>21 a physical therapy team. I have a nurse who</p> <p>22 works in biofeedback. I have an anesthesia</p> <p>23 pain clinic, can't fix them. So it's a</p> <p>24 permanent problem.</p>
Page 143	Page 145
<p>1 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>2 Q. Does that also enter into the risk-benefit</p> <p>3 analysis and what recommendations are made and how</p> <p>4 they're made?</p> <p>5 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>6 Q. Let's go to the next slide, "Treatment of</p> <p>7 Prolift® Complications."</p> <p>8 Doctor, this list of treatment of Prolift®</p> <p>9 complications, I'll let you just walk through it and</p> <p>10 just quickly tell us, first of all, are these</p> <p>11 treatments that are known to be, in your opinion, to be</p> <p>12 necessary to treat various complications from a</p> <p>13 Prolift®?</p> <p>14 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative and</p> <p>15 403.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Many times, yes.</p> <p>17 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Just go through them one at a time.</p> <p>19 Tell us what you're specifically talking about and just</p> <p>20 tell us so we understand what they are.</p> <p>21 A. Sure. I did not list the --</p> <p>22 MR. ISMAIL: Objection. Sorry, doctor.</p> <p>23 I'll let you restart, but objection, cumulative</p> <p>24 and 403.</p>	<p>1 Pelvic floor physical therapy, that's what</p> <p>2 I just mentioned, biofeedback, again, an</p> <p>3 option. I have had zero success.</p> <p>4 Spinal --</p> <p>5 Q. Let me just stop you there. Were you</p> <p>6 talking about success in terms of completely treating</p> <p>7 the condition and making the person completely better?</p> <p>8 A. No. I'm talking about a significant</p> <p>9 reduction in their symptoms. I'm not -- I do not try</p> <p>10 to make -- let me back up.</p> <p>11 I would love to be able to make someone pain</p> <p>12 free. I'm realistic, I can't. I am happy if I can get</p> <p>13 a significant reduction in their pain. I can't even</p> <p>14 get that, and I've got arguably some of the best people</p> <p>15 around to help me out, and I can't do it. I wish I</p> <p>16 could.</p> <p>17 Q. Let's go on, spinal stimulator.</p> <p>18 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, cumulative.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: The spinal stimulator</p> <p>20 evolved with our pain clinic. It's just</p> <p>21 another way of injecting pain medication to the</p> <p>22 spine or locally.</p> <p>23 Catheterization is dealing for bladder</p> <p>24 dysfunction that occurs afterwards, where the</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 146	Page 148
<p>1 woman is in retention and can't urinate because 2 of contraction. 3 Medication is again going down the lines 4 of bladder spasm medication or pain medication, 5 which I allow my pain clinic colleagues to deal 6 with that.</p> <p>7 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next PowerPoint 9 slide. Doctor, I want to ask you about a statement 10 made by David Robinson in his deposition of March 13, 11 2012, Page 52, Line 11 to 15 and ask you a question 12 about it.</p> <p>13 First of all, you read that deposition; you 14 know this testimony?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>16 Q. "Data should establish that the benefits 17 far outweigh the risks before the product is sold for 18 widespread use."</p> <p>19 Did Ethicon ever establish data that would 20 satisfy that criteria?</p> <p>21 MR. ISMAIL: Objection to the use of the 22 slide as argumentative, and testimony is 23 cumulative, lack of foundation.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: No.</p>	<p>1 Q. And do you agree with the descriptions of 2 the criteria for what warnings needed to communicate 3 regarding risks as testified to by the medical affairs 4 directors; do you agree with that testimony?</p> <p>5 MR. ISMAIL: Objection to the slide as 403 6 and argumentative and to the testimony as 403, 7 argumentative and without qualification.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I agree to each of 9 those five points I pointed out.</p> <p>10 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>11 Q. And just to be clear, Doctor, to meet any 12 objection, in your practice, you have utilized and not 13 only utilized but taught residents the use of the IFU, 14 including risk information?</p> <p>15 A. Oh, absolutely, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. And, in your experience, is it necessary 17 for you to understand how to read an IFU and literature 18 from a manufacturer to determine how to use that risk 19 information in treating patients?</p> <p>20 A. Absolutely. I have to trust what I read 21 on the IFU, so that's why I relay on to the patients 22 and relay on to my residents during education.</p> <p>23 Q. Let's go to the next exhibit, Exhibit 24 P1005.</p>
<p>1 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>2 Q. Do you have an opinion to a reasonable 3 degree of medical certainty as to whether or not the 4 overall risk-benefit profile for the Prolift® was 5 medically acceptable?</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: It was not medically 8 acceptable.</p> <p>9 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>10 Q. And is that for the reasons you've stated 11 throughout your testimony?</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>14 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>15 Q. Let's go to the next PowerPoint slide. I 16 want to ask you about some testimony that Ethicon 17 medical affairs directors gave regarding the standards 18 they described for what the warnings of risks needed to 19 communicate.</p> <p>20 Are you familiar with what that testimony was?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, I've read all those depositions.</p> <p>22 Q. And is that testimony something that 23 you've relied on in forming your opinions?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p>	<p>1 Doctor, let me start over. Get a drink of 2 water.</p> <p>3 Doctor, looking at Exhibit P1005, this is an 4 IFU that Ethicon has advised us was in effect from 2007 5 until, I believe, September 2009.</p> <p>6 Are you familiar with this IFU?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, I am.</p> <p>8 Q. And you've talked about it before. You're 9 familiar with the document and the various bits of 10 information in there?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. I want to just ask you to just run through 13 a few things and ask you brief questions about them. 14 Let's go to the second page. There is a heading 15 halfway down just below the table that says "Gynecare 16 Gynemesh® PS," and that's the name of the mesh material 17 in the Prolift®?</p> <p>18 A. That is correct.</p> <p>19 Q. The last sentence of that section says, 20 "The bi-directional elastic property allows adaptation 21 to various stresses encountered in the body."</p> <p>22 Are you familiar with that statement in this 23 IFU?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p>
	38 (Pages 146 to 149)

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 150	Page 152
<p>1 Q. Have you in all the materials you've 2 reviewed seen whether Ethicon had any data to support 3 making that claim in the IFU?</p> <p>4 A. They had none.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or 6 not it was appropriate or inappropriate for Ethicon to 7 make that statement in the IFU?</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, improper expert 9 testimony.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: It would be inappropriate 11 and misleading to the surgeon.</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike, 13 nonresponsive.</p> <p>14 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>15 Q. Based on your knowledge and experience and 16 familiarity with the literature and the use of IFUs, do 17 you have an opinion as to whether surgeons expect that 18 the information in an IFU is accurate?</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, improper expert 20 testimony.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: You expect and I used to 22 expect it to be honest and truthful.</p> <p>23 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>24 Q. What do you mean by used to?</p>	<p>1 transient." I want to stop there. 2 Do you have an opinion as to whether that is an 3 accurate statement or not?</p> <p>4 A. I have an opinion, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And what is your opinion?</p> <p>6 A. It is wrong.</p> <p>7 Q. Why do you say that?</p> <p>8 A. Because the foreign body reaction as 9 documented in the literature what I've seen in my 10 personal experience and the internal documentation is 11 not minimum to slight, and it is permanent and 12 progressive.</p> <p>13 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike, 14 hearsay.</p> <p>15 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>16 Q. It indicates in the Performance section 17 that there will be "a minimum to slight inflammatory 18 reaction, which is transient, and is followed by the 19 deposition of a thin, fibrous layer of tissue which can 20 grow through the interstices of the mesh."</p> <p>21 Do you have an opinion as to whether or not 22 that is a fully accurate and fully fair disclosure of 23 what occurs?</p> <p>24 A. I have an opinion, yes.</p>
Page 151	Page 153
<p>1 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, improper 2 testimony for an expert.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: In my daily practice as a 4 surgeon, and I had reviewed these, I had 5 expected in the past to have it be an honest 6 representation of what was known, so that I 7 could relay honestly to my patients, people 8 that I care for and am trained to care for, and 9 now I do not believe that is true anymore.</p> <p>10 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike.</p> <p>11 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>12 Q. Let's go to Page 5 of the IFU, and there's 13 a section under Performance, and it indicates at the 14 very bottom Page 5. Let's start over.</p> <p>15 Let's go to Page 5 of the IFU, Doctor. There's 16 a little number 5 in the bottom right.</p> <p>17 You see it?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And at the bottom of the page there is a 20 section that says Performance.</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And in that section regarding the mesh 23 material and the Prolift® it says that it "elicits a 24 minimum to slight inflammatory reaction, which is</p>	<p>1 Q. What's your opinion? 2 A. That it is incorrect. 3 Q. Why? 4 A. Based upon my experience, my physical exam 5 of hundreds of women, it is not a thin, fibrous layer. 6 It's thick, it's bunched up, it's firm.</p> <p>7 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike under 403.</p> <p>8 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>9 Q. In the Performance section, about halfway 10 down through that it says, "the mesh remains soft and 11 pliable."</p> <p>12 Do you see that statement? Do you have an 13 opinion as to whether that is accurate?</p> <p>14 A. It is false.</p> <p>15 Q. Why do you say that?</p> <p>16 A. That's based upon my own physical exams on 17 patients, review of the literature, review of internal 18 documents. It gets firm and fixed, rigid.</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike as 20 hearsay, 403.</p> <p>21 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>22 Q. Did you see testimony of Axel Arnaud, the 23 medical affairs director in France with regard to 24 whether the mesh stays soft?</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 154	Page 156
<p>1 A. I saw his and other people's depositions, 2 yes. 3 Q. And what did he say about whether it stays 4 soft over time? 5 A. It does not. 6 Q. Now, there are statements in the IFU 7 regarding the indications or contraindications, and I 8 want to ask you a question -- and we'll have to go back 9 to an exhibit we used previously. I want to ask you a 10 question about who the appropriate patients are for the 11 Prolift® as stated in the IFU. 12 So, first of all, PLT0062 was one of the first 13 exhibits we used. If you just put that aside, we're 14 going to need that -- let me start over. 15 Doctor, on Page 2 of the IFU it says 16 Indications right towards the top and it says it's 17 indicated for tissue reinforcement and long-lasting 18 stabilization of the fascial structures of the pelvic 19 floor, et cetera. 20 You see that? 21 A. Yes, I do. 22 Q. And then on Page 6 there are 23 contraindications listed at the very top. 24 You see that, the very top of the page?</p>	<p>1 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 BY MR. SLATER: 4 Q. Why? 5 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection. 6 THE WITNESS: The surgeons who at this 7 point in time have the largest experience about 8 this product and what it'd be indicated for and 9 including the complications felt that it should 10 be reserved only for the more severe prolapses. 11 BY MR. SLATER: 12 Q. And when they say possibly as first-line 13 treatment, what does that mean? 14 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections. 15 THE WITNESS: It means that for an 16 individual who comes in who has never had a 17 previous prolapse repair, that may be in their 18 opinion for the higher grade prolapses, it can 19 be used as first-line treatment. 20 BY MR. SLATER: 21 Q. And is that significant to you? 22 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections. 23 THE WITNESS: Very much so, as a surgeon. 24 BY MR. SLATER:</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 155</p> <p>1 A. Yep. 2 Q. Is there anywhere in this IFU where it's 3 indicated that the Prolift® is intended only for 4 advanced prolapse Stage III or IV? 5 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of relevance, 6 403. 7 THE WITNESS: It does not state anything 8 in regard to indication of a prolapse stage. 9 BY MR. SLATER: 10 Q. And let's go now in Exhibit PLT0062 to 11 Page 587, the second to last page of that exhibit, and 12 this is the article by the TVM group, the doctors who 13 developed the Prolift®? 14 A. Yes, by the inventors of the product, yes. 15 Q. And right in the middle of the conclusion 16 it says, "this technique should be reserved to the 17 management of grade 3 and 4 prolapse, possibly as 18 first-line treatment." 19 Do you see that? 20 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, lack of 21 relevance, 403. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 23 BY MR. SLATER: 24 Q. Is that of significance to you?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 157</p> <p>1 Q. With regard to the information in the IFU, 2 is that significant to you? 3 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections. 4 THE WITNESS: Well, absolutely. As a 5 surgeon who when papers originally come out, 6 you look to the original authors to say, help 7 me, guide me through this and when this is 8 indicated. So, yeah, it's a very important 9 statement for me. 10 BY MR. SLATER: 11 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether that 12 information should have been included in the Prolift® 13 IFU? 14 A. The surgeons -- 15 MR. ISMAIL: Objection. 16 BY MR. SLATER: 17 Q. Do you have an opinion on that? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. What is your opinion as to whether that 20 information should have been provided? 21 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of relevance, 22 403. 23 THE WITNESS: It should have been. 24 BY MR. SLATER:</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 158</p> <p>1 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Because these surgeons are</p> <p>4 the authority at this point in time. They have</p> <p>5 the most experience. They know the good and</p> <p>6 the bad of this product, and so they're saying</p> <p>7 be careful, only put this in high grade</p> <p>8 prolapses, maybe as a first line treatment.</p> <p>9 They're not recommending that. So that's the</p> <p>10 kind of information I want relayed on by an</p> <p>11 industry.</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, move to</p> <p>13 strike.</p> <p>14 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>15 Q. And when you give that opinion, you're not</p> <p>16 just talking about for yourself, you're giving that</p> <p>17 opinion as to what surgeons, in general, would need?</p> <p>18 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Absolutely, I'm an educator.</p> <p>20 I'm teaching the next generation of surgeons.</p> <p>21 I'm also involved in SUFU, the Society of</p> <p>22 Urodynamics and Female Urology, where we're</p> <p>23 trying to teach all those out in private</p> <p>24 practice. So, yeah, we have to rely on these</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 160</p> <p>1 Q. Right on the front it talks about the fact</p> <p>2 that we with these Prolift® patients, the bottom of the</p> <p>3 results section, "Mesh exposure was detected in 14 of</p> <p>4 83 patients (16.9%)."</p> <p>5 Is that significant to you?</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay. Standing</p> <p>7 objection, please.</p> <p>8 MR. SLATER: Yes.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>10 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>11 Q. Why?</p> <p>12 A. Because in this high volume, talented</p> <p>13 individual or these surgeons, they had essentially 17%,</p> <p>14 to be specific, 16.9% risk of mesh exposure at only 12</p> <p>15 months. Remember, this is a device that's going to be</p> <p>16 in a woman forever, and at one year already 16.9% have</p> <p>17 exposure.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether that</p> <p>19 level of a mesh exposure rate is acceptable or</p> <p>20 unacceptable from a medical standpoint?</p> <p>21 A. It is unacceptable, yeah, absolutely it's</p> <p>22 unacceptable.</p> <p>23 Q. Let's go to the last page of the article,</p> <p>24 Page 250, the last paragraph. And the second sentence</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 159</p> <p>1 guidelines to help us point at the best way to</p> <p>2 treat patients.</p> <p>3 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. We'll go to next exhibit now,</p> <p>5 PLT0516. This is an article by Dr. Withagen,</p> <p>6 "Trocar-Guided Mesh Compared With Conventional Vaginal</p> <p>7 Repair in Recurrent Prolapse, A Randomized Controlled</p> <p>8 Trial."</p> <p>9 Are you familiar with this article?</p> <p>10 A. Yes. And this should be pointed out that</p> <p>11 this was first study where she's doing this work and</p> <p>12 then we had a follow-up study that we've already</p> <p>13 reviewed with the complications as a result of this</p> <p>14 procedure.</p> <p>15 Q. All right. Let me ask you the question</p> <p>16 again.</p> <p>17 Doctor, are you familiar with this article?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, I am.</p> <p>19 Q. Is this article medically reliable and</p> <p>20 authoritative?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>22 Q. Is this something you've relied on in</p> <p>23 forming your opinions?</p> <p>24 A. Definitely.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 161</p> <p>1 of the last paragraph says, "Because the long-term</p> <p>2 effects and safety of mesh-reinforced repairs are not</p> <p>3 yet fully known, surgeons may consider these procedures</p> <p>4 primarily for recurrent vaginal prolapse after</p> <p>5 counseling patients on the risks and benefits."</p> <p>6 Is that statement significant to you?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Why?</p> <p>9 A. Once again, in this high volume surgeon,</p> <p>10 they're saying that even as of 2011, we still don't</p> <p>11 know the true complications that can occur with this,</p> <p>12 and so it only should be reserved for individuals with</p> <p>13 a recurrent prolapse. They have already had a surgery</p> <p>14 and it's failed and it needs surgery again. So it's</p> <p>15 reserving it for a very small subgroup.</p> <p>16 Q. And, in your opinion, do you think --</p> <p>17 rephrase.</p> <p>18 Do you have an opinion as to whether the IFU</p> <p>19 should have limited the scope of patients who would be</p> <p>20 acceptable, candidates as listed in that article by</p> <p>21 Withagen?</p> <p>22 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, sorry. In</p> <p>23 addition to hearsay, cumulative, 403.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Absolutely, I have an</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 162</p> <p>1 opinion about it. 2 BY MR. SLATER: 3 Q. What is that? 4 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection. 5 THE WITNESS: It should have been listed. 6 BY MR. SLATER: 7 Q. Let's go to the next exhibit. 8 Doctor, looking at Exhibit P980, it's some 9 e-mails, January of 2005, about two months before the 10 Prolift® went on the market. 11 Are you familiar with this e-mail chain? 12 A. Yes, I've seen it. 13 Q. What I'd like to do is turn to the second 14 page, e-mail from Axel Arnaud, the medical affairs 15 director at Ethicon in France, and he's proposing a 16 warning. 17 And have you seen this e-mail and this proposed 18 warning? 19 A. Yes, I have. 20 Q. And just for the record, I'll read it and 21 then I have to ask you a question. 22 "Warning: Early clinical experience has shown 23 that the use of mesh through a vaginal approach can 24 occasionally/uncommonly lead to complications such as</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 164</p> <p>1 you have an opinion as to whether or not a warning was 2 needed to cull out the specific risks for sexually 3 active women? 4 A. Absolutely, because of the risk of 5 dyspareunia, yeah. You need to be able to tell them, 6 you have a potential for problem and not be able to 7 have intercourse without pain in the future. 8 Q. Doctor, let's go back to the IFU, Exhibit 9 P1005. You have it right there. Okay. Start over. 10 Doctor, looking at the IFU, let's look at the 11 last page, and it has a list of adverse reactions. 12 Do you see that? 13 A. Yes, I do. 14 Q. And it says, "Potential adverse reactions 15 are those typically associated with surgically 16 implantable materials." I want to stop there. 17 Surgically implantable materials, is that 18 limited -- is that group of materials just mesh, or is 19 that a bigger group? 20 A. Well, as they state there, surgically 21 implantable materials is anything, that can be a heart 22 valve, knee joint, hip joint. It could be anything. 23 Q. In your opinion, is it accurate, medically 24 accurate to say that for mesh, the Prolift® mesh in</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 163</p> <p>1 vaginal erosion and retraction which can result in 2 anatomical distortion of the vaginal cavity which can 3 interfere with sexual intercourse. Clinical data 4 suggest the risk of such an complication is increased 5 in the case of associated hysterectomy. This must be 6 taken in consideration when the procedure is planned in 7 a sexually active woman."</p> <p>8 Now, do you have an opinion as to whether or 9 not that warning should or should not have been 10 provided in the Prolift® IFU?</p> <p>11 A. I have an opinion on it, yes. 12 Q. What is your opinion? 13 A. Absolutely, it should have been. 14 Q. Why do you say that? 15 A. Well, you have an individual, Arnaud, who 16 knows the data, has seen what's happened with internal 17 documentation, and he is warning -- he saw the problems 18 that were occurring, knew about the problems and wants 19 to put in the IFU a warning to doctors saying patients 20 need to be told about this.</p> <p>21 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike, 22 improper expert testimony.</p> <p>23 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>24 Q. With regard to sexually active women, do</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 165</p> <p>1 actual use that the potential adverse reactions are 2 those typically associated with surgically implantable 3 materials in general?</p> <p>4 A. No, not at all. 5 Q. Why do you say that? 6 A. I mean, the type of complication, the 7 severity, the chronic nature, the progressive nature is 8 different than in other types of implants. I do 9 implants on different types of things in males. I'm 10 the number one implanter in the United States, and we 11 don't see what we're seeing with these females. So you 12 can't -- you can't compare all surgical implants. 13 We're dealing with a vaginal mesh.</p> <p>14 Q. Let me read in the adverse reactions, 15 there's certain language. They mention erosion and 16 extrusion.</p> <p>17 Do you see those? They're listed in that list 18 of adverse reactions typically associated with 19 surgically implantable materials?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I do. 21 Q. Is it adequate, in your opinion, from a 22 medical standpoint to simply list erosion and 23 extrusion, as done there, to communicate the risks of 24 erosion and extrusion?</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 166	Page 168
<p>1 A. No, it's wholly inadequate.</p> <p>2 Q. Why?</p> <p>3 A. It's insufficient, it gives us no idea of 4 the frequency, the severity, recurrent nature, the 5 lifelong risk of erosions and extrusions.</p> <p>6 Q. It says with regard to potential adverse 7 reactions typically associated with surgically 8 implantable materials "scarring that results in implant 9 contraction."</p> <p>10 Do you see that?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>12 Q. Is that an adequate description of the 13 risk of scarring and implant contraction?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>16 A. Again, like I mentioned, it has no idea of 17 the ramifications, the severity of it, the progressive 18 nature of it, the life-changing disability and the 19 inability to fix it.</p> <p>20 Q. Doctor, let's go to the next Exhibit 21 P1557. This is an e-mail written by David Robinson, 22 October 28, 2005.</p> <p>23 Are you familiar with this e-mail?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, I am.</p>	<p>1 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>2 Q. From your standpoint as a physician in 3 clinical practice and teaching residents and an author 4 of articles, is that of significance to you?</p> <p>5 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, improper 6 grounds for expert testimony.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Absolutely, yes.</p> <p>8 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>9 Q. Why is that significant to you?</p> <p>10 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: Because it's true. We're 12 trained not to harm people, make them worse. 13 That's the whole goal of medicine. So now 14 they're saying now they're trying to cover up a 15 potential complication.</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike, 17 nonresponsive, 403, improper grounds for 18 testimony.</p> <p>19 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>20 Q. Let me ask you this question: Where it 21 says that if this starts getting reported that people 22 were having the inability to void, they were having 23 urinary retention that was lasting for a year or more 24 and if it gets reported it's going to scare the</p>
Page 167	Page 169
<p>1 Q. In this e-mail, David Robinson says he is 2 aware of four cases of Prolift®s done in folks with 3 normal preoperative voiding function who post Prolift® 4 can't void.</p> <p>5 Do you see that?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>7 Q. He says a little further down, some have 8 resolved spontaneously but have taken as long as a year 9 to do so and asks the person he's writing to if they've 10 seen the -- this complication, this is right before he 11 joined the company as medical director?</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: Objection to the use of this 13 document as hearsay.</p> <p>14 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>15 Q. Correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And David Robinson says -- and it's 18 actually addressed to Marty, that would be Marty 19 Weisberg, medical director, if this starts getting 20 reported, it's going to scare the daylights out of 21 doctors.</p> <p>22 Do you see that?</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.</p>	<p>1 daylights out of doctors, why, in your opinion, is that 2 significant?</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: 403, cumulative, hearsay, 4 improper grounds for expert testimony.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: It's a unique complication 6 that would not necessarily be seen. You don't 7 see this with traditional repairs. So this is 8 a unique thing. They're talking bladder atony, 9 which means there's no function to the bladder, 10 so the nerves going to the bladder have been 11 disrupted by this procedure.</p> <p>12 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>13 Q. Does the IFU adverse reactions list warn 14 of urinary complications, such as retention or urinary 15 dysfunction due to the Prolift® itself?</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or 18 not it should have?</p> <p>19 A. Absolutely it should have.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Let's go back to Exhibit P1306, 21 patient brochure. You have it up there from beginning 22 of the dep, it's right there, and I think -- let me 23 take a step back.</p> <p>24 Have you in your practice seen and used patient</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 170	Page 172
<p>1 brochures?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. You understand or do you understand the</p> <p>4 use for which they're supposed to be made?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, I do, and I give them out daily.</p> <p>6 Q. I want to pull up a slide, the last slide,</p> <p>7 Prolift® patient brochure, and what we'll do is with</p> <p>8 the brochure in hand, we'll go through certain things</p> <p>9 that the brochure says.</p> <p>10 MR. ISMAIL: Objection.</p> <p>11 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>12 Q. In the interest of time.</p> <p>13 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, to the use of the</p> <p>14 document, 403, lack of relevance in this case.</p> <p>15 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>16 Q. Let's do this, looking at the brochure</p> <p>17 itself, Page 10. Let's take down the slide -- let me</p> <p>18 stop. Let's leave the slide up for a second. I want</p> <p>19 to ask you a question about the slide, Doctor.</p> <p>20 Is this a summary of issues you have with the</p> <p>21 information provided in the brochure?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And are we going to now go through those</p> <p>24 issues specifically within the brochure?</p>	<p>1 calling it a revolutionary surgical procedure. Is that</p> <p>2 statement, in your opinion, something that should be</p> <p>3 included here?</p> <p>4 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of relevance,</p> <p>5 403.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: I think that is actually an</p> <p>7 acceptable statement. It was new, it was</p> <p>8 different, no one had done it before, and it</p> <p>9 was revolutionary, and therein lies the problem</p> <p>10 that many doctors don't know a thing about it,</p> <p>11 and so they have to be taught.</p> <p>12 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>13 Q. It says it was a specially designed</p> <p>14 supportive soft mesh.</p> <p>15 Was that an accurate statement, to your</p> <p>16 knowledge?</p> <p>17 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, lack of</p> <p>18 relevance.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: It's false.</p> <p>20 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>21 Q. And why is that?</p> <p>22 A. Because it was designed for hernias, not</p> <p>23 vaginal meshes.</p> <p>24 Q. When it refers to it as being soft mesh,</p>
Page 171	Page 173
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Now let's go to the brochure.</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: Object to use of the slide on</p> <p>4 the same grounds.</p> <p>5 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>6 Q. Page 10, let me ask you this about the</p> <p>7 slide that we have up.</p> <p>8 Do you have an opinion -- well, rephrase.</p> <p>9 We'll come back to it. Stop. Let me clean this up.</p> <p>10 Looking at the patient brochure, Page 10, it</p> <p>11 says "What is Gynecare Prolift®" at the very top. "A</p> <p>12 revolutionary surgical procedure using Gynecare</p> <p>13 Prolift® employs a specially designed soft mesh placed</p> <p>14 in the pelvis to restore pelvic support."</p> <p>15 Do you have an opinion as to whether or not</p> <p>16 that is adequate and accurate information regarding the</p> <p>17 Prolift®?</p> <p>18 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of relevance,</p> <p>19 403.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: Well, it's a long sentence.</p> <p>21 Certain parts of it are correct, other parts</p> <p>22 are incorrect.</p> <p>23 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>24 Q. Let's talk about it. Let's talk about</p>	<p>1 in actual use, does the mesh remain soft?</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, cumulative, 403,</p> <p>3 lack of relevance.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Well, that's what we</p> <p>5 discussed, in my own personal experience and</p> <p>6 review of the internal documents and papers,</p> <p>7 manuscripts, it does not stay soft. It gets</p> <p>8 firm, rigid.</p> <p>9 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>10 Q. On Page 10 under "What is Gynecare</p> <p>11 Prolift®," towards the bottom it says, it's "performed</p> <p>12 through very small incisions inside the vagina."</p> <p>13 Do you see that? First paragraph right there</p> <p>14 under "What is Gynecare Prolift®,," the second sentence.</p> <p>15 A. Yes, I see it.</p> <p>16 Q. Is the Prolift® only placed through very</p> <p>17 small incisions, or does that accurately describe the</p> <p>18 trocars and the cannulas?</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of relevance,</p> <p>20 403.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: Well, no, it's not only</p> <p>22 performed through the vagina. There are also</p> <p>23 obturator incisions, and the incision is</p> <p>24 variable from 2 to 4 to 5 centimeters, so it</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 174	Page 176
<p>1 depends how you want to define very small. 2 BY MR. SLATER: 3 Q. Doctor, with regard to the brochure, let's 4 go to Page 13, and it says, "What are the risks? All 5 surgical procedures present some risks. Although 6 rare," and I'm going to stop there. 7 Do you have an opinion as to whether or not it 8 is accurate to describe the risks with the Prolift® as 9 rare? 10 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of relevance, 11 403. 12 THE WITNESS: It is wrong, incorrect. 13 BY MR. SLATER: 14 Q. Why do you say that? 15 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection. 16 THE WITNESS: It's just not my opinion, 17 that's also Axel Arnaud. He says it's rather 18 common. 19 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike, 20 improper testimony. 21 BY MR. SLATER: 22 Q. It says at the bottom of the section What 23 are the risks, there is a small risk of the mesh 24 material becoming exposed into the vaginal canal."</p>	<p>1 Q. And what is your opinion? 2 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection. 3 THE WITNESS: It's incorrect based upon 4 the medical literature. 5 BY MR. SLATER: 6 Q. Why do you say that? 7 A. Because the inventors of the product and 8 other researchers coming out saying it needs to be for 9 high grade and recurrent prolapse. 10 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike, hearsay. 11 BY MR. SLATER: 12 Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to 13 whether or not the Prolift® patient brochure provides 14 an accurate picture of the risk-benefit profile for the 15 Prolift® for a doctor or a patient? 16 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of relevance, 17 403. 18 THE WITNESS: I have an opinion, yes. 19 BY MR. SLATER: 20 Q. And what is your opinion? 21 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection. 22 THE WITNESS: It is insufficient and 23 inadequate. 24 BY MR. SLATER:</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 175</p> <p>1 Do you have an opinion as to whether or not 2 that is an accurate statement? 3 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, lack of 4 relevance. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 6 BY MR. SLATER: 7 Q. And what is your opinion? 8 A. False. 9 Q. Why do you say that? 10 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections. 11 THE WITNESS: Based upon my clinical 12 experience, the review of the medical 13 literature and internal documents, the risk is 14 actually very common. 15 BY MR. SLATER: 16 Q. On Page 13, towards the bottom, under "Is 17 Gynecare Prolift® right for me?" It says, "Pelvic 18 floor repair procedures with Gynecare Prolift® are 19 appropriate for most patients." I want to stop there. 20 Do you have an opinion as to whether that is an 21 accurate statement? 22 MR. ISMAIL: Lack of relevance, 403. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 24 BY MR. SLATER:</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 177</p> <p>1 Q. Doctor, with regard to the Prolift® IFU, 2 do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of 3 medical certainty as to whether the IFU provides an 4 adequate and accurate picture of the risk-benefit 5 profile for the use of the Prolift®? 6 MR. ISMAIL: Cumulative. 7 THE WITNESS: I have an opinion, yes. 8 BY MR. SLATER: 9 Q. What is your opinion? 10 MR. ISMAIL: Same objection. 11 THE WITNESS: It is insufficient and 12 inadequate. 13 BY MR. SLATER: 14 Q. And is that for with regard to the patient 15 brochure, your opinion, is that based upon all the 16 things you've told us during your testimony with regard 17 to the nature of the Prolift® and the risks? 18 A. Absolutely. 19 Q. With regard to the IFU, is your opinion 20 based upon the information you've given us throughout 21 your testimony regarding the nature of the procedure, 22 the risks and the other things you've told us about the 23 Prolift®? 24 A. Yes.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 178	Page 180
<p>1 MR. SLATER: Let's go off. 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:24, and 3 we're off the record. 4 (Brief recess.) 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:40 and 6 we are back on the record. 7 MR. SLATER: Dr. Elliott, thank you very 8 much. I think there will be some 9 cross-examination from defense counsel. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. ISMAIL: 12 Q. Good afternoon, Doctor. 13 A. Good afternoon. 14 Q. Are you prepared to proceed with 15 cross-examination at this time? 16 A. Yes, I am. 17 Q. Doctor, you testified this morning about 18 potential complications you believe that are associated 19 with the use of transvaginal mesh for treatment of 20 organ prolapse, correct? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. Now, I will get to your general views 23 later but, can you confirm that not every patient who 24 received transvaginal mesh for treatment of prolapse</p>	<p>1 Q. You would want to consider what symptoms 2 the patient reported and when, correct? 3 A. Chronology of onset of symptoms, yeah, 4 that would be an important factor. 5 Q. You would want to consider, in this 6 analysis that we're describing, what other procedures 7 or surgeries that patient had in the relevant time 8 frame, correct? 9 A. Yeah, you would want to look at the 10 concurrent surgeries and past surgeries, yeah, that's 11 right. 12 Q. You would want to consider the findings of 13 that patient's healthcare provider with respect to the 14 patient's symptoms and complaints, correct? 15 A. Well, that would be the medical records, 16 yeah, with the caring physician's report, yes. 17 Q. And you have done none of that with 18 respect to Patricia Hammons, correct? 19 A. Incorrect. 20 Q. Let me rephrase. 21 You did not disclose anywhere in your expert 22 report any opinions relating to Ms. Hammons, correct? 23 A. I did -- not specific to Ms. Hammons, no. 24 Q. You did not disclose anywhere in your</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 179</p> <p>1 experienced one of the complications you described this 2 morning? 3 MR. SLATER: Objection. You can answer. 4 THE WITNESS: At this point in time, as of 5 November 21st, 2015, those patients -- not all 6 patients have experienced all those 7 complications. 8 BY MR. ISMAIL: 9 Q. And that's true for the Prolift® as well, 10 right? 11 A. That is correct. 12 Q. Before anyone can conclude that a patient 13 experienced any of the complications from a Prolift® 14 device you would need to consider the specifics of that 15 patient, correct? 16 A. You have to look at the entire patient, 17 all the medical history and their surgical procedures, 18 yes. 19 Q. Okay. So let's just make sure we're 20 making ourselves clear here. So what you would want to 21 look at to know whether a patient has experienced a 22 complication from a Prolift®, you would want to look at 23 patient medical records, correct? 24 A. That would be part of it, yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 181</p> <p>1 expert report having reviewed Ms. Hammons' medical 2 records, correct? 3 A. I don't recall if I have reviewed her 4 records but I didn't -- not in the expert report I 5 don't believe. 6 Q. You didn't disclose anywhere in your 7 expert report that you reviewed the sworn testimony in 8 this case, correct? 9 MR. SLATER: Objection. 10 BY MR. ISMAIL: 11 Q. The sworn testimony in Ms. Hammons' case, 12 correct? 13 A. You mean her -- 14 MR. SLATER: Let me just clarify. When 15 you say in Ms. Hammons' case, you are talking 16 about of her or -- 17 MR. ISMAIL: I'll clarify. 18 THE WITNESS: Sworn testimony, you mean 19 her deposition? 20 MR. ISMAIL: I will rephrase, Doctor. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. 22 BY MR. ISMAIL: 23 Q. Nowhere in your expert report do you 24 disclose that you reviewed the sworn testimony of</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 182	Page 184
<p>1 Ms. Hammons, correct?</p> <p>2 A. I don't recall disclosing that, no.</p> <p>3 Q. Nowhere in your expert report did you</p> <p>4 disclose reading the sworn testimony of Ms. Hammons'</p> <p>5 healthcare providers, correct?</p> <p>6 A. I don't believe so. Again, I'd have to</p> <p>7 look at the report. I don't recall making that</p> <p>8 statement one way or the other actually.</p> <p>9 Q. Nowhere in your expert report do you</p> <p>10 disclose doing a physical exam on Ms. Hammons, correct?</p> <p>11 A. That would be correct, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And you have not done a physical exam on</p> <p>13 Ms. Hammons, correct?</p> <p>14 A. No, I have not, no.</p> <p>15 Q. So my statement is correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And you have previously said, Doctor, that</p> <p>18 a physical examination is one of the most important</p> <p>19 pieces of the puzzle in understanding what happened to</p> <p>20 a patient, correct?</p> <p>21 A. That's a fair statement, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And certainly, Doctor, you can confirm</p> <p>23 that in some patients Prolift® was effective in</p> <p>24 relieving symptoms of the patient's pelvic organ</p>	<p>1 A. That is correct.</p> <p>2 Q. You were first contacted in September of</p> <p>3 2011; do you recall that?</p> <p>4 A. August, September of '11, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. So I want the jury to understand</p> <p>6 your experience with Prolift® before the time that you</p> <p>7 were hired by the plaintiff lawyers in this litigation,</p> <p>8 okay?</p> <p>9 A. Okay.</p> <p>10 Q. Now, you, yourself, have never performed a</p> <p>11 Prolift® surgery for the implantation of a Prolift®,</p> <p>12 correct?</p> <p>13 A. By choice, you are correct, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. So when you were walking the jury through</p> <p>15 this morning, in the event that video is shown at</p> <p>16 trial, the surgery of a Prolift® being implanted in a</p> <p>17 patient, you never have done that yourself, correct?</p> <p>18 A. That is correct, by choice I did not, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And that surgical video you never saw</p> <p>20 prior to being retained by the plaintiff lawyers in</p> <p>21 this litigation, correct?</p> <p>22 A. That specific video I did not, you are</p> <p>23 correct.</p> <p>24 Q. In fact, Doctor, you never received any</p>
Page 183	Page 185
<p>1 prolapse, correct?</p> <p>2 A. That does happen at times, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And not just an improvement in the</p> <p>4 patient's symptoms, but, actually, a Prolift® can</p> <p>5 improve a patient's quality of life, that has been</p> <p>6 reported, correct?</p> <p>7 A. That has been reported, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And before you can determine whether a</p> <p>9 patient has had an improvement in her quality of life</p> <p>10 you would want to look at the same things we have</p> <p>11 already discussed; the medical records, the timing of</p> <p>12 her symptoms, findings of her healthcare providers, et</p> <p>13 cetera, correct?</p> <p>14 A. That is correct.</p> <p>15 Q. And nowhere in your expert report do you</p> <p>16 disclose doing any of that analysis for Ms. Hammons,</p> <p>17 true?</p> <p>18 A. I don't disclose that, you are correct.</p> <p>19 Q. Now, you have discussed your views on</p> <p>20 Prolift® in response to questions from Mr. Slater this</p> <p>21 morning, right?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And you did so as a paid witness on behalf</p> <p>24 of the plaintiff lawyers, correct?</p>	<p>1 training whatsoever on Prolift®, true?</p> <p>2 A. That would be correct, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. You walked through or at least referenced</p> <p>4 a -- something that Mr. Slater introduced as a</p> <p>5 professional education PowerPoint.</p> <p>6 Do you recall seeing that this morning?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>8 Q. Prior to being hired by the plaintiff</p> <p>9 lawyers in this case you had never seen any</p> <p>10 professional education materials submitted by Ethicon</p> <p>11 on Prolift®, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Not that I recall but I've been to</p> <p>13 their -- their Ethicon booth when this first came out,</p> <p>14 so I don't recall what I saw back then.</p> <p>15 Q. When you say you went to the Ethicon</p> <p>16 booth, you are saying to the extent Ethicon had a booth</p> <p>17 at a medical conference, you might have stopped by --</p> <p>18 A. Yeah.</p> <p>19 Q. -- and you can't recall whether you saw</p> <p>20 anything on Prolift® in such visit; is that fair?</p> <p>21 A. No. We would have seen it on the</p> <p>22 Prolift®. I don't recall what I saw. It was a long</p> <p>23 time ago. It was when it first came out.</p> <p>24 Q. All right. Let me rephrase my question</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 186</p> <p>1 then.</p> <p>2 You never attended any type of professional 3 education courses that Ethicon sponsored for Prolift®, 4 true?</p> <p>5 A. You are correct, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Now, you never participated in any 7 professional education courses sponsored by any 8 manufacturer of a transvaginal mesh for treatment of 9 pelvic organ prolapse, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Well, that -- that's what we clarified 11 earlier. I was in attendance and an instructor AMS as 12 far as with the sling and then went over and implanted 13 their device on the cadaver. I was not a formal 14 student because I was an instructor for slings, but, 15 again, I just walked over to the next cadaver and did 16 it.</p> <p>17 Q. All right. Let's make sure the jury 18 understands what you are saying. When you are saying 19 that's something that I clarified earlier, you recall 20 saying something different in your sworn deposition 21 testimony in this case?</p> <p>22 A. My deposition in 2011 or 2012 maybe the 23 year was, I stated I was never a formal student in any 24 class, which is correct. I was not a formal student.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 188</p> <p>1 human cadaver, fresh frozen cadaver, where you just 2 have the pelvis to work with to insert the trocars 3 through the obturator foramen, vaginal dissection and 4 those types of things.</p> <p>5 Q. And cadaver training is sometimes used for 6 surgeons to gain familiarity with a new surgical 7 procedure?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. And you had never done any cadaver 10 training on Prolift®, correct?</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 Q. Now -- one second, Doctor.</p> <p>13 Here's my question, at the time of your 14 deposition you testified that you never underwent any 15 cadaver lab training with respect to transvaginal 16 placement of mesh, and you still stand behind that 17 comment, true?</p> <p>18 A. That's correct. Again, it's a matter of 19 defining how we define what I did.</p> <p>20 Q. Now, before being hired by the plaintiff 21 lawyers in this case you had never observed a surgery 22 involving Prolift®, correct?</p> <p>23 A. Probably would be accurate, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Now, you have no research experience on</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 187</p> <p>1 That's why how do we define it? I was not a formal 2 student, I did not take a formal class but I have 3 implanted with the instructor there so I don't know how 4 we define myself, to be clear.</p> <p>5 Q. All right. Let me just break that down 6 into chunks if you don't mind, Doctor.</p> <p>7 Previously when you were asked whether you 8 attended any professional education training for a 9 transvaginal mesh for pelvic organ prolapse your answer 10 was that you had not, correct?</p> <p>11 A. Which would be correct, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And what you are trying to clarify is that 13 while you were at a training for a different medical 14 device, you went over to some training happening on a 15 transvaginal kit for -- by a different manufacturer?</p> <p>16 A. By AMS, that's correct.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So even with the clarification that 18 you have added today, it's still true that you have 19 never attended any professional education for Prolift®?</p> <p>20 A. Correct.</p> <p>21 Q. And your answer you referenced cadaver 22 training. Can you please tell us what cadaver training 23 is?</p> <p>24 A. It would be a workshop using a non-live</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 189</p> <p>1 Prolift® as well; isn't that true, Doctor?</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. You have never participated in any 4 clinical trials that relate to Prolift®, true?</p> <p>5 A. Specific Prolift®, you are correct, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. You haven't participated in any clinical 7 trials relating to transvaginal mesh or the use of 8 transvaginal mesh in the treatment of pelvic organ 9 prolapse; isn't that correct, Doctor?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. You have never done any -- withdrawn. 12 You referenced earlier something called Level 1 13 evidence; do you recall making that reference?</p> <p>14 A. I don't recall but I don't doubt I said 15 it.</p> <p>16 Q. Is randomized controlled clinical trials 17 an example of Level 1 evidence?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. You have never been involved in any 20 randomized controlled clinical trials involving the use 21 of mesh in any application, correct, Doctor?</p> <p>22 A. Meshes, you would be correct, yes.</p> <p>23 Q. You've never been involved in any clinical 24 study that used transvaginal mesh to treat pelvic organ</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 190	Page 192
<p>1 prolapse, true?</p> <p>2 A. Transvaginal meshes, I don't recall. No,</p> <p>3 I don't believe so.</p> <p>4 Q. So my statement is correct?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. You've never been involved in any</p> <p>7 prospective studies involving the use of mesh, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. You have never been involved in a clinical</p> <p>10 trial designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of a</p> <p>11 transvaginal mesh in any application, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. Are you familiar with meta-analyses,</p> <p>14 Doctor?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Can you please tell us what they are?</p> <p>17 A. Meta-analysis is just a statistical way of</p> <p>18 analyzing multiple different studies, studies you have</p> <p>19 not performed but using other people's datas and</p> <p>20 analyzing them.</p> <p>21 Q. Are meta-analyses a way that researchers</p> <p>22 can summarize the clinical evidence that have been</p> <p>23 published on a surgery?</p> <p>24 A. Possibly.</p>	<p>1 Q. I'm trying to make a distinction, Doctor,</p> <p>2 between you saying it's on your private time and</p> <p>3 whether your hospital even knows you are doing this</p> <p>4 activity, so let me restate the question so you have it</p> <p>5 in mind.</p> <p>6 The Mayo Clinic does not even know that you are</p> <p>7 serving as an expert on behalf of the plaintiffs in</p> <p>8 this litigation, true?</p> <p>9 A. That is correct, it is all done in my</p> <p>10 private time.</p> <p>11 Q. Have you disclosed to the Mayo Clinic the</p> <p>12 money you have received from the plaintiff lawyers in</p> <p>13 this litigation?</p> <p>14 A. No, I have not.</p> <p>15 Q. But you have, in fact, received money from</p> <p>16 the plaintiff lawyers in this case, correct?</p> <p>17 A. That is true.</p> <p>18 Q. How much per hour are you being paid, sir?</p> <p>19 A. 700.</p> <p>20 Q. When you say "700", that's \$700 per hour?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. How much has Mr. Slater paid you thus far?</p> <p>23 MR. SLATER: You are talking about in this</p> <p>24 case?</p>
Page 191	Page 193
<p>1 Q. You have not done any meta-analyses</p> <p>2 involving the use of transvaginal mesh, true?</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. You indicated, Doctor, a couple times that</p> <p>5 you currently practice at Mayo in Minnesota?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. You're not here today testifying as a</p> <p>8 representative of the Mayo Clinic; isn't that correct,</p> <p>9 Doctor?</p> <p>10 A. That would be -- I guess accurate, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Mayo has not sanctioned your activities</p> <p>12 working as a paid witness on behalf of the plaintiff</p> <p>13 lawyers in this case, true?</p> <p>14 MR. SLATER: Objection.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: No, this is on my private</p> <p>16 time.</p> <p>17 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>18 Q. In fact, the Mayo Clinic does not even</p> <p>19 know that you are serving as an expert for the</p> <p>20 plaintiffs in this case, correct?</p> <p>21 A. As I stated, it's all in my private time.</p> <p>22 Q. So the answer to my question is what, sir?</p> <p>23 A. That is correct, it's all in my private</p> <p>24 time.</p>	<p>1 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>2 Q. I'm asking how much Mr. Slater has paid</p> <p>3 you since the time Mr. Slater began paying you.</p> <p>4 A. I have no idea. I don't even bill</p> <p>5 Mr. Slater.</p> <p>6 Q. Whom do you bill?</p> <p>7 A. Mr. --</p> <p>8 MR. SLATER: Let's take a step back here.</p> <p>9 There's an understanding that witnesses are to</p> <p>10 be questioned about the fees they're paid in a</p> <p>11 particular case and that's how it's been done</p> <p>12 throughout and that's been our understanding in</p> <p>13 this case. You may not be aware of that but</p> <p>14 it's been how it's been handled in the</p> <p>15 depositions and that was our understanding.</p> <p>16 So if you are asking about in the Hammons</p> <p>17 case, you know, that's fine, but to start</p> <p>18 talking about overall litigation or other</p> <p>19 cases, it's understood and it's on the record,</p> <p>20 probably in the deposition of Dr. Weber, that</p> <p>21 we were not going to get into billing outside</p> <p>22 the specific case.</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: Well --</p> <p>24 MR. SLATER: And, in fact, that's how it</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 194</p> <p>1 was handled in the Bellew trial in the MDL and 2 I think that's the understanding everybody has 3 about how we're handling this on both sides. 4 MR. ISMAIL: So how about he gives the 5 answer -- since we're not going to call him 6 back here and redo this, he gives the answer 7 and if we don't play it to the jury, we don't 8 play it to the jury. 9 MR. SLATER: I'm not going to allow him to 10 testify beyond what he's been paid in this case 11 because we have an agreement between counsel 12 and I'm not going to have someone walk in on 13 cross-examination and change the ground rules 14 in the middle of cross. 15 MR. ISMAIL: That's not an agreement to 16 which I am privy. 17 MR. SLATER: You are bound to it though, 18 co-counsel -- 19 MR. ISMAIL: Can I finish my statement? 20 Not an agreement to which I -- that I've heard 21 of and so I'm going to ask the question and 22 it's up to you as to whether you are going to 23 let him answer. 24 MR. SLATER: I will only allow him to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 196</p> <p>1 advice to not answer the question. 2 MR. ISMAIL: I will limit my question. 3 BY MR. ISMAIL: 4 Q. How much have you been paid with respect 5 to your work on behalf of the plaintiff lawyers in the 6 Prolift® litigation? 7 MR. SLATER: Objection, same thing, don't 8 answer. 9 BY MR. ISMAIL: 10 Q. Are you going to refuse to answer my 11 question, Doctor? 12 A. I'm not going to answer based on 13 Mr. Slater's recommendation. 14 Q. Isn't it true, Doctor, you submit an 15 invoice every month for your work on behalf of the 16 plaintiffs' lawyers and you have since 2011? 17 MR. SLATER: Objection. 18 THE WITNESS: Well, not every month, only 19 if work is done. 20 BY MR. ISMAIL: 21 Q. How many of the months since 2011 have you 22 submitted an invoice? 23 MR. SLATER: Objection. All these 24 questions he's -- obviously, these are back</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 195</p> <p>1 answer questions about what he's been paid in 2 this case, so you don't need to ask the 3 questions as a formality because I'm not going 4 to allow him to answer them because we have an 5 agreement with counsel. 6 MR. ISMAIL: I'm going to ask the question 7 and you can do what you want. 8 BY MR. ISMAIL: 9 Q. Dr. Elliott, how much have you been paid 10 by the plaintiff lawyers who are suing Ethicon? 11 MR. SLATER: Don't answer the question and 12 the question is improper anyway. 13 BY MR. ISMAIL: 14 Q. Are you going to refuse to answer the 15 question, Doctor? 16 MR. SLATER: No, no, you are not even 17 going to ask him that -- 18 MR. ISMAIL: Yes. 19 MR. SLATER: -- because I have instructed 20 him not to. 21 MR. ISMAIL: He has to right to -- you 22 have given your instruction, he can still 23 answer the question if he wants. 24 THE WITNESS: I'm following Mr. Slater's</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 197</p> <p>1 door -- I'm going to object to the whole line 2 of questions. I mean, it's generalized about 3 how often he submits invoices is fine, but I 4 object to this. 5 I mean, sir, there's an agreement between 6 counsel. It's a little frustrating when 7 someone walks in and says, well, sorry, I 8 wasn't there. Maybe they need to prep you 9 better. 10 BY MR. ISMAIL: 11 Q. And your answer, sir? 12 A. Oh, I have no idea, looking back, of how 13 many times I do and don't because there are sometimes I 14 don't do any work for months. 15 Q. Doctor, have you estimated that you have 16 on average spent 20 to 30 hours a month working on 17 behalf of the plaintiff lawyers in this litigation? 18 MR. SLATER: Objection. Now -- 19 MR. SPECTER: What's "this litigation"? 20 Beyond that. 21 MR. ISMAIL: You can state your objection, 22 you can instruct him not to answer. We don't 23 have to argue about it. If it doesn't get 24 played, it doesn't get played.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 198	Page 200
<p>1 MR. SLATER: But it's not the point 2 because if it doesn't get played, it doesn't 3 get played is not really a legitimate answer to 4 that because you are creating a record of 5 things that we had an agreement were not going 6 to be asked about. 7 MR. ISMAIL: And if you're right what's 8 the -- 9 MR. SLATER: And it goes both ways, by the 10 way. Your experts don't want to be asked these 11 questions either. 12 MR. ISMAIL: If you're right, you're 13 right. I still don't understand what the -- 14 you make your objection and instruct him not to 15 answer. I don't understand why we're even 16 arguing about it. 17 MR. SLATER: Well, because it's 18 frustrating that -- you know, you are 19 pretending you don't know there was an 20 agreement. 21 BY MR. ISMAIL: 22 Q. So let me restate the question so you have 23 it in mind, Doctor. 24 A. Thank you.</p>	<p>1 the question, tell him not to answer the 2 question. 3 MR. SPECTER: I'm not asking about what 4 the question goes to. I'm simply asking 5 whether the question goes to the Hammons 6 litigation or the TVM litigation in general. 7 I take it from what you are saying you are 8 asking about the TVM litigation in general? 9 MR. ISMAIL: I'll rephrase. 10 BY MR. ISMAIL: 11 Q. Doctor, the report that you submitted in 12 this case, in Ms. Hammons' case, does that date back to 13 work that you started doing on behalf of the plaintiff 14 lawyers when you were first retained in 2011? 15 MR. SLATER: Objection. You can answer. 16 THE WITNESS: I don't quite know how to 17 answer that question. Not to be evasive by any 18 means, I've been doing work for the past 20 19 years on prolapse and complications so that 20 specific document, I probably have done work 21 earlier that was translated to it as far as the 22 background and those types of things, but, 23 again, I can't be specific. I just don't know. 24 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 199</p> <p>1 Q. Have you worked on average 20 to 30 hours 2 a month on behalf of the plaintiff lawyers since 3 approximately 2011? 4 MR. SLATER: Objection. 5 MR. SPECTER: Can I ask you to clarify 6 though, counsel. Are you asking about the 7 Hammons litigation or are you asking about the 8 litigation in general? 9 MR. ISMAIL: Well, since the Hammons 10 litigation wasn't filed in 2011, I suspect that 11 would be difficult. 12 MR. SLATER: Yeah, well, no one knows 13 that. 14 MR. SPECTER: The jurists know that, 15 counsel. Please. 16 MR. ISMAIL: So the question is there. If 17 you don't want him to answer -- 18 MR. SPECTER: I'm just asking you to 19 clarify your question, counsel. Are you asking 20 about the Hammons litigation or are you asking 21 about litigation in general? 22 MR. ISMAIL: My question goes to the issue 23 of bias, the amount of money the witness has 24 been paid and if you don't want him to answer</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 201</p> <p>1 Q. I'll rephrase. 2 You have looked at materials that were sent to 3 you by the plaintiff lawyers in this case, correct? 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. Mr. Slater has sent you materials, 6 correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. You have looked at some internal 9 depositions and documents about the Ethicon employees, 10 correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And you have referenced them during your 13 testimony today? 14 A. That is correct. 15 Q. And you have included them in your expert 16 report submitted in this case? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. Some of the work that you did that 19 resulted in the expert report submitted in Ms. Hammons' 20 case dates back to 2011/2012 time frame, correct? 21 A. That would be correct, yes. 22 Q. So with that understanding, Doctor, can 23 you tell me the amount of money that you have been paid 24 by the plaintiff lawyers for that work?</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 202	Page 204
<p>1 MR. SLATER: Objection. Don't answer the 2 question. 3 THE WITNESS: I'm not going to answer the 4 question based on Mr. Slater's recommendation. 5 BY MR. ISMAIL: 6 Q. Doctor, Prolift® was designed to treat 7 pelvic organ prolapse, correct? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. Since we're not exactly sure when the jury 10 is going to see this video, I don't know if this has 11 been defined for them yet, but for the benefit of the 12 jury, pelvic organ prolapse, in a general sense, when 13 one or more of the patient's internal organs drop into 14 the vagina? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. Their internal organs most often involved 17 include the bladder, the rectum, the uterus and the 18 small bowel, correct? 19 A. Yes, that would be correct. 20 Q. And I think you told us earlier that what 21 leads to a pelvic organ prolapse is a weakening of the 22 patient's tissues in the pelvic floor, correct? 23 A. A weakening, a stretching of the tissues 24 that hold it up, yes.</p>	<p>1 is the age? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Repeated lifting can be a risk factor for 4 pelvic organ prolapse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. Smoking has been reported as a risk factor 7 for pelvic organ prolapse? 8 A. Again, there is going to be studies out 9 there maybe yes, maybe no, but it's possible. 10 Q. And, of course, a woman can develop pelvic 11 organ prolapse with just one or even none of the risk 12 factors we've just described, correct? 13 A. That is correct, yeah, with just one, yes. 14 With none it's rare, but it does occur. 15 Q. Now, pelvic organ prolapse is assessed on 16 a grading scale for how severe the prolapse is, 17 correct? 18 A. Yeah, how severe the anatomical prolapse 19 is, yes. 20 Q. And there -- I think you reference there's 21 a few different grading systems that are out there for 22 clinicians to use, right? 23 A. There's three or four, yes. 24 Q. One of which I think you reference was</p>
Page 203	Page 205
<p>1 Q. Now, there are many risk factors that can 2 lead to pelvic organ prolapse, correct? 3 A. There are several, yes. 4 Q. These include age, that's a risk factor, 5 right? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Obesity I think you told us earlier was a 8 risk factor? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Childbirth is a risk factor? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Previous surgery for prolapse is a risk 13 factor? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Previous hysterectomy is a risk factor? 16 A. Possible, yes. 17 Q. Menopause? 18 A. Menopause would be questionable. It's 19 going to be tough to delineate that data because we 20 also have age and menopause, so it's -- it's not 21 helpful, let's put it that way. 22 Q. Fair enough. And what you are saying is 23 age and menopause often go hand-in-hand and it's 24 difficult to tease out which is the menopause and which</p>	<p>1 called the POP-Q system? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Have you ever used the POP-Q system 4 yourself? 5 A. I use it not as commonly as the 6 Baden-Walker. 7 Q. Does the POP-Q system assess how far the 8 woman's internal organs have descended into or beyond 9 the opening of the vagina? 10 A. That's part of it, yes. 11 Q. What are the grading -- I don't need the 12 definitions yet, but is it -- it's grades 1 through 4, 13 correct? 14 A. Yeah, but then you are looking at each 15 component, whether it's anterior, posterior, apical, 16 vaginal length, so it's -- yeah, you can do the 1, 2, 17 3, 4 but that's gonna -- simplified form of the POP-Q. 18 Q. And 4 is the most severe grade of pelvic 19 organ prolapse? 20 A. That is correct. 21 Q. The other system you reference is the 22 Baden-Walker system; is that correct? 23 A. There's Baden-Walker and there's also 24 International Continence Society stages. They're all</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 206	Page 208
<p>1 somewhat similar with different bells and whistles one 2 way or the other.</p> <p>3 Q. And the Baden-Walker, again, is grades 1 4 through 4, with 4 being the worst?</p> <p>5 A. That's correct.</p> <p>6 Q. And that's the one that you prefer in your 7 clinical practice?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. What is the criteria for grade 4 under the 10 Baden-Walker system?</p> <p>11 A. Same as for the POP-Q, it's complete 12 eversion out of the vagina.</p> <p>13 Q. When you say "eversion" --</p> <p>14 A. Means that the vagina has -- everted 15 means -- think of the vagina like a tube sock; somebody 16 reaches in, grabs it and everts out, eversion of the 17 vagina.</p> <p>18 Q. And in a grade 4, that is the most severe 19 pelvic organ prolapse a physician can grade for a 20 patient?</p> <p>21 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And in clinical application that means the 23 prolapse is actually visible in the vaginal opening, 24 correct?</p>	<p>1 Q. You've heard of reports of a woman feeling 2 a bulge or seeing the protrusion from the vagina as a 3 result of the pelvic organ prolapse, correct?</p> <p>4 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Difficulty with walking or sitting have 6 been described in women with pelvic organ prolapse, 7 correct?</p> <p>8 A. In severe cases, yes, that does happen.</p> <p>9 Q. And what we're describing here can be 10 distressing to many women?</p> <p>11 A. Yeah, depends how you want to define many, 12 but a lot of women it can be bothersome, I won't deny 13 that at all. I agree with you.</p> <p>14 Q. Let me put it this way, Doctor, you would 15 agree that prolapse can be significant enough that the 16 patient doesn't want to deal with it?</p> <p>17 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. You've used this term, dyspareunia, in 19 your testimony. That, in a general sense, means pain 20 with sexual intercourse, correct?</p> <p>21 A. That is correct.</p> <p>22 Q. There are some women for whom pelvic organ 23 prolapse can actually cause dyspareunia, correct?</p> <p>24 A. That is correct. We have to define how</p>
Page 207	Page 209
<p>1 A. Correct. It can also be visible in stage 2 also, but, yes, it's like a baby's head coming out of 3 the vagina, basically.</p> <p>4 Q. Prolapse can be a serious condition for a 5 woman, correct?</p> <p>6 A. It depends how you define serious. It can 7 be bothersome. It's very rarely in the United States 8 life-threatening, so it's not along the lines of a 9 cardiac problem that's life and death. Very rarely, 10 I've never seen that.</p> <p>11 Q. You used the description several times 12 today of prolapse being a quality of life condition?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. Meaning that a pelvic organ prolapse can 15 negatively affect a woman's quality of life?</p> <p>16 A. That is correct, it can.</p> <p>17 Q. A pelvic organ prolapse can be 18 debilitating and troublesome to a woman?</p> <p>19 A. Yeah, again, debilitating, yes, that can 20 happen. It can be bothersome. I think it's fair to 21 say it's bothersome.</p> <p>22 Q. The symptoms that a woman can report 23 include feelings heaviness or pressure, correct?</p> <p>24 A. That is something they can feel, yes.</p>	<p>1 severe that dyspareunia is. There's not just -- 2 dyspareunia means only one thing, it can be severity, 3 so I agree with you.</p> <p>4 Q. So seeing the description of a patient as 5 having dyspareunia doesn't tell you how severe the 6 dyspareunia is, correct?</p> <p>7 A. All it says is like you drive a car, we 8 have no idea of the specifics of it, but it states that 9 there is discomfort with sexual activity.</p> <p>10 Q. And, again, without regard to severity, 11 you've confirmed for us already that women with pelvic 12 organ prolapse can have dyspareunia, correct?</p> <p>13 A. To a certain degree, yes, they can.</p> <p>14 Q. Now, there are I guess a couple different 15 reasons why a woman may not be sexually active who is 16 experiencing pelvic organ prolapse, one of which can be 17 just the pain that pelvic organ prolapse may result for 18 dyspareunia, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Correct.</p> <p>20 Q. And the prolapsing organ in a woman can 21 actually interfere with sexual activity, correct?</p> <p>22 A. It can block it, yes.</p> <p>23 Q. But, also, you are aware, Doctor, that for 24 some women the prolapse effects how they feel about</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 210</p> <p>1 themselves and embarrassment being with their partner 2 or their desire to have sexual intercourse, correct? 3 A. I agree, the psychological aspect of 4 embarrassment can be a significant issue. 5 Q. And you are aware, Doctor, that apart from 6 the dyspareunia and the interference with sexual 7 activity, pelvic organ prolapse symptoms can include 8 pelvic pain or voiding problems? 9 A. It can and -- yeah, the voiding problems, 10 in severe cases, it can do that. The other aspect of 11 it you said is -- 12 Q. Pelvic pain? 13 A. Pelvic pain, yeah, that can -- the usual 14 thing I get is described as an aching, even a low back 15 pain because of the prolapse. 16 Q. And when we say voiding complaints, that 17 would include difficulty urination? 18 A. In severe cases of anterior prolapse, 19 yeah, you can trouble as far as emptying the bladder. 20 I very rarely see that but it has been described, yes. 21 Q. And so as you and I just went over for the 22 jury a variety of complications that a woman can 23 experience from a pelvic organ prolapse can result in a 24 woman seeking out medical care to get that repaired,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 212</p> <p>1 device that can be inserted into the vagina as a way to 2 sort of prop up the falling organ? 3 A. Correct. 4 Q. Now, pessaries are not appropriate for all 5 patients, you agree with that, right? 6 A. They might not work in all patients. As 7 far as it being appropriate or not, in the rare case of 8 some vaginal erosion, you wouldn't want to put anything 9 in there. I would think the better statement would be 10 they don't work in all patients. 11 Q. Fair enough. So the distinction you are 12 drawing is a doctor, when considering how to treat a 13 woman with a prolapse, would include a pessary on the 14 list and then make a decision whether it's a good or 15 bad idea here? 16 A. That would be fair to state, yes. 17 Q. Some women don't want to use a pessary, 18 right? 19 A. Correct. 20 Q. If a woman receives a pessary, she has to 21 be followed up periodically with her physician, 22 correct? 23 A. Correct, yes. 24 Q. You have seen reports of vaginal discharge</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 211</p> <p>1 correct? 2 A. That is correct, yes. 3 Q. And, in fact, I think you've told us 4 before pelvic organ prolapse is a condition for which 5 women have sought treatment for thousands of years? 6 A. I think I stated before as long as women 7 have been having babies, they have been having problems 8 with this, yes. 9 Q. And as long as there have been doctors who 10 are concerned about caring for women, doctors have been 11 trying to come up with good, satisfactory ways to treat 12 a woman's pelvic organ prolapse, correct? 13 A. That is correct, yes, sir. 14 Q. And I think you told us that the treatment 15 options for pelvic organ prolapse include conservative 16 measures and surgical options as well, right? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. One conservative measure you told us about 19 was a wait and see approach? 20 A. Correct, observation, yeah. 21 Q. Another -- you used this term -- a 22 pessary, right? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. And I think you told us that was a plastic</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 213</p> <p>1 with a pessary, right? 2 A. That is correct. 3 Q. You've seen reports of vaginal odor with a 4 pessary? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. There have been reports of ulceration with 7 pessaries, correct? 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. Obviously, that can lead to pain for the 10 patient? 11 A. It could be, which you take out the 12 pessary and that resolves itself. 13 Q. There can be bleeding associated with a 14 pessary? 15 A. Along, yeah, with vaginal erosion that can 16 happen. 17 Q. Tissue erosion? 18 A. It can, all those things, yeah. 19 Q. The symptoms that we've just described 20 that can result from a pessary may lead a woman to 21 discontinue the use of the pessary, right? 22 A. That is correct, yes. 23 Q. Of course, it's reasonable to believe that 24 or to expect that a woman who has had a problematic</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 214</p> <p>1 experience with a pessary that caused her 2 complications, she would be less likely to accept that 3 treatment again in the future?</p> <p>4 A. I agree with you.</p> <p>5 Q. And you don't actually even deal with 6 pessaries yourself in your clinical practice, correct?</p> <p>7 A. Yeah, you're correct. We discuss it. If 8 we feel a patient is a good candidate for it, I send 9 them to my GYN colleagues.</p> <p>10 Q. We've been discussing a pessary as one of 11 the conservative ways to treat a prolapse but you would 12 agree that most of the time prolapse cases treated 13 conservatively, the condition does not get better?</p> <p>14 A. Yeah, though it -- prolapse does not 15 frequently or rarely would get better. It usually 16 stays the same or worsens.</p> <p>17 Q. So you would agree, Doctor, with the 18 statement that absent surgery, pelvic organ prolapse 19 tends not to improve?</p> <p>20 A. In general, that would be a fair 21 statement.</p> <p>22 Q. Now, there have been multiple types of 23 surgeries trying to fix the problem of a prolapse, 24 right?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 216</p> <p>1 Q. So there are different types of 2 colporrhaphy procedures depending on which type of 3 prolapse the patient has, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Dependent upon the anatomical location, 5 yes.</p> <p>6 Q. So if --</p> <p>7 A. Well, it's only going to be anterior and 8 posterior, that's the only colporrhaphies.</p> <p>9 Q. So anterior being a bladder prolapse?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. And posterior being a rectal prolapse?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. And the idea behind a colporrhaphy is that 14 the surgeon is using the patient's own tissues and 15 sutures as a way to prop up the descending organ, 16 correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yeah, you are correct, it's a plication or 18 a bringing together of the tissues that have separated 19 or thinned.</p> <p>20 Q. One of the perceived problems with that 21 type of surgery, the native tissue surgery, going back 22 to say the 1990s, was that there were recurrences or 23 failures of that type of surgery, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Yeah, recurrence or failure can happen</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 215</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Some of those surgeries have been around a 3 long, long time?</p> <p>4 A. That is correct.</p> <p>5 Q. And over the years some surgeries have 6 been more effective than others?</p> <p>7 A. Correct.</p> <p>8 Q. Different doctors use different approaches 9 depending on their own experience, skill level, their 10 comfort level as to which surgical option that 11 physician prefers, correct?</p> <p>12 A. That's correct.</p> <p>13 Q. Transvaginal mesh was developed as one of 14 the options for doctors to use to treat women with 15 pelvic organ prolapse?</p> <p>16 MR. SLATER: Objection.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Correct, yes.</p> <p>18 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>19 Q. One of the surgeries you described for us 20 earlier as one of the surgical options was native 21 tissue repair surgeries; do you recall making reference 22 to that?</p> <p>23 A. Correct, that's traditional colporrhaphy, 24 yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 217</p> <p>1 with any surgery, it can happen with those, yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And particularly, Doctor, my question is 3 more of a historical one. If you go back to the period 4 of time in the 1990s there was a feeling in the medical 5 community that native tissue surgeries for treatment of 6 prolapse had a high rate of failure?</p> <p>7 A. I think the best way to say it is we 8 didn't want to have any failure. I was a resident 9 during that time, in training. We didn't want to have 10 any failure so there was the pursuit of trying to find 11 something that had a less failure rate.</p> <p>12 Q. The -- historically the assessment of what 13 was a success or a failure focused on the anatomical 14 outcome, correct?</p> <p>15 A. Historically that was one of the main 16 features of it, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And I think you described for us today 18 that the success or failure of a prolapse surgery can 19 be measured either anatomically or by a review of the 20 patient's symptoms, correct?</p> <p>21 A. It depends, yeah. When you are doing a 22 study you are going to say this is an anatomical study 23 or a functional study or both. But, yeah, there's 24 different ways of looking at it, but the tradition --</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 218</p> <p>1 now you've got to look at function. 2 Q. And my question wasn't just in the context 3 of a study but also with regard to a doctor treating a 4 patient, the doctor can and will assess anatomic 5 function and can and will assess symptomatic function, 6 correct? 7 A. Yeah, you can assess it but what you care 8 about is the patient happy or not. 9 Q. And when we're talking anatomic recurrence 10 of a prolapse, we mean the surgeon can -- in examining 11 the patient, has assessed that the prolapsed organ has 12 redescended to a certain degree following the surgery, 13 correct? 14 A. That's part of the assessment, yes. 15 Q. And anatomic recurrence of the prolapse 16 was a concern because it exposed women to the risk of 17 incurring the same prolapse symptoms again, right? 18 A. Possibly, yes. 19 Q. And I think just so we're focusing on the 20 period of time before Prolift® was developed, you would 21 agree that historically anatomic recurrence was a 22 concern to doctors treating women with pelvic organ 23 prolapse? 24 A. I think initially, yes, you are right and</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 220</p> <p>1 Q. You were in training at the time, right? 2 A. Yeah. Well. Depends when you are 3 talking. 4 Q. 1990s? 5 A. Yeah, '93 to '99 -- '93 to 2000. 6 Q. And some of the work that was done that 7 assessed the success or failure of native tissue 8 surgery was actually under the direction of the NIH, 9 right? 10 A. Correct, you know, A lot of people were 11 looking at it, yes. 12 Q. And so by that I mean there were 13 researchers who were concerned about the failure rate 14 of native tissue surgery outside of industry or 15 manufacturers, that's fair to say? 16 A. Oh, yeah, I mean, doctors were very 17 concerned about it. We wanted to get that recurrence 18 rate down to zero. 19 Q. So one of the initial uses of mesh in the 20 treatment of pelvic organ prolapse was through an 21 abdominal surgery, correct? 22 A. The sacrocolpopexy has been around a long 23 time, yes. 24 Q. And I think you told us earlier that the</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 219</p> <p>1 then there became the shift overlooking at is the happy 2 patient, quality of life. 3 Q. It was the recurrence concern that led 4 doctors and surgeons to begin to experiment with the 5 use of mesh to reinforce the pelvic floor, correct? 6 A. I think that's fair, yes. 7 Q. And at the time that Prolift® was under 8 development you were familiar with the reports that 9 nonmesh surgical repairs of prolapse had failures up to 10 30 to 40%? 11 A. Yeah, but, again, you got to look at what 12 paper that is. Are they looking at stage 2 being 13 abnormal, you know, there is a debate now that is 14 within the realm of normal, so you have to look at the 15 specific studies, but those reports are out there. I 16 don't agree with them and we don't now agree with it, 17 but I agree there are reports out there. 18 Q. So, again, this question is going back to 19 the time before the Prolift® was developed, you're 20 aware that there was a concern that there was an 21 unacceptably high failure rate with native tissue 22 surgeries? 23 A. I think some people had those. Again, I 24 didn't have those concerns.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 221</p> <p>1 mesh used in Prolift® is a polypropylene mesh? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. And mesh used in the abdominal 4 sacrocolpopexy also is polypropylene mesh, correct? 5 A. It can be and the one I use is. 6 Q. Most often the mesh used in abdominal 7 sacrocolpopexy, is it polypropylene mesh? 8 A. I can't speak to everyone out there, some 9 people have used cadaveric tissue and that is becoming 10 more common now but it's -- again, I don't know. I 11 would suspect there's more polypropylenes than anything 12 else. 13 Q. Polypropylene has been used in surgical 14 procedures for decades, correct? 15 A. That is correct. 16 Q. Polypropylene is used in sutures, some 17 sutures, correct? 18 A. That is correct. 19 Q. And the use of polypropylene sutures goes 20 back many decades, true? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. You indicated that polypropylene was used 23 in a hernia mesh; do you recall saying that earlier? 24 A. That's correct.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 222</p> <p>1 Q. The use of polypropylene hernia meshes 2 goes back many decades as well, correct? 3 A. It's been around a long time, yes. Has a 4 well-established track record. 5 Q. Historically the abdominal sacrocolpopexy 6 was an open abdominal procedure, correct? 7 A. That is correct. 8 Q. Where a long incision would be made into 9 the abdomen? 10 A. Well, it depends how you define long. 11 From the umbilicus to -- the belly button to the pubic 12 bone, so roughly -- however long that is. 13 Q. And the surgeon would then have to 14 navigate through the abdominal cavity and work their 15 way to place the mesh to repair the organ that was 16 being prolapsed? 17 A. Correct, it was stated in a very colorful 18 way, navigate through. Just go down there and get the 19 job done, but, yes, you are right. 20 Q. And you don't mean to minimize the 21 invasiveness of an open abdominal mesh repair of 22 prolapse, are you, Doctor? 23 A. No. It's -- you know, there is an 24 abdominal incision made, there are risks with that and</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 224</p> <p>1 Q. Can it? 2 A. Well, not in my hands. I can't speak for 3 other surgeons. I don't mess around. 4 Q. Do you agree that transabdominal surgery 5 is associated with increased morbidity compared with 6 vaginal repairs? 7 A. You have to define what you mean by 8 vaginal repairs. Transvaginal nonmesh repairs 9 traditionally have been associated with a lower 10 morbidity, perioperative morbidity, but, again, it has 11 to be balanced as far as with success, but now if you 12 are talking about Prolift® meshes, that becomes a 13 different story, which we'll get to later I'm sure. 14 So I think it's fair when you compare 15 abdominal, transabdominal with an incision versus 16 transvaginal without meshes, it's fair to say that the 17 transvaginal without mesh would be a less morbid 18 procedure. 19 Q. When you say "morbid" in that context, 20 what do you mean? 21 A. Perioperative, intraoperative 22 complications. 23 Q. Perioperative means during the procedure? 24 A. Perioperative -- well, perioperative means</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 223</p> <p>1 so I'm not going to say it's a minimally invasive 2 nature compared to doing it robotically, no. 3 Q. The abdominal sacrocolpopexy performed 4 with mesh has had a high success rate for vaginal vault 5 prolapse, correct? 6 A. It would be arguably the best, yes. 7 Q. The use of polypropylene mesh in abdominal 8 sacrocolpopexy was viewed as a advancement in the 9 surgical treatment of pelvic organ prolapse, correct? 10 A. I think that's correct. The studies going 11 back looking at cadaveric tissue found a higher failure 12 rate with it. So polypropylene, through the abdominal 13 route, has been shown with good and acceptable risk 14 versus benefit ratio. 15 Q. The abdominal surgery for the placement of 16 mesh can be a complicated surgery? 17 A. Well, I don't know what you mean by -- I 18 mean, I do it routinely, overnight stay in the hospital 19 and they're home. So complications can occur, I 20 suppose. 21 Q. The open abdominal placement of mesh can 22 be a surgery that lasts many hours? 23 A. Better not. I do it hour and 15 minutes, 24 two days -- last Friday.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 225</p> <p>1 just around the time of the surgery. 2 Q. And due to the morbidity of the open 3 transabdominal procedure, many patients were unable to 4 tolerate that procedure, correct? 5 A. Some patients wouldn't. I mean, my 6 practice is not many, but some don't want to undergo 7 that big of a surgery. 8 Q. So going back to this period in the 1990s 9 and the early 2000s, researchers were reporting high -- 10 higher than desirable failure rates for nonmesh 11 repairs, correct? 12 A. Done through the vagina. 13 Q. And there was a recognition that the use 14 of mesh through the transabdominal route resulted in a 15 more stable or durable repair, correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And there was some concern or desire to 18 lower the morbidity of the transabdominal procedure, 19 correct? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. And so you agree, Doctor, it was a 22 worthwhile research objective to investigate whether 23 improvements could be made to the surgical devices and 24 techniques for the treatment of pelvic organ prolapse,</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 226</p> <p>1 correct?</p> <p>2 A. I am an advocate of innovation so if 3 there's a way of making something better, I am for it, 4 but it has to be a safe advancement.</p> <p>5 Q. So you agree that even today it's still a 6 worthwhile research objective to find improved ways to 7 surgically repair pelvic organ prolapse, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Until we get to the day of 100% success 9 and no complications, it's a worthwhile venture.</p> <p>10 Q. Scientists, whether they're affiliated 11 with universities or manufacturers or whatever, always 12 are looking for ways to improve the surgical treatment 13 of pelvic organ prolapse, correct?</p> <p>14 A. I can't agree with that, no.</p> <p>15 Q. Then let me rephrase.</p> <p>16 The research into the improvements of the 17 surgical techniques for pelvic organ prolapse has been 18 going on several decades?</p> <p>19 A. Yeah, longer than that, yes, I agree.</p> <p>20 Q. Fair enough. You agree that it was 21 admirable to search for a way to make pelvic organ 22 prolapse recurrence -- withdrawn. Let me start over.</p> <p>23 You agree it's admirable or it was admirable to 24 search for a way to make the surgical repair of pelvic</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 228</p> <p>1 pelvic organ prolapse, that turned out to be a 2 worthwhile and useful innovation in the treatment of 3 patients who have pelvic organ prolapse?</p> <p>4 A. I think as we can state right now the use 5 of transabdominal polypropylene meshes has improved the 6 outcome as far as we know right now.</p> <p>7 Q. There was another hypothesis that the use 8 of a transvaginal mesh could cut down on the morbidity 9 of the abdominal surgeries, correct, that was the idea 10 at the time?</p> <p>11 A. Well, the idea at the time was to blend 12 meshes and avoid the potential issues of going through 13 the abdomen, so that was their theory, but I can't 14 speak to exactly what they were thinking. I wouldn't 15 know.</p> <p>16 Q. Let me just say it this way, Doctor, the 17 reason and purpose behind the development of 18 transvaginal mesh was to reduce the morbidity seen with 19 the abdominal sacrocolpopexy approach, true?</p> <p>20 A. That would be part of it.</p> <p>21 Q. And you agree that that was a laudable 22 goal, to search for a different way of doing the 23 surgical procedure?</p> <p>24 A. I will never criticize the pursuit of</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 227</p> <p>1 organ prolapse result in fewer recurrences of the 2 prolapse?</p> <p>3 A. I feel it is a very worthwhile endeavor -- 4 if you want to use the word admirable that's okay -- to 5 make a more efficacious and safe prolapse repair.</p> <p>6 Q. Now, we've already discussed the 7 hypothesis that polypropylene mesh might allow for a 8 more stable or durable repair of the prolapse, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Well, depends if you are talking about 10 transabdominal or transvaginal.</p> <p>11 Q. Well, the hypothesis that led to the use 12 of mesh in transabdominal surgery as resulting in a 13 more stable repair, that was actually borne out, 14 correct?</p> <p>15 A. That's true.</p> <p>16 Q. And so you agree that that was a 17 legitimate hypothesis?</p> <p>18 A. Legitimate hypothesis?</p> <p>19 Q. If you are having trouble with that word, 20 I'll rephrase.</p> <p>21 A. Yeah, let's -- can you use a different 22 word?</p> <p>23 Q. The research initiative that resulted in 24 the use of mesh for the abdominal surgery to repair</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 229</p> <p>1 innovation in improvement, as long as it's balanced and 2 thought through.</p> <p>3 Q. When the Prolift® was developed it was not 4 the first time that surgeons implanted mesh 5 transvaginally, correct?</p> <p>6 A. No, mesh has been done -- not mesh, excuse 7 me -- foreign body synthetics, manmade products have 8 been used transvaginally at other times, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And even before the Prolene was developed, 10 polypropylene mesh had been implanted transvaginally, 11 correct?</p> <p>12 A. Before the Prolift, yes, the Gynemesh® had 13 been used, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And even before Gynemesh® transvaginal 15 mesh was used in surgery for other applications, 16 correct?</p> <p>17 A. Well, you have to show me exactly what you 18 are talking about. I mean, Marlex has been used, other 19 products have been used, it had unacceptably high 20 complication rates. I have to see exactly what product 21 you are talking about.</p> <p>22 Q. I'll rephrase.</p> <p>23 Prior to the use of transvaginal mesh in pelvic 24 organ prolapse, was transvaginal mesh used for</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 230	Page 232
<p>1 treatment of other conditions?</p> <p>2 A. Transvaginal mesh for other conditions?</p> <p>3 Oh, are we talking about like incontinence or something</p> <p>4 like that? I guess, yes, for incontinence.</p> <p>5 Q. Before you were -- withdrawn.</p> <p>6 Now, with respect to the Prolift® you're aware</p> <p>7 that there have been several randomized controlled</p> <p>8 clinical trials comparing the use of Prolift® to other</p> <p>9 surgical approaches, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, there have been quite a number of</p> <p>11 studies out there, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. So I don't think this has been done yet</p> <p>13 for the benefit of the jury, but let's just explain</p> <p>14 what randomized controlled clinical trials are, okay?</p> <p>15 A. Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. So there's a variety of ways that</p> <p>17 scientists can undertake research, correct?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Sometimes you will have animal research,</p> <p>20 sometimes you have laboratory research and sometimes</p> <p>21 you have clinical research?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. And one form of clinical research is what</p> <p>24 we call randomized controlled clinical trials?</p>	<p>1 Q. And there have been randomized controlled</p> <p>2 clinical studies done comparing the Prolift® to the</p> <p>3 older native tissue surgery, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Correct.</p> <p>5 Q. And that's something you looked at before</p> <p>6 you came to talk to the jury about your opinions on</p> <p>7 Prolift®, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Some of those randomized controlled</p> <p>10 clinical trials looked to the relative success of the</p> <p>11 native tissue surgery compared to the Prolift® in</p> <p>12 repairing the woman's prolapse, correct?</p> <p>13 A. As far as anatomical repairs, yes, that</p> <p>14 was looked at.</p> <p>15 Q. And many of those high quality randomized</p> <p>16 controlled clinical studies demonstrated that women</p> <p>17 treated with a Prolift® experienced a lower rate of</p> <p>18 anatomical recurrence compared to the native tissue?</p> <p>19 A. Well, again, you said "many". There are</p> <p>20 some that show anatomy success, there are also many</p> <p>21 that show equivocal results, but, again, anatomy is not</p> <p>22 what we look at.</p> <p>23 Q. Well, Doctor, you're aware that there have</p> <p>24 been several studies done that -- and again we're</p>
Page 231	Page 233
<p>1 A. That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q. And in randomized controlled clinical</p> <p>3 trials you have two groups of patients that you try to</p> <p>4 have evenly matched?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. And one group receives a treatment method</p> <p>7 and a different group either receives no treatment or</p> <p>8 sometimes a different treatment method?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. And then the researchers follow those</p> <p>11 patients over time and see how they do both from a</p> <p>12 effectiveness perspective and a safety perspective?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. And you would agree that randomized</p> <p>15 controlled clinical trials are some of the best quality</p> <p>16 research that can be done on a surgical procedure?</p> <p>17 A. They can be if the study is run correctly,</p> <p>18 but they're one part of the information that's</p> <p>19 available.</p> <p>20 Q. Now, there have been many randomized</p> <p>21 controlled studies done on the safety and effectiveness</p> <p>22 of Prolift®, correct?</p> <p>23 A. Again, there have been studies done.</p> <p>24 There have been a number done.</p>	<p>1 talk -- withdrawn.</p> <p>2 When we're talking anatomic success we're</p> <p>3 talking has the surgery been effective in returning the</p> <p>4 woman's internal organs to a more anatomically correct</p> <p>5 position?</p> <p>6 A. That's what anatomical studies are about,</p> <p>7 but the woman doesn't care about that.</p> <p>8 Q. And --</p> <p>9 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike as</p> <p>10 nonresponsive.</p> <p>11 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>12 Q. Can you answer the question I asked,</p> <p>13 Doctor?</p> <p>14 A. I thought I did.</p> <p>15 The anatomical studies look at the anatomy of</p> <p>16 the patient, not the psyche.</p> <p>17 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>18 And several randomized controlled clinical</p> <p>19 trials have demonstrated that Prolift® has a -- results</p> <p>20 in a better anatomical fix of the prolapse compared to</p> <p>21 the native tissue surgery, true?</p> <p>22 A. Well, number one, I'd have to see those</p> <p>23 studies. Number two, we have to talk about which</p> <p>24 compartment they're talking about, anterior --</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 234	Page 236
<p>1 Q. I appreciate the distinction and I'll 2 clarify. 3 When we talked about -- you've used the times 4 anterior and posterior at times in your testimony? 5 A. Right. 6 Q. And just, again, because those aren't 7 terms that laypeople often use, just to define them, 8 anterior we're talking about, essentially, a bladder 9 prolapse, correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And a posterior, we're talking about a 12 rectal prolapse? 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. So let me focus on the anterior prolapse, 15 okay. 16 Many randomized controlled clinical trials have 17 demonstrated that surgery with a Prolift® results in a 18 better anatomical repair of an anterior prolapse 19 compared to a native tissue surgery, true? 20 A. Well, I'd have to somewhat disagree. 21 There are going to be some studies out there that show 22 better anatomy, but I have to look at those specific 23 studies, but they also show equivocal. So, again, how 24 do you want to define many? You know, say 100, five,</p>	<p>1 Q. -- outcomes, correct? 2 A. You are correct. 3 Q. Symptomatic outcomes have been measured as 4 well in some of these studies that we've discussed, 5 correct? 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. Including in some randomized controlled 8 clinical trials, correct? 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. Patients with a Prolift® surgery have 11 demonstrated improvement in symptomatic results, 12 correct? 13 A. Yes, that has happened, yes. 14 Q. Patients implanted with a Prolift® have 15 demonstrated improvements in quality of life, correct? 16 A. That has been demonstrated, yes. 17 Q. You referenced earlier biologic or cadaver 18 tissue being used in pelvic organ prolapse; is that 19 right? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. Surgical experience with those techniques 22 revealed the biological or cadaver tissue in 23 sacrocolpopexy had a high failure rate? 24 A. With specifically sacrocolpopexy it --</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 235</p> <p>1 one? So I just have to see. 2 Q. Okay. How many are you aware of? 3 A. I have reviewed 450 manuscripts, I can't, 4 off the top of my head, come up with them. 5 Q. Certainly, Doctor, you wouldn't dispute 6 that Prolift® has been shown to result in a better 7 anatomical repair of an anterior prolapse compared to a 8 native tissue surgery? 9 A. You know, I've never really argued against 10 anatomic repair, that's not an issue for me, it's the 11 patient's quality of life is. So an anterior, you can 12 find studies that show better or equivocal in anatomic 13 repair. Posterior and apical, it's a different story. 14 Q. Agree that nobody -- you agree that 15 nobody, including you, would dispute anatomic success 16 with mesh is very strong? 17 A. I would agree with you that it has been 18 shown to work, again, but that's not the issue that I'm 19 concerned about in our patients. 20 Q. Thus far, Doctor, we've been talking about 21 anatomic success of the surgery and you, as you just 22 did, want to make reference to another measure of 23 success and that is symptomatic -- 24 A. Correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 237</p> <p>1 several different studies have shown it was not as 2 strong. 3 Q. So the biologic tissues that you 4 referenced in your testimony are not as strong as the 5 polypropylene mesh for repair, right? 6 A. Well, we're talking about transabdominal. 7 Transabdominal I agree with you. 8 Q. Now, there were other polypropylene 9 transvaginal mesh kits developed other than the 10 Prolift®, correct? 11 A. That is correct. 12 Q. Developed by different manufacturers? 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. What are some of the other manufacturers 15 who have developed polypropylene transvaginal mesh kits 16 for prolapse repair? 17 A. Coloplast, AMS, Bard, Boston Scientific, 18 and there may be some more in there. Those are the 19 ones I see the most. 20 Q. And do you believe, Doctor, you have done 21 a comprehensive review of the scientific literature on 22 the randomized controlled trials involving transvaginal 23 mesh for all these products? 24 A. I reviewed the PubMed, which is the</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 238	Page 240
<p>1 world's largest search engine, 24 million articles I 2 recall, and I have reviewed -- you know, it's as 3 comprehensive as I'm going to be able to get. 4 Q. Can you confirm, Doctor, that the Prolift® 5 has been studied in more randomized controlled clinical 6 trials than any other transvaginal mesh used in 7 prolapse repair? 8 A. I don't doubt that, no. 9 Q. Doctor, you made some comments earlier 10 about the amount of clinical trials that had been done 11 on the Prolift® at various points in time; do you 12 recall that in your testimony? 13 A. I don't recall that. 14 Q. You don't? 15 A. I'm sure I've been asked that question, 16 yes. 17 Q. One of the procedures that you described 18 that you are aware of at your institution is the 19 robotic abdominal sacrocolpopexy? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. Now, at the time that you participated in 22 that surgery, when you first started doing that 23 surgery, you were not aware of any randomized 24 controlled trial anywhere in the world, correct?</p>	<p>1 sacrocolpopexy procedure that you participate in, do 2 you use polypropylene mesh? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you continue to use mesh in that 5 procedure, correct? 6 A. For that specific procedure, yes. 7 Q. And you have for the last ten years? 8 A. Longer than that. Probably 2003 with the 9 robotically and then prior to that was transabdominal. 10 Q. The mesh that you use in your practice is 11 called InterPro? 12 A. InterPro by AMS. 13 Q. The InterPro mesh that you use in your 14 practice you believe is a large pore mesh, correct? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Do you believe the InterPro mesh that you 17 use in your clinical practice is a lightweight mesh? 18 A. No. It would probably be -- I would have 19 to look up the specific numbers, it would probably be a 20 moderate weight. I don't recall the exact numbers. 21 They're quite similar to Gynemesh®. 22 MR. ISMAIL: I'm going to mark this as 23 Exhibit 1 and we'll remark it for trial 24 purposes later.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 239</p> <p>1 A. I and my colleague were the first in the 2 world to do it, so there's no way of having a 3 randomized controlled trial. 4 Q. And even today there is not a randomized 5 controlled clinical trial on the use of robotic 6 abdominal sacrocolpopexy for the treatment of prolapse, 7 correct? 8 A. No, there's been laparoscopic versus 9 robotic, I have reviewed those papers, those papers are 10 out there. 11 Q. When did those come out? 12 A. Oh, those came out years ago. 13 Q. When? 14 A. I reviewed -- I have no idea. I reviewed 15 them, they asked me to review it because of my 16 expertise so there are going to be those trials out 17 there. I don't -- right now as I sit here can't think 18 of one robotic versus open. 19 Q. Let me -- by the way, with respect to the 20 robotic procedure you just described, you don't operate 21 the robot in that procedure? 22 A. No, my colleague does. 23 Q. See how we're doing on time, Doctor. 24 Now, with respect to this robotic abdominal</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 241</p> <p>1 (Document marked for identification as 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 1.) 3 BY MR. ISMAIL: 4 Q. First of all, Doctor, you indicated in 5 your last answer that the mesh you use in your clinical 6 practice is a polypropylene mesh that's very similar to 7 the mesh that's used in the Prolift®, correct? 8 A. I didn't say very similar. I said it's 9 similar to. 10 Q. Okay. I will rephrase. 11 You agree, Doctor, that the mesh you use in 12 your clinical practice is a mesh that's very -- 13 withdrawn. 14 The mesh you use in your clinical practice is 15 similar to the polypropylene mesh used in the Prolift®, 16 correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. I've handed you what we've marked for 19 identification as Exhibit 1. 20 Is this an article that you are listed as an 21 author on? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. And it is on the use of robotic 24 sacrocolpopexy in prolapse repair?</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 242	Page 244
<p>1 A. That is correct.</p> <p>2 Q. And in this article, Doctor, do you tell 3 the medical community what materials you use in the 4 procedure?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, we do.</p> <p>6 Q. And do you describe the polypropylene mesh 7 that you use in your procedure?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. If you turn to Page 2 of the article, in 10 the left column.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And in there you inform the medical 13 community on the technique for this robotic procedure 14 that you are describing in the article, right?</p> <p>15 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. And if you work your way down in that left 17 column, above the anatomical cartoon there, you make 18 specific reference to the polypropylene mesh that you 19 use in your procedure, right?</p> <p>20 A. That is correct.</p> <p>21 Q. Do you say, quote, Next, a Y-shaped large 22 pore, lightweight polypropylene graft (InterPro; 23 American Medical Systems) is sutured into the vagina?</p> <p>24 A. That's what we state, yes.</p>	<p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. You agree that the porosity of the mesh 3 used in Prolift® is similar to InterPro, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Well, Prolift® is only a transvaginal 5 procedure. So transvaginal versus transabdominal, 6 we're talking different procedures there.</p> <p>7 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike as 8 nonresponsive.</p> <p>9 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>10 Q. Do you remember my question, Doctor?</p> <p>11 A. No, I do not.</p> <p>12 Q. I'll restate it.</p> <p>13 The polypropylene mesh you use, InterPro, has a 14 porosity similar to Gynemesh®?</p> <p>15 A. That is correct.</p> <p>16 Q. The porosity of Gynemesh® is similar to 17 the mesh used in the Prolift® kit, correct?</p> <p>18 A. Should be the same.</p> <p>19 Q. So the answer to that is yes?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And you described your -- the mesh you use 22 as large pore, correct?</p> <p>23 A. That is correct.</p> <p>24 Q. You also described the mesh you use as</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 243</p> <p>1 Q. So you, in your article that you published 2 to the medical community, describe InterPro as a large 3 pore lightweight polypropylene mesh, correct?</p> <p>4 A. That is correct.</p> <p>5 Q. The date of this article, sir, was -- is 6 what?</p> <p>7 A. 2015.</p> <p>8 Q. In fact, it was submitted and received by 9 the journal on May 26, 2015, correct?</p> <p>10 A. That's correct.</p> <p>11 Q. That's some -- that's several years after 12 you had begun work already on behalf of the plaintiff 13 lawyers in this case?</p> <p>14 A. That is correct.</p> <p>15 Q. It's after you formed your opinions about 16 Gynemesh®, correct?</p> <p>17 A. That's correct.</p> <p>18 Q. So when you published for the medical 19 community -- withdrawn.</p> <p>20 You published in the medical community that 21 InterPro, the mesh you use, is large pore, right?</p> <p>22 A. That's correct.</p> <p>23 Q. You talked about pore size with Mr. Slater 24 several times earlier today, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 245</p> <p>1 lightweight, correct?</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. The mesh -- the polypropylene mesh you use 4 is -- has a similar weight to the Gynemesh®, correct?</p> <p>5 A. That is correct.</p> <p>6 Q. And the Gynemesh® would have a similar 7 weight to that used -- the mesh used in the Prolift® 8 kit, correct?</p> <p>9 A. That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q. By the way, Doctor, do you know whether 11 the mesh you use in your practice has bi-directional 12 elasticity?</p> <p>13 A. It doesn't.</p> <p>14 Q. It does not?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. So the missing characteristic of 17 bi-directional elasticity hasn't stopped you from using 18 InterPro mesh in your practice, right?</p> <p>19 MR. SLATER: Objection, lack of 20 foundation, mischaracterization of direct.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: Because I'm using it through 22 an abdominal route, just like Gynemesh® is 23 still available for abdominal route, so you 24 can't compare the two surgeries.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 246</p> <p>1 BY MR. ISMAIL: 2 Q. I haven't compared anything, Doctor. My 3 question was different. Do you remember it or do you 4 want me to restate it? 5 A. Please restate it. 6 Q. The missing characteristic of 7 bi-directional elasticity has not stopped you from 8 using InterPro mesh in your procedures, correct? 9 MR. SLATER: Objection, 10 mischaracterization and lack of foundation. 11 BY MR. ISMAIL: 12 Q. You can answer the question. 13 A. Yeah, I can't give you -- I think it would 14 be unfair to give you a yes or no. I have to say I'm 15 doing it through a different route. 16 If I were doing it through the vagina, 17 absolutely. Through the abdomen I have not seen that 18 issue. 19 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike as 20 nonresponsive. 21 BY MR. ISMAIL: 22 Q. Again, it's not -- I have not compared it 23 to transvaginal surgery or not. It's a very simple 24 question, Doctor.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 248</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: I can get something. I'm 2 out of fluid here. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:47 and we 4 are off the record. 5 (Brief recess.) 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:53. And 7 we are back on the record. 8 BY MR. ISMAIL: 9 Q. Doctor, I want to turn now to something in 10 your prior testimony regarding the instructions for use 11 that you offered. 12 Now, prior to being retained by the plaintiff 13 lawyers, you had never before looked at a 14 manufacturer's internal standards for what to include 15 in the instructions for use, correct? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. And if we were to consider your articles 18 that you've published in the literature, you've never 19 before published on the standards that a manufacturer 20 uses for instruction for use, correct? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. With respect to the Prolift® instructions 23 for use, before you got involved in this case you had 24 never even read the Prolift® instruction for use,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 247</p> <p>1 A. And I feel I need to explain it to be 2 accurate. 3 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike as 4 nonresponsive. 5 BY MR. ISMAIL: 6 Q. Do you have my question in mind? 7 A. No, I still do. 8 Q. Well, let me restate it, just for the 9 benefit of the record. 10 The mesh that you use in your clinical practice 11 you believe does not have bi-directional elasticity, 12 correct? 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. And that has not stopped you from using 15 that mesh in your abdominal sacrocolpopexy procedure, 16 correct? 17 A. As you are specifically stating there, you 18 are correct, through the abdomen, I agree with you. 19 MR. ISMAIL: Okay. When did we start, 20 12:40. Everyone doing okay? 21 THE WITNESS: Can I get something to 22 drink? 23 MR. SLATER: Take five minutes. 24 MR. ISMAIL: Sure.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 249</p> <p>1 correct? 2 A. Well, again, I know I did not read the 3 Gynemesh®, I know that, but I visited the booth at 4 Ethicon and, as I recall, looked at the IFU, looking at 5 it online. I can't recall specific dates. 6 Q. One moment, Doctor. 7 MR. SLATER: If you are going to pull a 8 transcript or something just let me know so I 9 can look for it. Is it the Bellew transcript 10 or something else? 11 MR. ISMAIL: This will be the witness' 12 deposition. I have a copy for you if you'd 13 like. 14 MR. SPECTER: That would be great. Thank 15 you. 16 MR. SLATER: Yeah, sure. Splendid. 17 MR. ISMAIL: I'll give one to you too in a 18 minute, Doctor. 19 Doctor -- ready to proceed everyone? I'll 20 give you page and line when we get there. 21 Adam. 22 MR. SLATER: What's that? 23 MR. ISMAIL: Ready to proceed? 24 MR. SLATER: Oh, yeah. I figured you</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 250	Page 252
<p>1 would tell us the page and line before you --</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: I will.</p> <p>3 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>4 Q. Doctor, you referenced earlier you gave a</p> <p>5 deposition in this case, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. And when you gave that deposition you took</p> <p>8 an oath to tell the truth, correct?</p> <p>9 A. That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q. Same type of oath that you took today?</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 Q. And you understood when you took that oath</p> <p>13 that it was as if you were in court?</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. There was a court reporter there who was</p> <p>16 taking down the questions that were asked and the</p> <p>17 answers that you gave, correct?</p> <p>18 A. Correct.</p> <p>19 Q. I ask, Doctor, if you turn to Page 391 of</p> <p>20 your deposition?</p> <p>21 MR. SLATER: Just one thing for the</p> <p>22 record, I just -- I'm looking what you asked,</p> <p>23 just -- well, actually, I'll withdraw it. You</p> <p>24 go ahead. What page did you say?</p>	<p>1 that, no.</p> <p>2 Q. So when you discussed earlier that you had</p> <p>3 used instructions for use in your interaction with</p> <p>4 residents, do you recall giving testimony to that</p> <p>5 effect?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. That was a more general statement</p> <p>8 regarding how using instructions for use in other</p> <p>9 contexts besides the Prolift®, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. So you never taught or interacted with</p> <p>12 residents before this litigation on the Prolift®</p> <p>13 instruction for use, correct?</p> <p>14 A. I think that would be fair. We looked it</p> <p>15 up online, what was available, but it was not a formal</p> <p>16 teaching. It was more of an idea of what happens with</p> <p>17 the procedure.</p> <p>18 Q. Now, you're not suggesting, Doctor, that</p> <p>19 the instruction for use is the only way surgeons obtain</p> <p>20 information about the surgeries they perform, are you?</p> <p>21 A. It is not the only way. It is one of the</p> <p>22 ways.</p> <p>23 Q. Surgeons obtain information pertinent to</p> <p>24 surgery from numerous sources, right?</p>
Page 251	Page 253
<p>1 MR. ISMAIL: 391, Line 1.</p> <p>2 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>3 Q. Doctor, were you asked this question:</p> <p>4 "Before becoming engaged in this litigation,</p> <p>5 had you ever reviewed the Prolift® instructions for</p> <p>6 use?"</p> <p>7 Is that the question you were asked?</p> <p>8 A. Before I -- you're on Line 9?</p> <p>9 Q. Line 1.</p> <p>10 A. Oh, Line 1. I'm sorry.</p> <p>11 Q. Let me begin again.</p> <p>12 A. I'm sorry.</p> <p>13 Q. Doctor, were you asked this question and</p> <p>14 did you give this answer:</p> <p>15 "Question: Before becoming engaged in this</p> <p>16 litigation, had you ever reviewed the Prolift®</p> <p>17 instructions for use?</p> <p>18 Answer: No, I had not."</p> <p>19 Was that your sworn testimony, sir?</p> <p>20 A. That's what I gave then, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Before being involved in this litigation</p> <p>22 had you ever read the instruction for use for</p> <p>23 Gynemesh®?</p> <p>24 A. Gynemesh®, I don't recall ever reading</p>	<p>1 A. Possibly. It depends upon the surgeon.</p> <p>2 Q. So surgeons obtain information relevant to</p> <p>3 surgery from their own education, right?</p> <p>4 A. Well, I can't speak for all surgeons out</p> <p>5 there. Everybody is different. There are different</p> <p>6 levels of surgeons and different levels of motivation</p> <p>7 and different levels of quality delivered, so I can't</p> <p>8 speak for everybody.</p> <p>9 For me, at an institution I am in and the</p> <p>10 ability to travel all over the world for meetings, the</p> <p>11 IFU takes less of a meaning. If I'm out in the middle</p> <p>12 of USA somewhere, they become more important. So,</p> <p>13 again, I can't speak for everybody.</p> <p>14 Q. Let me rephrase.</p> <p>15 You are aware, Doctor, that surgeons can rely</p> <p>16 on their education and training to understand the risks</p> <p>17 and benefits of surgeries that they perform?</p> <p>18 A. They can, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Surgeons can rely on the medical</p> <p>20 literature to understand the risks and benefits of the</p> <p>21 surgeries they perform?</p> <p>22 A. That is another avenue for it, yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Surgeons can look to medical conferences</p> <p>24 as another source of information about the risks and</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 254	Page 256
<p>1 benefits of surgeries they perform, correct?</p> <p>2 A. Possibly, if they're able to go to the</p> <p>3 meetings, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Surgeons can rely on their own clinical</p> <p>5 experience when understanding the risk and benefits of</p> <p>6 the surgeries they perform, correct?</p> <p>7 A. Possibly, if they performed the procedure</p> <p>8 before.</p> <p>9 Q. Surgeons -- have you ever heard --</p> <p>10 withdrawn.</p> <p>11 Have you ever heard of a surgical guide?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Surgical guides have been prepared in</p> <p>14 addition to instructions for use, correct?</p> <p>15 A. That's a generic statement for everything,</p> <p>16 but there are surgical guides available for some</p> <p>17 procedures.</p> <p>18 Q. And surgeons can look to a surgical guide</p> <p>19 or a monograph to learn information about the risks and</p> <p>20 benefits of a surgery they can perform?</p> <p>21 A. If that's available, they can do that,</p> <p>22 yes.</p> <p>23 Q. When you were on direct examination with</p> <p>24 Mr. Slater you did not discuss the surgical guides or</p>	<p>1 of the plaintiffs, right?</p> <p>2 A. Yes and no to that. It's through my work,</p> <p>3 yes, definitely through the litigation, but also as my</p> <p>4 internal curiosities, what are the standards industry</p> <p>5 is required to do, because I'm a surgeon implanting</p> <p>6 devices and I kind of want to know what really goes on</p> <p>7 behind the scenes.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. So if we focus on the period of</p> <p>9 time as of when you were first retained by the</p> <p>10 plaintiff lawyers, you would agree that you did not</p> <p>11 have experience with the internal design standards a</p> <p>12 manufacturer uses to develop a new surgical device,</p> <p>13 correct?</p> <p>14 A. Well, no, if you look at my CV, I was</p> <p>15 involved in transurethral enzymatic ablation of the</p> <p>16 prostate, which I worked with a researcher and the</p> <p>17 founder of the company and working with the FDA as far</p> <p>18 as getting it approved, that's when I was a resident.</p> <p>19 I worked with the design of a new artificially</p> <p>20 designed urinary sphincter for males by Timm, T-i-m-m</p> <p>21 is the name of him, so we were working on the standards</p> <p>22 with the companies, and then my own patent. And so it</p> <p>23 depends how extensive a level of knowledge.</p> <p>24 I'm not an FDA -- I'm not employed by the FDA.</p>
Page 255	Page 257
<p>1 monographs with Prolift®, correct?</p> <p>2 MR. SLATER: Objection.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: I wasn't asked.</p> <p>4 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>5 Q. So the answer to my question is correct?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, you are correct.</p> <p>7 Q. Mr. Slater asked you some questions about</p> <p>8 design standards; do you recall that?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 MR. SLATER: Objection,</p> <p>11 mischaracterization.</p> <p>12 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>13 Q. Prior to being retained by the plaintiff</p> <p>14 lawyers in this case had you ever been aware of the</p> <p>15 internal design standards that a manufacturer uses to</p> <p>16 develop a new surgical device?</p> <p>17 A. Specifically that? I mean, I have patents</p> <p>18 of my own on a product, was involved in the early</p> <p>19 stages of designing of a product as a resident, but as</p> <p>20 you narrow it down there are specific industry</p> <p>21 standards, my level of knowledge would be not as much</p> <p>22 as it is now.</p> <p>23 Q. When you say "not as much as it is now,"</p> <p>24 you mean through your work as a paid witness on behalf</p>	<p>1 I didn't design any FDA regulations but I have working</p> <p>2 knowledge of what would be required.</p> <p>3 Q. Let me rephrase my question. And I'm</p> <p>4 talking about internal --</p> <p>5 MR. SLATER: Can I -- I'm sorry, I just</p> <p>6 got a text and I have to call somebody back</p> <p>7 really quick. I don't want to -- if it's a bad</p> <p>8 spot, I just -- it has nothing to do with work.</p> <p>9 MR. ISMAIL: Off the record.</p> <p>10 MR. SLATER: Thanks.</p> <p>11 MR. ISMAIL: Sure.</p> <p>12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:03 and we</p> <p>13 are off the record.</p> <p>14 (Brief recess.)</p> <p>15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:07 and we</p> <p>16 are back on the record.</p> <p>17 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>18 Q. Doctor, let me rephrase my prior question</p> <p>19 to make it more specific.</p> <p>20 Prior to being retained by the plaintiff</p> <p>21 lawyers in this litigation you had no experience on the</p> <p>22 internal design standards a manufacturer uses for the</p> <p>23 development of a new surgical device for treatment of</p> <p>24 pelvic organ prolapse, correct?</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 258</p> <p>1 A. I don't know. I would have to say that is 2 only partially correct. As I mentioned previously, as 3 far as my experience designing, as far as the 4 transenzymatic ablation of the prostate, which was 5 going through the FDA, we had FDA people come in, 6 working in with them, the -- an artificially made 7 sphincter for male incontinence with Dr. Timm, working 8 and designing to the point of implanting in humans. 9 And then with my patent, working with it. So those are 10 all looking at safety, complications, ramifications.</p> <p>11 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike as 12 nonresponsive.</p> <p>13 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>14 Q. Doctor, I'm not intending to ask anything 15 about the FDA in my question, okay?</p> <p>16 A. Okay.</p> <p>17 Q. And you agree you are not an FDA expert, 18 right?</p> <p>19 A. I know what the standards they are going 20 after, but I have not been employed by the FDA.</p> <p>21 Q. So my question is very specific. I would 22 ask that you only answer that question.</p> <p>23 Prior to being retained by the plaintiffs in 24 this litigation, you did not have experience on the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 260</p> <p>1 prolapse surgeries -- withdrawn. 2 I think you told us earlier that all surgeries 3 have risks associated with them, correct? 4 A. Well, all surgeries have their unique 5 complications of it, severity, frequency, but surgeries 6 can have some complications. Again, we have to define 7 what surgery we're talking about.</p> <p>8 Q. All right. Let's break it down. 9 All surgeries have sort of general risks 10 related to surgery; anesthesia, potential infection, 11 any time you are cutting tissue there is a potential 12 risk, right?</p> <p>13 A. Again, if you are talking about -- I'm not 14 trying to be difficult, but I don't want to make a 15 general statement. If we're talking about a skin 16 biopsy in a dermatologist's office is different than 17 cardiac surgery. So, again, that's why -- as a surgeon 18 I have to define what I'm talking about, what 19 procedure.</p> <p>20 Q. Then we'll be specific. 21 With any pelvic organ prolapse surgery, even in 22 the hands of the most skilled surgeon, there can be 23 complications, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Each surgery has its own unique</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 259</p> <p>1 internal design standards a company used to develop a 2 new surgical device for pelvic organ prolapse, true?</p> <p>3 A. Correct, I have never been an employee of 4 any industry designing those issues.</p> <p>5 Q. You earlier referenced, Doctor, the 6 results of the TVM group in France; do you recall that, 7 in the early development work on the Prolift®?</p> <p>8 A. Yeah, we discussed two or three earlier 9 studies.</p> <p>10 Q. And you used the clinical study report in 11 reference to the results of their success rate in the 12 surgical use of the Prolift®, correct?</p> <p>13 A. That is correct. As long as we're 14 talking, it was Plaintiff Exhibit P0049, I assume we're 15 talking about that one.</p> <p>16 Q. Yes. And there were two arms to the TVM 17 study, correct, one in Europe and one in the United 18 States?</p> <p>19 A. Oh, yes, yes. I'm sorry, I misunderstood, 20 yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And the data that you went over with 22 Mr. Slater only related to the European TVM data?</p> <p>23 A. That is correct, yes, not the American.</p> <p>24 Q. Doctor, do you agree that pelvic organ</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 261</p> <p>1 complications, frequency and ability to treat those 2 complications.</p> <p>3 Q. And even yourself, Doctor, you would never 4 guarantee a patient that a surgery you performed will 5 be free of complications, correct?</p> <p>6 A. You are correct.</p> <p>7 Q. With any surgery in -- for pelvic 8 reconstruction you have potential problems with 9 bleeding, right?</p> <p>10 A. It can happen. Certain procedures have 11 higher risk, others have lower risk, but it can happen.</p> <p>12 Q. Any surgery for pelvic reconstruction has 13 risks associated with the use of anesthesia, correct?</p> <p>14 A. Yeah, unless you are using a local 15 anesthetic for biopsy, yeah, but, again, I don't like 16 making a general statement. A procedure takes three 17 hours versus one that takes ten minutes, there's 18 different risks so everything is -- again, I don't want 19 to be difficult by any means, but I'm a surgeon so we 20 look at each specific procedure.</p> <p>21 Q. The potential surgeries that could be used 22 for repair of pelvic organ prolapse all carry a 23 potential risk of infection, correct?</p> <p>24 A. It depends. If you are using a foreign</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 262</p> <p>1 product, foreign body, the risk goes up. If you are 2 not, I have -- I have, in my experience, never had a 3 transvaginal procedure using native repair get 4 infected.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you have the -- I guess this is a 6 different. Sorry, forgot to give you the other day but 7 feel free to hold on to that. Not to add to your 8 paper, Doctor, but here you go.</p> <p>9 Doctor, I've handed you a transcript of 10 testimony you gave on March 4, 2015; is that correct?</p> <p>11 A. March -- you gave me March 3rd and 12 March 4.</p> <p>13 Q. I would like you to focus on March 4, 14 please.</p> <p>15 A. Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. And you swore to tell the truth in that 17 deposition, correct?</p> <p>18 A. That is correct.</p> <p>19 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 513 20 of your testimony.</p> <p>21 A. Okay, I'm there.</p> <p>22 Q. Line 21. Was this your question -- it was 23 a question asked of you and was this your answer under 24 oath:</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 264</p> <p>1 potential for -- yeah, there is potential risk there. 2 Q. There is a potential risk of serious 3 injury to the patient with a colporrhaphy procedure? 4 A. Not in my experience there hasn't been, 5 but, I mean, again, I need to know what kind of 6 complication you are talking about. I think we need to 7 be clear.</p> <p>8 Q. Doctor, I ask that you turn to transcript 9 that I gave you earlier of your deposition taken on 10 November 16th.</p> <p>11 MR. SLATER: Objection.</p> <p>12 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>13 Q. First transcript I gave you, Doctor. 14 A. I have it, yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Page 244.</p> <p>16 A. 344?</p> <p>17 Q. 244.</p> <p>18 A. I don't have a 2 -- mine starts at 200 19 something.</p> <p>20 Q. I'll give you that.</p> <p>21 MR. SLATER: Stingy with the transcripts. 22 MR. ISMAIL: There you go.</p> <p>23 MR. SLATER: That's what I heard about 24 you.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 263</p> <p>1 "And with any surgery, no matter what it is, 2 you've got problems of -- potential problems with 3 bleeding or infection or anesthesia problems, and so 4 forth; correct?</p> <p>5 Answer: In a general sense, yes."</p> <p>6 Were you asked that question and did you give 7 that answer under oath?</p> <p>8 A. Yeah, and I agree with that answer still.</p> <p>9 Q. And once you go on to the specific surgery 10 at issue, there are potential complications with each 11 specific surgery, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Each surgery has its own unique 13 complications.</p> <p>14 Q. And that's true with surgeries in the 15 pelvic floor, correct.</p> <p>16 A. That is correct.</p> <p>17 Q. There is a potential of serious injury 18 with sacrocolpopexy, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Well, it depends on when you are talking 20 about injury to what? Again, that's not to be 21 difficult but injury to the heart? No. Injury to the 22 organs --</p> <p>23 Q. To the patient?</p> <p>24 A. To the patient in general, there is the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 265</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: 244.</p> <p>2 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>3 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 A. 244, I'm there.</p> <p>5 Q. All right, Doctor. This, again, was sworn 6 testimony you gave and the date of this was 7 November 16, 2012; is that correct?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. I'm sorry, 243, Doctor.</p> <p>10 A. Okay. I'm there.</p> <p>11 Q. Line 11.</p> <p>12 "Question: Would you agree that there's a 13 potential risk of serious --</p> <p>14 Sorry, Line 7.</p> <p>15 "Would you agree that there is a potential risk 16 of serious injury with the sacrocolpopexy?"</p> <p>17 Answer: Yes."</p> <p>18 Is that the question you were asked and answer 19 you had given?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, and I agree with that.</p> <p>21 Q. Were you also asked is there "... a 22 potential risk of serious injury with the sacrospinous 23 ligament fixation?"</p> <p>24 Your answer, "In a magnitude and frequency and</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 266</p> <p>1 intensity and delayed onset difference but, yes, 2 there's a risk."</p> <p>3 And then you were asked at Line 19: 4 "Would you agree that there's a potential risk 5 of serious injury with a sacrospinous ligament 6 fixation?</p> <p>7 Answer: There is -- there is a risk there for 8 serious injury, yes."</p> <p>9 Were you asked that question and were you 10 giving that answer under oath?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, and I agree with that.</p> <p>12 Q. And then on Page 244, what I really 13 intended to direct you to in the first place, Line 2, 14 would you agree that there's a serious risk with 15 colporrhaphy?</p> <p>16 What was your answer under oath?</p> <p>17 A. "Yes."</p> <p>18 Q. There are risks with hysterectomies, 19 correct, Doctor?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. All prolapse surgeries have -- carry the 22 risk to other organs, correct?</p> <p>23 A. Again, yes. We have to define what organ 24 but --</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 268</p> <p>1 Q. My question is what was your sworn answer, 2 Doctor?</p> <p>3 A. "Yes."</p> <p>4 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>5 All prolapse surgeries have a risk of pain, 6 correct?</p> <p>7 A. Again, I'd have to define the severity, 8 the frequency, et cetera, but pain, to a certain 9 degree, is a risk of all prolapse surgeries.</p> <p>10 Q. That's inherent to the surgery, right?</p> <p>11 A. That's inherent to that specific surgery, 12 correct.</p> <p>13 Q. All prolapse surgeries have a potential 14 risk of pain with sexual intercourse, correct?</p> <p>15 A. Yes. Again, as I'll state over and over, 16 it depends upon the severity, the frequency, the 17 progressive nature, but, yes, dyspareunia, pain with 18 intercourse, can't happen with all of them, but they 19 might not all have the severity of the pain.</p> <p>20 Q. Page 90 of your testimony, Doctor, Line 2: 21 "Question: All prolapse surgeries have a 22 potential risk of dyspareunia; correct?"</p> <p>23 What was your answer, sir? Line 4.</p> <p>24 A. Yeah, yes, I state it that there, as I've</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 267</p> <p>1 Q. Right, I'm not talking about the heart. 2 I'm talking about the organs near the surgery that 3 you're performing.</p> <p>4 A. Correct, that -- that is an inherent risk 5 with operating in that region, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. There is an inherent risk of operating in 7 that region of injuries to the nerves of the patient, 8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. Well, it depends what nerves you are 10 talking about and it depends what prolapse surgery, 11 that's why sacrospinous fixation I was very specific 12 on, okay, or semi-specific.</p> <p>13 The risks of sacrospinous fixation are comp -- 14 significantly different than abdominal sacrocolpopexy 15 or more significant than anterior colporrhaphy.</p> <p>16 So, again, as far as nerve injury, it depends 17 what nerves that we're talking about.</p> <p>18 Q. Page 89 of the November 15, 2012 19 testimony.</p> <p>20 A. Okay. I'm there.</p> <p>21 Q. Line 21, were you asked this question: 22 "All prolapse surgeries have a risk to nerves?"</p> <p>23 What was your sworn answer, Doctor?</p> <p>24 A. You know, yeah, I see that, I say --</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 269</p> <p>1 clarified today.</p> <p>2 Q. All prolapse surgeries have a potential 3 risk of pelvic pain, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Again, dependent upon the procedure and 5 the severity, they can be different, but they can all 6 have pain, but, again, it depends upon that specific 7 procedure.</p> <p>8 Q. Line 5 of Page 90 of your testimony: 9 "Question: All prolapse surgeries have a 10 potential risk of pelvic pain; correct?"</p> <p>11 What was your sworn answer under oath, sir?</p> <p>12 A. "Yes," with the clarifier I just did.</p> <p>13 Q. In fact, persistent pain is a complication 14 of prolapse surgeries other than the Prolift®, correct?</p> <p>15 A. Again, that depends upon the severity and 16 frequency. There's clarifiers.</p> <p>17 Q. Turn to page -- of the November 16 18 testimony, Doctor. Line 21.</p> <p>19 A. What page?</p> <p>20 Q. I'm sorry. 454.</p> <p>21 A. 454, Line 21, okay, I'm there.</p> <p>22 Q. "Question: Persistent pain is a potential 23 complication with other prolapse surgeries besides Prolift®, correct?"</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 270	Page 272
<p>1 What was your sworn testimony under oath, sir?</p> <p>2 A. Yeah, as I said --</p> <p>3 Q. What was your testimony, sir?</p> <p>4 A. I agree with that statement, yes, with the</p> <p>5 clarifiers I added today.</p> <p>6 Q. You didn't add those clarifiers at the</p> <p>7 time when you were giving your sworn testimony, true?</p> <p>8 A. I did not, no, you are correct.</p> <p>9 Q. As a surgeon any time you perform a</p> <p>10 prolapse surgery, re-operation is a potential risk</p> <p>11 going into the surgery, correct?</p> <p>12 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And just like you've never guaranteed a</p> <p>14 patient that a surgery will be complication-free,</p> <p>15 you've never guaranteed a patient that a surgery</p> <p>16 necessarily will be effective, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Effective as far as treating the symptoms</p> <p>18 and the anatomical occurrence, I agree with you, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. There can be re-operation because of a</p> <p>20 failure of the prolapse surgery in doing its intended</p> <p>21 job of fixing the prolapsing problem, correct?</p> <p>22 A. That is a risk, yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And that's inherent to all prolapse</p> <p>24 surgeries, correct?</p>	<p>1 the author -- what the author means by a mesh exposure</p> <p>2 versus mesh erosion, et cetera?</p> <p>3 A. That is correct, including the term</p> <p>4 palpable.</p> <p>5 Q. Mesh exposure is a well known risk of any</p> <p>6 surgery involving mesh, correct?</p> <p>7 A. That is true.</p> <p>8 Q. Whether the mesh is placed transvaginally</p> <p>9 or transabdominally, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct. Again, there is going to be</p> <p>11 differences in frequency and severity, but, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And so when we're talking about mesh</p> <p>13 exposure we're talking about when the implanted mesh</p> <p>14 becomes visible or palpable?</p> <p>15 A. In the vagina, correct, not in the bladder</p> <p>16 or another organ, that's different.</p> <p>17 Q. Correct.</p> <p>18 And that's called a mesh erosion, right?</p> <p>19 A. It should be called that but there will be</p> <p>20 different terms, that's why it gets confusing for</p> <p>21 everybody.</p> <p>22 Q. So that goes back to how we started this</p> <p>23 part of our discussion, the terms exposure and erosion</p> <p>24 sometimes are used interchangeably, but, in your view,</p>
Page 271	Page 273
<p>1 A. I don't know of any procedure that is 100%</p> <p>2 perfect.</p> <p>3 Q. There could also be a need for</p> <p>4 re-operation to -- because a complication has occurred,</p> <p>5 that necessitates some surgical intervention, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Well, again, re-operation can occur, but,</p> <p>7 again, we have to look at what type of complication it</p> <p>8 is, how severe it is and can we fix it, but, yes, in a</p> <p>9 general sense, I agree with you.</p> <p>10 Q. And that's inherent to all prolapse repair</p> <p>11 surgeries, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, as I mentioned with all those</p> <p>13 different qualifiers on there.</p> <p>14 Q. You testified this morning about the term</p> <p>15 mesh exposure; do you recall?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And you indicated that sometimes the</p> <p>18 terminology in this area can get -- get confusing</p> <p>19 because folks use different terms to describe different</p> <p>20 things?</p> <p>21 A. That is correct.</p> <p>22 Q. And so whenever you're reviewing any</p> <p>23 document that talks about complications for mesh</p> <p>24 surgery, you want to make sure you understand whether</p>	<p>1 there's a clear distinction between them?</p> <p>2 A. Correct. You would have to look, when</p> <p>3 going through medical records, of what the doctor is</p> <p>4 actually really describing, what they actually saw.</p> <p>5 Q. The amount of mesh exposed can be small,</p> <p>6 correct?</p> <p>7 A. It can be, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Mesh exposure actually can be</p> <p>9 asymptomatic, right?</p> <p>10 A. It can be, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. When we say "asymptomatic," that means the</p> <p>12 patient is not experiencing any symptoms from the mesh</p> <p>13 exposure, correct?</p> <p>14 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>15 Q. When dealing with a mesh exposure the</p> <p>16 physician can try conservative measures to treat it,</p> <p>17 right?</p> <p>18 A. That is one of the options, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And you certainly advocate conservative</p> <p>20 methods to treat a mesh exposure, correct?</p> <p>21 A. It depends on the severity of the mesh</p> <p>22 exposure. If it's large, highly symptomatic, then, no.</p> <p>23 If it's small, asymptomatic, then, yes, as initial</p> <p>24 treatment.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 274	Page 276
<p>1 Q. Okay. I appreciate the clarification but 2 just so it's clear, a doctor should consider, in the 3 first instance, whether conservative treatment of a 4 mesh exposure is warranted or whether something more 5 invasive would be appropriate; is that fair to say?</p> <p>6 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Now, with regard to the Prolift®, you 8 agree that approximately 50% of mesh exposures can be 9 treated conservatively?</p> <p>10 A. That is, I'd say, old data. If you look 11 at Abbott, et.al., no, they disagree with that, but of 12 those 50% treated conservatively, 50% of those went on 13 to surgery. So the old data, yes, but not the new 14 data.</p> <p>15 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike as 16 nonresponsive.</p> <p>17 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>18 Q. If you have your November 15 -- 19 A. 2012, yeah, because that's old.</p> <p>20 Q. All right. Well, let me make sure we're 21 clear.</p> <p>22 A. Sure.</p> <p>23 Q. At the time you gave your sworn testimony 24 in this case you agreed that approximately 50% of mesh</p>	<p>1 a physician and patient, correct? 2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. And I'm trying to define for the jury what 4 that means when we say "conservative treatment," okay? 5 A. Okay.</p> <p>6 Q. When we say conservative treatment of a 7 mesh exposure, what we're saying is the physician and 8 patient can do nothing but observation to see if the 9 problem improves, correct?</p> <p>10 A. That is a treatment option based upon a 11 case by case situation. You have to evaluate all the 12 variables.</p> <p>13 Q. And sometimes a conservative treatment 14 option would include use of a topical estrogen cream, 15 correct?</p> <p>16 A. That is one of the options, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Less conservative treatment would include 18 excising the exposed mesh, correct?</p> <p>19 A. That is correct.</p> <p>20 Q. The -- if a -- withdrawn.</p> <p>21 Sometimes an excision of exposed mesh can be 22 done in a ten or 15 minute procedure, correct?</p> <p>23 A. I can't speak to that. I have not done 24 that.</p>
Page 275	Page 277
<p>1 exposures can be treated conservatively, true?</p> <p>2 MR. SPECTER: Counsel -- pardon me, 3 counsel. I object. When you say "in this 4 case" are you talking about the Hammons case or 5 the transvaginal mesh litigation generally?</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: I will rephrase.</p> <p>7 MR. SPECTER: Thank you.</p> <p>8 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>9 Q. At the time of your November 2012 10 deposition did you agree, Doctor, that approximately 11 50% of mesh exposures can be treated conservatively?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, I agree with you specifically in 13 2012, but that's what I'm saying, new data has come out 14 to say that I was incorrect at that time.</p> <p>15 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike as 16 nonresponsive and hearsay everything after 17 "yes."</p> <p>18 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>19 Q. The conservative ways of treating a mesh 20 exposure with Prolift® would include just watching and 21 observing the patient to see how she is doing?</p> <p>22 A. It has to be a case by case situation.</p> <p>23 Q. We've described that conservative 24 treatment of a mesh exposure is sometimes available for</p>	<p>1 Q. You're aware, Doctor, that some exposed 2 meshes that have gone on to excision can be done in a 3 ten or 15 minute procedure?</p> <p>4 A. I don't doubt that it can be done. The 5 question is how effective it is.</p> <p>6 Q. Now, this other term that you used, 7 erosion, that was a term that you used with Mr. Slater 8 this morning, correct?</p> <p>9 A. That is correct.</p> <p>10 Q. And you've defined a mesh erosion to mean 11 when the mesh enters an adjacent organ, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Correct, that would be the current 13 terminology.</p> <p>14 Q. And that's different than a vaginal 15 exposure of mesh, correct?</p> <p>16 A. That is correct, yes, but we have to be 17 careful on who is doing the defining on medical records 18 and things, but, yeah.</p> <p>19 Q. Mesh erosion is a well-known risk of any 20 mesh surgery using -- withdrawn.</p> <p>21 Mesh erosion is a well-known risk of any mesh 22 surgery, correct?</p> <p>23 A. Yeah, but, again, it's going to depend 24 upon which -- you are talking anti-incontinence</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 278	Page 280
<p>1 procedure, prolapse, transabdominal, robotic. There is 2 going to be different risks, severity of the risk of 3 frequency, but, yes, I agree with you.</p> <p>4 Q. You mentioned urinary dysfunction this 5 morning in some of your answers to Mr. Slater; do you 6 recall that?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>8 Q. Urinary dysfunction can be a complication 9 of numerous prolapse surgeries other than with a 10 Prolift®, correct?</p> <p>11 A. Again, as I've mentioned, severity, 12 frequency, ability to treat it is going to be 13 different, but it can occur.</p> <p>14 Q. In fact, a woman can have voiding 15 dysfunction just from a prolapse in her bladder, 16 correct?</p> <p>17 A. That can occur. It's relatively rare, 18 but, yes, it can occur.</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: Mr. Slater, during the course 20 of my examination we have sought clarification 21 for the agreement that you say exists regarding 22 payments to witnesses and the feedback that 23 we've gotten -- that I've gotten is that my 24 line of question is perfectly appropriate.</p>	<p>1 at the questioning in the other depositions. 2 MR. ISMAIL: Wait. So you are saying that 3 in our examination of Dr. Weber we agreed not 4 to ask Dr. Weber --</p> <p>5 MR. SLATER: Total amount she was paid 6 outside the case, yes. She was only asked 7 about what she was paid in this case.</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: And the agreement was in 9 exchange for what?</p> <p>10 MR. SLATER: We would do the same with 11 your experts.</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: But did you ask our experts 13 about how much they were paid.</p> <p>14 MR. SLATER: I didn't. 15 Yeah, in this case.</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: No, no, in other cases.</p> <p>17 MR. TOMASELLI: Ms. Baldwin.</p> <p>18 MR. SLATER: Well, I don't know what to 19 tell you about that. Someone should have 20 objected, but, you know, I can just tell you 21 that --</p> <p>22 MR. ISMAIL: Okay. So --</p> <p>23 MR. SLATER: I don't know why you are 24 shaking your head. This is the agreement. If</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 279</p> <p>1 MR. SLATER: Who did you speak to? You 2 want to do this on the record?</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: Do I want to -- say what now?</p> <p>4 MR. SLATER: Do you want to have this 5 conversation on the record?</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: I'm telling you that I'm --</p> <p>7 MR. SLATER: Who did you talk to?</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: We've been doing it by 9 e-mail.</p> <p>10 MR. SLATER: With who?</p> <p>11 MR. ISMAIL: With the -- I think you 12 called them national folks.</p> <p>13 MR. SLATER: No, the national folks 14 weren't in the room when it was made so --</p> <p>15 MR. ISMAIL: Well, who -- okay, then 16 perhaps.</p> <p>17 MR. SLATER: It was during the deposition 18 of Dr. Weber, the Tucker Ellis lawyers.</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: That what?</p> <p>20 MR. SLATER: Look, I don't know what 21 they're telling you so --</p> <p>22 MR. ISMAIL: Wait a minute.</p> <p>23 MR. SLATER: That was what was agreed and 24 look at what they questioned Dr. Weber on, look</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 281</p> <p>1 she asked a question like that, maybe someone 2 in the room could have said to her, hey, did 3 you forget about the deal? And then she -- if 4 she forgot she would have said okay, but I'm 5 not going to change, okay.</p> <p>6 Dr. Elliott didn't prepare to talk about 7 total amounts he was paid and that's not what 8 we're going to get into today. That was the 9 agreement in this litigation. In the 10 conversations I was in and with the experts I'm 11 handling, that's how it's been done. If 12 Ms. Baldwin went beyond because she forgot, 13 someone on your side should have been awake and 14 said, hey, we have an agreement, and I'm sure 15 she would have said, oh, I forgot.</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Or that's not the agreement.</p> <p>17 MR. SLATER: I think that it clearly was. 18 Did you look at Dr. Weber's transcript?</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: I actually have had a chance 20 to read Dr. Weber's transcript.</p> <p>21 MR. SLATER: Did you see what she was 22 asked about?</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: I know what she was asked 24 about. Whether Dr. Weber was asked or not does</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 282	Page 284
<p>1 not make it an agreement.</p> <p>2 MR. SLATER: Was it placed on the record,</p> <p>3 on the transcript or was it just agreed with me</p> <p>4 and Mr. Moriarity and he's not telling you what</p> <p>5 we talked about? I mean, you think he didn't</p> <p>6 ask her about what she's been paid in total</p> <p>7 because he didn't feel like it?</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: So I'm just --</p> <p>9 MR. SLATER: I know for a fact we made</p> <p>10 this agreement.</p> <p>11 MR. ISMAIL: Okay.</p> <p>12 MR. SLATER: So I'm not going to change my</p> <p>13 position because when I make a deal with</p> <p>14 somebody, I abide by it and I expect them too</p> <p>15 also and not send two new lawyers in to pretend</p> <p>16 they didn't know about it.</p> <p>17 MR. ISMAIL: Okay. We have --</p> <p>18 Mr. Moriarity is one of the lawyers with whom</p> <p>19 we checked.</p> <p>20 MR. SLATER: He is the one I reached the</p> <p>21 deal with so I will be happy to speak to him</p> <p>22 directly.</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: Terrific. So my reference</p> <p>24 to --</p>	<p>1 Dr. Elliott to get what would be bias</p> <p>2 information because you don't want to do it</p> <p>3 now, and if you're right, then it doesn't get</p> <p>4 played to the jury so you are not prejudiced.</p> <p>5 MR. SLATER: We're not doing it. In fact,</p> <p>6 if you talk to national counsel in the MDL you</p> <p>7 will find that is the agreement throughout the</p> <p>8 national litigation on both sides.</p> <p>9 Have you spoken to them?</p> <p>10 MR. ISMAIL: Who is the national counsel</p> <p>11 in the MDL?</p> <p>12 MR. SLATER: Butler Snow.</p> <p>13 MR. ISMAIL: Yeah, we've checked with them</p> <p>14 too.</p> <p>15 MR. SLATER: And there's -- in the MDL</p> <p>16 people are not limiting it to the amount you</p> <p>17 were paid in that case?</p> <p>18 Judge Goodman ruled that when a witness</p> <p>19 testifies in these trials it's not to be asked</p> <p>20 about.</p> <p>21 MR. ISMAIL: I understand, but the rules</p> <p>22 in Pennsylvania are different.</p> <p>23 MR. SPECTER: Actually, counsel, the rules</p> <p>24 in Pennsylvania are informed by Maughan versus</p>
Page 283	Page 285
<p>1 MR. SLATER: Want to take a break and put</p> <p>2 him on the telephone?</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: Jesus, can I actually finish</p> <p>4 my statement?</p> <p>5 MR. SLATER: I don't know, can you?</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: You keep interrupting me.</p> <p>7 MR. SLATER: Sorry.</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: So our understanding of what</p> <p>9 you describe as a deal regarding expert</p> <p>10 payments and bias is different. Your</p> <p>11 colleagues in this litigation have not acted as</p> <p>12 if there is an agreement to that issue. You</p> <p>13 have asked and your team has asked those</p> <p>14 questions so we don't think your standing on</p> <p>15 some blanket objection to covering this with</p> <p>16 Dr. Elliott is appropriate and to the extent</p> <p>17 you are correct and some time down the line the</p> <p>18 Court agrees with you, then that won't get</p> <p>19 played, but we're all here on a Saturday to</p> <p>20 accommodate Dr. Elliott's schedule --</p> <p>21 MR. SLATER: We're not doing it.</p> <p>22 MR. ISMAIL: -- and the appropriate thing</p> <p>23 to do is to let him answer the question so that</p> <p>24 we don't have to reconvene testimony of</p>	<p>1 Hahnemann, which I suggest you read.</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: I did check the rules on</p> <p>3 whether bias can be -- and whether a witness</p> <p>4 has been -- has received a significant amount</p> <p>5 of income testifying on behalf of a certain</p> <p>6 side, that information is relevant and goes to</p> <p>7 the jury.</p> <p>8 So I'm offering these observations and</p> <p>9 inviting you to do the sensible thing here and</p> <p>10 let the witness answer and we can fuss later</p> <p>11 what gets played to the jury. If we're right,</p> <p>12 it gets played; if you're right, it doesn't get</p> <p>13 played.</p> <p>14 MR. SLATER: We abide by our agreements,</p> <p>15 nor do we fabricate different agreements.</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Okay.</p> <p>17 MR. SLATER: I once heard someone say</p> <p>18 that.</p> <p>19 MR. ISMAIL: So for the purposes of</p> <p>20 preserving my record, you're going to instruct</p> <p>21 Dr. Elliott to refuse to answer any questions</p> <p>22 about the amount of money he has been paid,</p> <p>23 other than the time relating to Ms. Hammons,</p> <p>24 correct?</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 286	Page 288
<p>1 MR. SLATER: Exactly, because that's the 2 agreement we have in this litigation.</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: All right. And so no matter 4 how I phrase the question as to the amount of 5 money that Dr. Elliott has been paid by the 6 plaintiffs to testify against Ethicon in 7 particular or other manufacturers, you are 8 going to instruct him not to answer, correct?</p> <p>9 MR. SLATER: If you ask him beyond 10 Hammons, he's not going to answer.</p> <p>11 MR. ISMAIL: When did he begin working on 12 Hammons, so I know how to phrase the question?</p> <p>13 MR. SLATER: I have no idea. Why don't 14 you ask him?</p> <p>15 MR. ISMAIL: Well, I don't think he knows 16 either.</p> <p>17 As of what date are you going to let him 18 answer the question?</p> <p>19 MR. SLATER: Why don't you ask him "how 20 much money have you been paid in this case to 21 your knowledge," and he will do his best to 22 answer the question.</p> <p>23 MR. SPECTER: You are talking about the 24 Hammons case, Adam?</p>	<p>1 frequency and ability to treat is going to be different 2 between each procedure.</p> <p>3 Q. So the answer to that is yes?</p> <p>4 A. Well, again, I have to -- I can't just 5 give a yes or no because it's dependent upon each 6 specific procedure. Sacrospinous ligament fixation is 7 different than uterosacral, it's different than 8 anterior colporrhaphy and posterior colporrhaphy.</p> <p>9 Q. So let's focus on the colporrhaphy 10 procedure. Those are the native tissue surgeries 11 that -- some of the older surgeries that were used to 12 treat a prolapse, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. You were aware -- withdrawn.</p> <p>15 You acknowledge that women with -- who have 16 anterior colporrhaphy can suffer from pain with sexual 17 intercourse after they've had the surgery, correct?</p> <p>18 A. Again, with the issue of the severity, 19 frequency and ability to treat it, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. During your residency you were aware that 21 there was a potential risk of painful sexual 22 intercourse with colporrhaphy surgeries, correct?</p> <p>23 A. I don't know. We're going back a long 24 time there. I didn't learn much in residency on</p>
Page 287	Page 289
<p>1 MR. SLATER: Yeah, in the Hammons case.</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: I suspect we're going on -- 3 never mind. Okay. We can go back on the 4 record.</p> <p>5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Never off.</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: We have been on the record 7 this whole time?</p> <p>8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.</p> <p>9 MR. ISMAIL: Excellent. Glad all that was 10 on the record.</p> <p>11 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Now we can go back with the 13 questioning, Doctor.</p> <p>14 Among the specific risks that are well known 15 with any pelvic floor surgery is the risk of 16 dyspareunia following the surgery, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Again, as I've mentioned, the severity, 18 frequency and ability to treat is going to be different 19 between the procedures, but there is a known risk with 20 each procedure.</p> <p>21 Q. During your fellowship you were aware that 22 there was a risk of dyspareunia with prolapse surgeries 23 you were being trained on, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Again, as I mentioned, severity and</p>	<p>1 prolapse, that's why I did a fellowship.</p> <p>2 Q. All right.</p> <p>3 A. So I can't speak with accuracy of what I 4 knew then. Fellowship is a different story.</p> <p>5 Q. Let me rephrase my question so -- to make 6 it easier for you.</p> <p>7 During your medical training you were aware 8 that there was a potential risk of dyspareunia, painful 9 intercourse with colporrhaphy surgeries, true?</p> <p>10 A. Again, I was aware of that issue 11 occurring, but, again, the severity, frequency and 12 ability to treat it is going to be different, but, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. When it comes to posterior colporrhaphy 14 the risk of painful sexual intercourse is actually 15 higher than with the anterior repair, correct?</p> <p>16 A. You can have papers saying both ways as 17 far as higher and lower, depending upon are you doing a 18 spot repair, are you doing a standard plication, are 19 you using -- so, again, if you compare anterior versus 20 posterior, posterior is going to have a potentially 21 higher risk.</p> <p>22 Q. Now, there are many factors that can lead 23 to dyspareunia, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Multifactorial is a correct answer, yes.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 290	Page 292
<p>1 Q. There are many different things that have 2 to be and should be considered when evaluating a woman 3 for dyspareunia, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Multiple factors should be considered, 5 yes, that's true.</p> <p>6 Q. We talked earlier about the fact that 7 women can have dyspareunia from a prolapse itself, 8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. That can happen. It's going to be a 10 different type of dyspareunia but dyspareunia, again, 11 it's a generic term. We're talking if they have a 12 major vault prolapse, they are going to have a 13 different level of discomfort than a sacrospinous 14 fixation or more specific prolapse.</p> <p>15 Q. Vaginal atrophy can lead to dyspareunia, 16 correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yeah, and usually it's treatable or 18 reducible.</p> <p>19 Q. One of the -- and just so we explain to 20 the jury what we mean by vaginal atrophy, one of the 21 things that can occur as a result of menopause is that 22 the woman doesn't make as much estrogen following 23 menopause, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p>	<p>1 If you just took a generic hysterectomy, can 2 dyspareunia be associated with that? To some extent 3 the answer to that is yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Now, let me ask it this way: You would 5 agree that there's a background rate of women who have 6 dyspareunia who have never had any prolapse surgery, 7 correct?</p> <p>8 A. That is correct, there is a given 9 percentage that probably increases with age, but, 10 again, we don't know the severity of that and ability 11 to treat it.</p> <p>12 Q. The question of whether dyspareunia is 13 associated with prolapse surgery, is something that has 14 been evaluated in randomized controlled clinical 15 trials, correct?</p> <p>16 A. Off the top of my head I can't think of 17 the study that has looked at that, but, yeah, I mean, 18 that is a very -- or it should be a very common thing 19 to look at.</p> <p>20 Q. You are aware, Doctor, for your work in 21 this litigation that randomized controlled clinical 22 trials have considered whether patients who are 23 surgically -- had prolapse surgically repaired develop 24 dyspareunia, correct?</p>
Page 291	Page 293
<p>1 Q. And the decline or decrease in estrogen 2 can lead to vaginal atrophy, correct?</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. And vaginal atrophy is something that is 5 associated with menopause, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. And vaginal atrophy is a condition that 8 women have that can progress or get worse as women age, 9 correct?</p> <p>10 A. If left untreated, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. A vaginal hysterectomy carries the risk of 12 dyspareunia, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Yeah. Again, it depends upon the 14 condition being treated. If it's a uterine prolapse, 15 dyspareunia goes -- or is reduced. If it's for some 16 other reason, it could be increased. So, again, we 17 have to look at the specifics.</p> <p>18 Q. I just want to make sure you have my 19 question in mind because I'm not sure -- it seemed like 20 you are answering a different question.</p> <p>21 The question is, Doctor, a vaginal hysterectomy 22 carries the risk of dyspareunia, true?</p> <p>23 A. Yeah, I was being -- I was being more 24 specific as the cause, the etiology of the prolapse.</p>	<p>1 MR. SLATER: Objection.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Correct, I would want to 3 look at those specific studies because you have 4 to look at how they are framed, but there are 5 studies out there. I think Lowman, et.al. 6 perhaps is the name. There's going to be 7 others.</p> <p>8 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>9 Q. I'm not referring to a specific article 10 now, Doctor, I'm just asking whether you are aware, as 11 part of your work in this case, that randomized 12 controlled clinical trials, some of them, have looked 13 at whether a patient who had a surgical repair of 14 prolapse developed dyspareunia?</p> <p>15 MR. SLATER: Objection to this, vague 16 types of questioning. Subject to tie up, you 17 can answer it.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: You know, looking at the 19 totality of studies out there, yeah, there are 20 studies out there which dyspareunia is a 21 component what they look at. If you are 22 looking at one specifically on dyspareunia and 23 long term, those are going to be fewer.</p> <p>24 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 294</p> <p>1 Q. You're aware that there are randomized 2 controlled clinical studies that have compared the 3 development of dyspareunia following surgery with a 4 group of patients who have had a Prolift® and a group 5 of patients who had native tissue repair?</p> <p>6 A. Those studies have been done, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. And what those studies allow you to do is 8 see whether -- which group of patients developed 9 dyspareunia and at what rates, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Yes and no. During that study period, 11 yes, but it doesn't say anything beyond that.</p> <p>12 Q. Then let me rephrase.</p> <p>13 One of the things that randomized controlled 14 clinical studies can do in this context that we've been 15 discussing is see, for example, whether during the 16 study period more patients who had the native tissue 17 surgery developed dyspareunia compared to the Prolift®, 18 correct?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, as you phrased it there, during the 20 study period, I agree with you.</p> <p>21 Q. And you are familiar that those kinds of 22 studies have been done comparing Prolift® to native 23 tissue surgery, true?</p> <p>24 A. There have been several studies out there</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 296</p> <p>1 A. Yeah. Again, we have -- I need to see 2 specifics, but in a very general sense that has been 3 reported during that study period. I can't speak to 4 afterwards though.</p> <p>5 Q. You earlier, Doctor, read some portion 6 of -- withdrawn.</p> <p>7 You made some -- withdrawn.</p> <p>8 As you come here today having considered the 9 information that you've described for us earlier with 10 respect to the Prolift® or the Gynemesh® you have not 11 seen any study that has shown a dyspareunia rate of 60% 12 in women using the Prolift®, true?</p> <p>13 A. 60%? I mean, I'm not going to be --</p> <p>14 Q. That's the number you used earlier in your 15 testimony which is why I asked.</p> <p>16 MR. SLATER: Objection, 17 mischaracterization and foundation.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'd have to see what I 19 said. I don't know what we're -- it's been a 20 long day so I don't recall those specifics. 21 I'd have to see what I said.</p> <p>22 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>23 Q. Then let's clarify.</p> <p>24 As you sit here now, Doctor, you are not trying</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 295</p> <p>1 along those lines, yeah.</p> <p>2 Q. Certain randomized controlled clinical 3 studies have also assessed whether patients reported an 4 improvement in sexual function following prolapse 5 surgery, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Again, I'd want to see the specific study 7 we're referring to.</p> <p>8 Q. I'm just asking about your awareness of 9 the body of scientific information when you came to 10 testify today.</p> <p>11 A. I'm aware of many studies looking at many 12 things, but each study has to be analyzed very 13 specifically.</p> <p>14 Q. I'm just asking generally, Doctor, whether 15 you're aware whether there are randomized controlled 16 clinical studies that have examined whether women have 17 reported improvements in sexual function following 18 prolapse surgery?</p> <p>19 A. Yeah, there are studies out there that 20 looked at sexual function following surgery, whether 21 they improve or are worsened.</p> <p>22 Q. And you're aware, Doctor, that certain 23 women report improvement in sexual function following 24 surgery with a Prolift®, right?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 297</p> <p>1 to suggest to the jury that there are studies that 2 report a 60% dyspareunia rate with Prolift®, are you?</p> <p>3 A. I'm not prepared -- without looking at the 4 literature, I can't say one way or the other it was 5 60%, no.</p> <p>6 Q. I want to make -- I think we had a double 7 negative in there.</p> <p>8 You agree, as you sit here today, you are not 9 suggesting to the jury that there are studies reporting 10 a 60% dyspareunia rate with Prolift®, true?</p> <p>11 A. Yeah, right now as I sit here, I can't 12 recall that study.</p> <p>13 Q. And, Doctor, you're aware of randomized 14 controlled clinical studies that have shown during the 15 study period that Prolift® has no higher rate of 16 dyspareunia compared to native tissue surgery, true?</p> <p>17 A. Well, again --</p> <p>18 MR. SLATER: Objection.</p> <p>19 MR. SPECTER: Pardon me, counsel.</p> <p>20 MR. SLATER: Objection.</p> <p>21 MR. SPECTER: Let me just interpose an 22 objection if I may, counsel. You have several 23 times now made reference to literature without 24 showing it to the witness, without asking if</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 298	Page 300
<p>1 it's authoritative. That can't be evaluated by 2 the witness or by opposing counsel so I object 3 to all those questions, including that past 4 one, for that reason.</p> <p>5 MR. SLATER: That was part of my objection 6 previously too, when I asked about tie up 7 because I don't think it's appropriate.</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: Well, first of all, I'm not 9 sure who is objecting and who isn't anymore 10 but --</p> <p>11 MR. SPECTER: We both were.</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: Clearly.</p> <p>13 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>14 Q. Doctor, here is my question and if you 15 tell me you don't know, then you tell me you don't 16 know.</p> <p>17 Are you aware of randomized controlled clinical 18 trials that have shown that for the study period 19 Prolift® was not associated with an increased risk of 20 dyspareunia?</p> <p>21 MR. SLATER: Objection, same reasons 22 previously stated and --</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: Again --</p> <p>24 MR. SLATER: And one second -- and we're</p>	<p>1 A. 539, Line 4. I'm there. 2 Q. Sorry, Line 23. 3 A. Oh, I'm sorry. 23, yes. 4 Q. "Question: And as reported in the 5 studies, am I correct that there has been no difference 6 or no showing among the studies we've talked about to 7 suggest that Prolift® has a higher rate of dyspareunia 8 than the native tissue?" 9 Answer: I agree with -- as you stated that 10 question, I agree with the caveat as I mentioned 11 before." 12 And then you were asked to answer that question 13 yes or no. 14 And at Line 13 you said, I agree with you as 15 stated, yes. 16 Is that your sworn testimony? 17 A. That's what I state there. I don't know 18 what studies we're referring to. 19 Q. So you can put that aside, Doctor, and let 20 me ask it this way: without reference to the testimony, 21 do you now recall, Doctor, that there are randomized 22 controlled clinical trials that have demonstrated for 23 the study period that Prolift® is not associated with 24 an increased rate of dyspareunia compared to native</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 299</p> <p>1 going to move to strike all these questions at 2 the appropriate time because they're 3 inappropriate.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Again, this is very 5 frustrating for me because I need to see these 6 papers and whenever I bring up a paper's name, 7 you move to strike it and so now when you are 8 asking, I ask for the paper and so I can't see 9 it. So I need to look at the paper, the 10 quality of the paper and let's discuss each 11 paper.</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike as 13 nonresponsive.</p> <p>14 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>15 Q. You can't answer my question, Doctor?</p> <p>16 A. I just did. I can't -- you are correct, 17 as you are phrasing it, I can't. I want to see those 18 papers.</p> <p>19 Q. All right. Do you have your testimony 20 that you gave on March 4, 2015, sir?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>22 Q. Page 539, Line 24.</p> <p>23 A. 539.</p> <p>24 Q. Yes, sir.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 301</p> <p>1 tissue surgeries?</p> <p>2 A. Again, I was very specific with that 3 testimony and being consistent, you know, there are a 4 lot of clarifiers you have on there. During the study 5 period, randomized control, I would want to see those 6 studies. We can talk about each one individually, but 7 that's what I stated on March 4. I stand by that.</p> <p>8 Q. My question is different, Doctor. I'm not 9 asking with regard to the testimony. I'm asking about 10 your recollection now.</p> <p>11 A. Okay.</p> <p>12 Q. My ques -- my purpose was to refresh your 13 recollection, okay?</p> <p>14 A. Okay.</p> <p>15 Q. So here's my question: Do you recall, as 16 you sit here today, that there are randomized 17 controlled clinical studies that have shown for the 18 study period that Prolift® is not associated with an 19 increased risk of dyspareunia compared to native 20 tissues?</p> <p>21 MR. SLATER: Objection, it's the same 22 objection. And I just want to say one other 23 thing, I've looked at the testimony now, your 24 foundation is -- it's a mischaracterization and</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 302</p> <p>1 lack of foundation for this line of questioning 2 about RCTs versus the testimony you read. You 3 should look at the line of questioning. It's 4 not based on an RCT, but go ahead.</p> <p>5 MR. ISMAIL: So I will restate my question 6 so you have it in mind.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Well, no --</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: No, I will and to address 9 Mr. Slater, I have the option of refreshing the 10 witness' recollection without showing the 11 testimony and that's what this question is, 12 okay?</p> <p>13 MR. SLATER: Without showing the 14 testimony?</p> <p>15 MR. ISMAIL: Yes, on the screen to the 16 jury, that's what refreshing recollection is. 17 You don't publish it to the jury. So which 18 I --</p> <p>19 MR. SLATER: No, I'm just telling you that 20 what you did was, in my opinion, inappropriate 21 and a mischaracterization of what actually was 22 going on there.</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: I got your question -- I got 24 your objection, so here's my question.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 304</p> <p>1 Q. And when we talk about statistical 2 significance in clinical research, that is a process by 3 which you say is the observation we're looking at 4 potentially by chance or is it -- you know, fairly 5 represent what the outcomes with the treatment being 6 offered, correct?</p> <p>7 A. Correct, if it's by chance or if it's a 8 real finding.</p> <p>9 Q. And your last answer was -- withdrawn. 10 One second. Let's break for one minute.</p> <p>11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. 2:52 12 and we are off the record.</p> <p>13 (Brief recess.)</p> <p>14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:16 and we 15 are back on the record.</p> <p>16 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>17 Q. Dr. Elliott, you were just asked some 18 questions about whether or not one can attribute 19 complications to a Prolift® where a woman has issues 20 after a Prolift® surgery, do you remember you were 21 asked about that by defense counsel a while back?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. If a patient has a mesh erosion, are you 24 able to say, just knowing that, that the Prolift® is a</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 303</p> <p>1 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>2 Q. Doctor, without reference to the 3 testimony, let me start over, okay. You can put it 4 aside.</p> <p>5 As you sit here today, sir, do you have a 6 recollection that there are randomized controlled 7 clinical studies that have shown for the study period 8 that Prolift® is not associated with an increased 9 increase of dyspareunia compared to native tissue 10 surgeries?</p> <p>11 A. Okay. With my hands being somewhat tied, 12 because I can't look at these studies, I do have a 13 recollection of there being studies, in the short term, 14 that can show it being equivocal or not statistically 15 different between Prolift® and the native repairs.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And when you say "not statistically 17 different" in your last answer, just so that the jury 18 is clear, researchers perform a statistical 19 significance test often when doing clinical research, 20 correct?</p> <p>21 MR. SLATER: Objection, 22 mischaracterization, lack of foundation.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: Correct.</p> <p>24 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 305</p> <p>1 factor in that complication?</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, incomplete 3 hypothetical.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>5 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>6 Q. And why is that?</p> <p>7 A. Without mesh there would be no erosion.</p> <p>8 Q. If a patient has mesh contraction and that 9 is causing symptoms, are you able to say that the mesh 10 and the Prolift® itself is a part of a factor in 11 causing that complication?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, without mesh there's no contraction.</p> <p>13 Q. During the questioning by defense counsel 14 you were asked several questions about the risks of the 15 Prolift® through the vagina versus the other types of 16 surgery, for example, abdominal sacrocolpopexy, and I 17 think you were trying to draw some distinctions. I'd 18 like to give you an opportunity now to explain what the 19 distinctions are in terms of the various complications 20 or issues that can arise from these different 21 surgeries?</p> <p>22 A. Okay. Just in general?</p> <p>23 Q. Sure.</p> <p>24 MR. ISMAIL: Objection to the narrative.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 306	Page 308
<p>1 THE WITNESS: You have to look at the -- 2 what is done during the two procedures, Number 3 one, abdominal versus going through the vagina, 4 so the risk of contamination of the mesh is 5 going to be different. You have to look at the 6 shape of the mesh. 7 There are no arms for sacrocolpopexy, not 8 going through any muscles, so you can't have 9 that contraction pulling on muscles. 10 You can get the mesh to lay flat because, 11 again, it's not being pulled like we talked 12 about earlier with the mesh arms. 13 The volume of mesh is significantly 14 different, like when we showed -- when I picked 15 up the mesh. In general, those are the 16 specifics. 17 BY MR. SLATER: 18 Q. You were asked by defense counsel if there 19 are some patients who have had some improvements in 20 their quality of life and you acknowledged, yes, some 21 patients have had improvement with the Prolift®. 22 Do you remember that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Have there been patients who have had</p>	<p>1 continue to be done. 2 Q. Is anybody performing Prolifts® today? 3 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, 403, subsequent 4 remedial measure. 5 THE WITNESS: No. 6 BY MR. SLATER: 7 Q. You were asked about studies, RCTs in 8 particular that study dyspareunia. 9 Are you familiar with the fact that in the 10 Altman RCT they found a 7% de novo dyspareunia rate 11 with the Prolift® and only 2% with colporrhaphy? 12 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, leading. 13 THE WITNESS: That's what they state in 14 the report, yes. 15 BY MR. SLATER: 16 Q. You were asked if there were some women 17 who report improvement in sexual function after the 18 Prolift®? 19 A. Correct. 20 Q. Are there some women who report quite 21 different results with their sexual function after the 22 Prolift®? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. For example?</p>
<p>1 complications with the Prolift®? 2 A. Oh, yes, yeah. 3 Q. Have there been patients who have had 4 severe life-changing complications with the Prolift®? 5 A. Yeah. 6 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, lack of 7 foundation, repeating direct. 8 THE WITNESS: Devastating complications. 9 BY MR. SLATER: 10 Q. You were asked multiple questions about 11 suture surgeries and suture repairs. 12 Do suture surgeries have mesh-related risks? 13 A. No. 14 Q. You were asked a question a few minutes 15 ago and I think counsel said something about older 16 procedures that were used to treat prolapse and he 17 mentioned colporrhaphy I think a few minutes ago. 18 Is colporrhaphy done today? 19 A. It's the most common procedure done today. 20 Q. So it's not an older procedure in the 21 sense that it's something people used to do but don't 22 do anymore; is that fair? 23 A. No, it's considered what we say is the 24 traditional surgery, been done for many years and will</p>	<p>1 A. Worsening, devastated or gone, that's what 2 I see in my clinic. 3 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, move to strike. 4 BY MR. SLATER: 5 Q. Doctor, do you have handy the transcript 6 that counsel asked you about from March 4, 2015? 7 A. Yes, I have it right here. 8 Q. What I'm going to do is go back and look 9 at it a little bit and let's see what you were actually 10 asked about at that time. And if you look at Page 536, 11 Line 9, the article that was identified -- 12 A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, let me just get 13 there. 14 Q. Sure. Page 436, Line 9, the article that 15 was identified is the Lowman article? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. You know that study, you are familiar with 18 that? 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay. 21 MR. SLATER: I'm sorry, didn't you 22 question him about it, sir? 23 MR. ISMAIL: No, I didn't question him 24 about 536. I was his own transcript and asking</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 310	Page 312
<p>1 him a question about it, and said here's a 2 statement of him.</p> <p>3 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>4 Q. If you read forward, and you can scan 5 forward from Page 536 where it was identified and if 6 you get to this testimony you were actually asked about 7 by defense counsel, Page 539, Page 540, that's all 8 asking about the Lowman article, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, that is all the Lowman article.</p> <p>10 Q. All right. Well, we happen to have that 11 here --</p> <p>12 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p> <p>13 MR. SLATER: And here it is, PLT302. Here 14 you go, counsel.</p> <p>15 MR. ISMAIL: Thank you.</p> <p>16 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>17 Q. And I'm just going to try to do this 18 fairly quickly. This is the published article where 19 they in the results say there was a de novo rate of 20 dyspareunia of 16.7%.</p> <p>21 You see that?</p> <p>22 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: Correct, that's what they 24 state.</p>	<p>1 abstract I just handed to you.</p> <p>2 A. Yeah, no, and I can say it was presented 3 at the GYN surgeons meeting in 2008. Just so we're 4 clear what I'm reading here, under conclusion, "The 5 Prolift® procedure may be associated with a high (24%) 6 de novo dyspareunia rate..."</p> <p>7 Q. So when they presented it originally they 8 said 24%, a high rate, and then when they published 9 they went down to 16.7%?</p> <p>10 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, leading, improper 11 disclosure, hearsay.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: That is correct.</p> <p>13 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>14 Q. And in the article if you turn to page e5?</p> <p>15 A. Okay, I'm there.</p> <p>16 Q. And in the center column, if you just read 17 through it, they assess dyspareunia by two different 18 methods, by a validated questionnaire versus a chart 19 review.</p> <p>20 MR. ISMAIL: Objection.</p> <p>21 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>22 Q. Do you see that?</p> <p>23 MR. ISMAIL: I'm sorry. Objection, 24 hearsay.</p>
Page 311	Page 313
<p>1 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>2 Q. Now, let's look at Exhibit PLT1096, which 3 is the abstract that predated the published article. 4 And in the abstract look at the conclusion --</p> <p>5 MR. ISMAIL: Sorry. Objection, hearsay 6 and this is not a material that Dr. Elliott 7 disclosed. It's beyond the scope of his 8 disclosure so it's improper.</p> <p>9 MR. SLATER: Okay. Well, you brought it 10 up.</p> <p>11 MR. ISMAIL: No, I didn't actually, but go 12 ahead. The objection is hearsay and improper 13 disclosure of material.</p> <p>14 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>15 Q. Doctor, the conclusion to the abstract by 16 Lowman about whether the Prolift® causes dyspareunia, 17 just read for me the first sentence, please --</p> <p>18 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay.</p> <p>19 MR. SLATER: -- of the conclusion.</p> <p>20 MR. ISMAIL: Improper disclosure.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: The abstract which was 22 presented at the --</p> <p>23 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>24 Q. I'm not -- Doctor, I'm talking about the</p>	<p>1 THE WITNESS: Yes, and a telephone 2 interview.</p> <p>3 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>4 Q. And, ultimately, if you read through this 5 they say they ultimately chose the chart review, which 6 gave them the 16.7% rate instead of the validated 7 questionnaires that they reported at 24%, didn't they?</p> <p>8 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, leading and 9 hearsay.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: That's what they state in 11 there, yes.</p> <p>12 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>13 Q. These validated questionnaires, these are 14 validated through professional societies and academics 15 and people who know a lot in this field; aren't they?</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, leading, hearsay.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes.</p> <p>18 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Now, you were asked a bunch of 20 questions by counsel about the use of polypropylene to 21 treat pelvic conditions, you remember he asked you 22 about that, it's been used in a lot of products by 23 different ways?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 314	Page 316
<p>1 Q. And he asked you about Bard Marlex; do you 2 remember that?</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. Are you familiar with the Bard Avaulta?</p> <p>5 A. Oh, yes.</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, beyond the scope.</p> <p>7 I didn't ask him anything about Marlex.</p> <p>8 MR. SLATER: You mentioned it.</p> <p>9 MR. ISMAIL: No, I didn't. He did. He 10 misunderstood my question.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: No, I did not misunderstand. 12 I understood it, but I did bring it up.</p> <p>13 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>14 Q. Remember you were asked by counsel about 15 Marlex and that that was one of the materials used to 16 treat patients?</p> <p>17 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, actually misstates 18 the record, beyond the scope.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: I remember the discussion.</p> <p>20 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>21 Q. You were asked about the use of mesh 22 transvaginally?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. All right. And one of the ways that's</p>	<p>1 with internal body fluids or tissues."</p> <p>2 Q. And then what does it say in the next --</p> <p>3 MR. ISMAIL: Objection --</p> <p>4 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>5 Q. -- paragraph?</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: I'm sorry. Objection, 403, 7 hearsay, beyond the scope.</p> <p>8 MR. SLATER: Sure.</p> <p>9 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>10 Q. Does it basically say that, again, don't 11 use this polypropylene material in the human body for 12 medical applications?</p> <p>13 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections and now 14 leading.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Yes, but it goes on saying 16 "involving brief or temporary implantation in 17 the human body."</p> <p>18 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And that's -- this is the 20 polypropylene used in one of those mesh devices used 21 transvaginally that counsel asked you about, correct?</p> <p>22 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, leading, hearsay, 23 403, beyond the scope.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: It's one of the meshes used</p>
Page 315	Page 317
<p>1 done -- was done was by the Bard Avaulta, right?</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: Object, leading.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Correct.</p> <p>4 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>5 Q. And I've given you now the MSDS, the 6 Material Safety Data Sheet, for the Marlex material in 7 the Bard Avaulta and on the -- and you've seen this 8 before, right?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, I have.</p> <p>10 Q. Marked as Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit P2402 11 and if you look right on the front page -- let me start 12 again.</p> <p>13 If you look on the front page of this Exhibit 14 P2402, what does it say? There is a medical 15 application caution, what does that say?</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, beyond 17 the scope, not disclosed in this case by the 18 witness.</p> <p>19 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>20 Q. What does that say?</p> <p>21 A. It says "Medical Application Caution: Do 22 not use this Phillips Sumika Polypropylene Company 23 material in medical application involving permanent 24 implantation in the human body or permanent contact</p>	<p>1 in one of the products, yes.</p> <p>2 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Now, you were asked by counsel 4 about conservative treatment of exposure erosion, 5 remember that, counsel asked you a bunch of questions?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you have handy or can you get handy 8 PLT1095, it's the article by Heesakkers and Withagen. 9 I actually have another copy of it here, if it will 10 save time.</p> <p>11 MR. ISMAIL: Which one?</p> <p>12 MR. SLATER: It's the one I gave you at 13 the start of the day today.</p> <p>14 MR. ISMAIL: Thank you.</p> <p>15 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>16 Q. And what I want to do -- this is the 17 article by that urologist that you said you knew from 18 SUFU.</p> <p>19 A. Yeah, John Heesakkers. Not from SUFU, 20 from European Urology Association.</p> <p>21 Q. Ah, sorry. And if we look now at Page 22 1399 of this article which you already testified 23 about --</p> <p>24 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, 403. I</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 318	Page 320
<p>1 didn't ask him about the article, you did. 2 So beyond the scope, 403, hearsay and this 3 is the article that, as we pointed out before, 4 was not disclosed by the witness before today. 5 BY MR. SLATER: 6 Q. Okay. Doctor, during the 7 cross-examination counsel asked you about the efficacy 8 of using conservative treatments to treat mesh 9 erosions; do you remember that? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And if we look at Page 1399 of this 12 article, and you look at the left-hand column, first 13 full paragraph it says, "Mesh-related complications 14 were unsuccessfully treated conservatively with 15 estrogen cream, antibiotics and/or physiotherapy prior 16 to mesh excision in 63% of patients." 17 Is that significant -- 18 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay -- 19 BY MR. SLATER: 20 Q. -- to you? 21 MR. ISMAIL: Sorry. Objection, hearsay, 22 403, improper disclosure. 23 MR. SLATER: You have a standing objection 24 for hearsay, counsel.</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, I do. 2 MR. SPECTER: RCT. 3 BY MR. SLATER: 4 Q. Randomized controlled trials, right? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. That's when they take a few different 7 procedures and they compare them, basically. 8 A. A two-armed study, yes. 9 Q. Okay. And are you -- well, let me hand 10 you this. This is going to be Exhibit 2503. 11 And this is a letter from the FDA to Mr. Brian 12 Kanerviko, a worldwide director of regulatory at 13 Ethicon. 14 You see this? 15 A. Yes, I do. 16 Q. Okay. And you are familiar -- are you 17 familiar or not with the interaction between Ethicon 18 and the FDA regarding the 522 studies? 19 A. Yes, I've read those. 20 Q. Okay. And what I'd like to do is to cut 21 to the chase, let's turn to Page 4 of this letter. 22 MR. ISMAIL: Counsel, if you wouldn't mind 23 giving me a second when you hand me an exhibit 24 to see what it is.</p>
<p>1 MR. ISMAIL: Okay. Thank you. I'm 2 actually adding to the objection, but thank 3 you. Did I get them all? 4 403, improper disclosure, beyond the 5 scope. Thank you. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, it's quite significant. 7 BY MR. SLATER: 8 Q. Why is that? 9 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections. 10 THE WITNESS: Traditionally, and if you 11 look at what I answered in 2012 deposition, is 12 that 50% of these mesh extrusions can be 13 treated conservatively and that's it. 14 Researchers like this Dutch group, along 15 with Abbott, are now saying that 50% of those 16 which are treated conservatively ultimately go 17 on to surgery, and this one actually says 63%, 18 so it's actually a higher percent than Abbott, 19 et.al. 20 BY MR. SLATER: 21 Q. Okay. Now, you were asked a bunch of 22 questions by counsel about RCTs and how many studies 23 there are of the Prolift®; do you remember that 24 questioning?</p>	<p>1 I object to this exhibit as beyond the 2 scope, 403, beyond the time period at issue in 3 this case and potentially subject to a 4 stipulation that you proposed. 5 BY MR. SLATER: 6 Q. In Paragraph 10 of this letter to the FDA 7 I just want to read a little bit and then I'm going to 8 ask you a few questions. It says, "For GYNECARE 9 PROLIFT® Pelvic Floor Repair Systems, you provided 2 10 published articles with the clinical data collected 11 under two randomized controlled trials to satisfy the 12 522 orders. However, these studies do not address 13 several questions in the 522 order." 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes I do. 16 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections and also 17 hearsay. 18 BY MR. SLATER: 19 Q. And just simply, the 522 orders were where 20 the FDA wrote and told Ethicon you need to do some very 21 high level studies in order to prove these are -- this 22 is a safe product, the Prolift®? 23 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections and now with 24 leading.</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 322	Page 324
<p>1 THE WITNESS: Right, it's a response 2 saying there's an application and here's where 3 we have concerns. 4 BY MR. SLATER: 5 Q. And the FDA talks about which two RCTs 6 they're talking about and it's Withagen and Altman, 7 correct? 8 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, leading, hearsay. 9 403. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 BY MR. SLATER: 12 Q. Let me ask the question differently. 13 THE COURT REPORTER: One at a time, 14 please. 15 BY MR. SLATER: 16 Q. Rephrase. 17 Which of the two articles, if you look in the 18 body of these two bullet points that the FDA is 19 describing that Ethicon had submitted to try to satisfy 20 the 522? 21 MR. ISMAIL: Just let me make my 22 objections noted which didn't get last time, 23 because it was talked over. 24 Hearsay, 403, beyond the scope and</p>	<p>1 And you've seen this before? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And it says in the letter that the FDA had 4 completed its review of Ethicon's response to the 522 5 order requesting that the study be suspended, and they 6 say, "This request is based on the plan to discontinue 7 manufacture and marketing of the device in the United 8 States within 120 days of the date of your letter. We 9 agree to your request and will place the 522 order on 10 hold until September 7, 2012 with the following 11 conditions:" 12 Is that what the letter says? 13 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, 403, 14 beyond the scope, subsequent remedial measure, 15 improper subject of expert testimony. 16 THE WITNESS: That's what it states. 17 BY MR. SLATER: 18 Q. And the first condition there is "Cease 19 marketing by September 7, 2012." 20 Is that what it says? 21 MR. ISMAIL: Please note the same 22 objections. 23 THE WITNESS: That what it states. 24 BY MR. SLATER:</p>
<p>1 improper disclosure. Thank you. 2 THE WITNESS: Withagen, et.al. and Altman, 3 et.al. 4 BY MR. SLATER: 5 Q. And according to this did the FDA accept 6 those articles as satisfying the FDA's concerns and 7 need for a 522 order, study? 8 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, hearsay, 403, 9 beyond the scope and improper subject for 10 expert testimony. 11 BY MR. SLATER: 12 Q. What did they say at the bottom of that 13 section? It says "Based on these limitations ..." 14 MR. ISMAIL: Same objections. 15 THE WITNESS: To answer your question 16 initially, no, they did not say it was 17 satisfying. And then, "Based on these 18 limitations, the publications provided are not 19 adequate to satisfy the 522 order." 20 BY MR. SLATER: 21 Q. And now I'll hand you exhibit we marked as 22 P2452 and this is a letter from the FDA to Brian 23 Kanerviko, worldwide director regulatory in Ethicon, 24 July 9, 2012.</p>	<p>1 Q. And then just below the conditions, it 2 says, "FDA reminds you that you are obligated, under 3 Section 522 of the act, to complete a postmarket 4 surveillance study of your device to address the issues 5 cited in FDA's letter dated January 3, 2012. 6 Accordingly, you must submit us new study plan to your 7 PS study informing" -- meaning post market surveillance 8 study -- "informing FDA if commercial distribution of 9 your device begins." 10 Is that what the letter says? 11 MR. ISMAIL: Please note the same 12 objections. 13 THE WITNESS: That's what it states, yes. 14 BY MR. SLATER: 15 Q. And is it consistent with your 16 understanding that after Ethicon said they weren't 17 going to do the 522 studies and withdraw the products, 18 that they actually withdrew the Prolift® from the 19 market and no longer sell it? 20 MR. ISMAIL: Objection, leading, 403, 21 beyond the scope, subsequent remedial measure, 22 lack of foundation. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was -- 24 MR. ISMAIL: Sorry. Improper subject for</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 326	Page 328
<p>1 expert testimony. Sorry, Doctor.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: It was pulled from the</p> <p>3 market, yes.</p> <p>4 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike as</p> <p>5 nonresponsive.</p> <p>6 MR. SLATER: No other questions.</p> <p>7 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>8 Q. Doctor, just briefly.</p> <p>9 You were asked -- earlier I showed you your</p> <p>10 sworn testimony from 2012 and you indicated that 50% of</p> <p>11 mesh exposures can be treated conservatively, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. What was the date of the article that</p> <p>14 counsel showed you just now in response to that</p> <p>15 testimony, Exhibit 1095?</p> <p>16 A. Looks like it was published in 2011.</p> <p>17 Q. In the event counsel's question regarding</p> <p>18 the Altman study on redirect -- redirect is allowed, I</p> <p>19 have some follow-up on that provisionally.</p> <p>20 You were asked to -- he provided you what he</p> <p>21 characterized as the data on dyspareunia between</p> <p>22 Prolift® surgery and the native tissue surgery in that</p> <p>23 study, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p>	<p>1 could you repeat your question.</p> <p>2 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>3 Q. Just so everything is clear as to where</p> <p>4 this is coming from, just now, a few minutes ago</p> <p>5 Mr. Slater represented to you certain data from a study</p> <p>6 known as Altman, correct?</p> <p>7 A. Correct.</p> <p>8 Q. He gave you the numbers from that study in</p> <p>9 his question, but would I be fair to assume you didn't</p> <p>10 recall them yourself?</p> <p>11 A. No, I -- no, you are correct, I don't</p> <p>12 recall them, but the Altman study has major issues</p> <p>13 that --</p> <p>14 Q. I didn't bring it up.</p> <p>15 MR. SLATER: Don't interrupt him in the</p> <p>16 middle of the answer, please. Let him finish.</p> <p>17 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>18 Q. Doctor, I just want to make sure --</p> <p>19 MR. SLATER: No, no, hang on, hang on, he</p> <p>20 was talking. Let him finish. He is going to</p> <p>21 finish.</p> <p>22 MR. ISMAIL: Then I will move to strike</p> <p>23 and we try again.</p> <p>24 MR. SLATER: That's fine but you should</p>
Page 327	Page 329
<p>1 Q. And he gave you some data points where</p> <p>2 numerically the rate of dyspareunia was higher with</p> <p>3 Prolift®.</p> <p>4 Do you recall that was the information he gave</p> <p>5 you?</p> <p>6 A. That is correct.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you recall from your own memory, sir,</p> <p>8 that the dyspareunia rate between Prolift® and native</p> <p>9 tissue surgery in that Altman study was not</p> <p>10 statistically significant?</p> <p>11 A. In the Altman study?</p> <p>12 Q. Yes.</p> <p>13 A. I don't have the Altman study in front of</p> <p>14 me. If you are telling me it's statistically equal, I</p> <p>15 have no reason to doubt you.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. So let me ask it this way: When</p> <p>17 you were answering Mr. Slater's questions when he gave</p> <p>18 you data points regarding that study, you did not</p> <p>19 recall, from your own recollection, whether the data he</p> <p>20 was giving you was at all accurate, correct?</p> <p>21 MR. SLATER: Objection. By the way, I</p> <p>22 just want to preserve my objections on this</p> <p>23 line of questioning.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: With -- actually, I'm sorry,</p>	<p>1 let him finish his answer.</p> <p>2 MR. ISMAIL: Okay, okay, calm down.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Point well-taken.</p> <p>4 But as I mentioned earlier, the Altman</p> <p>5 studies have major ethical issues, which I</p> <p>6 questioned the data. But to answer your</p> <p>7 question, I do not recall off the top of my</p> <p>8 head those numbers.</p> <p>9 MR. ISMAIL: Move to strike.</p> <p>10 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>11 Q. Doctor, quite simply, when Mr. Slater</p> <p>12 represented to you what the data were from the Altman</p> <p>13 study, you did not, and still as you sit here now, do</p> <p>14 not know whether that data he gave you was the true</p> <p>15 reported data from that study, correct?</p> <p>16 A. I don't recall those specific numbers out</p> <p>17 of the hundreds of studies I read, no.</p> <p>18 Q. That's fine, and I'm not -- withdrawn.</p> <p>19 And as you sit here today you can't recall</p> <p>20 whether the rate of dyspareunia comparing Prolift® to</p> <p>21 native tissue repair in the Altman study, if there was</p> <p>22 a numerical difference, whether that was statistically</p> <p>23 significant or not, true?</p> <p>24 A. As I recall it was not statistically</p>

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

Page 330	Page 332
<p>1 different.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And so the proper interpretation of</p> <p>3 a study where there are comparison between one surgical</p> <p>4 treatment and another surgical treatment, if it's not</p> <p>5 statistically significant, the proper interpretation of</p> <p>6 that is you would say the study does not show a</p> <p>7 difference for that outcome, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Yeah, the proper way to state it is there</p> <p>9 was a percentage difference but not a statistical</p> <p>10 difference.</p> <p>11 Q. Right.</p> <p>12 And when you say there is not a statistical</p> <p>13 difference, earlier when we were talking about</p> <p>14 statistical significance, that's a way researchers can</p> <p>15 assess whether the observed difference is real or due</p> <p>16 to chance, correct?</p> <p>17 A. That is correct.</p> <p>18 Q. And if there's no statistically</p> <p>19 significant difference, one would conclude that there</p> <p>20 is -- that any observed difference between the two</p> <p>21 groups of patients in this study is potentially due to</p> <p>22 chance, correct?</p> <p>23 A. Correct, during the frame of -- time frame</p> <p>24 of that study, that is correct.</p>	<p>1 study does not show an increased risk of dyspareunia</p> <p>2 comparing Prolift® to native tissue surgery, true?</p> <p>3 MR. SLATER: Same objection.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: As I review any study, not</p> <p>5 just this, not just for this litigation, you</p> <p>6 have to look at the percentage, the true</p> <p>7 numbers and then the statistical significance</p> <p>8 and you cannot -- if they're statistically</p> <p>9 equal, then you have to state that</p> <p>10 statistically they were equal.</p> <p>11 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>12 Q. And that was true with respect to the risk</p> <p>13 of dyspareunia in the Altman study that Mr. Slater gave</p> <p>14 you just now, correct?</p> <p>15 A. That is correct, yes.</p> <p>16 MR. ISMAIL: Thank you. No further</p> <p>17 questions.</p> <p>18 MR. SLATER: Just for the record, make it</p> <p>19 very clear, the questioning on Altman was</p> <p>20 conditional in case any of the vague</p> <p>21 questioning on cross-examination regarding</p> <p>22 studies, without establishing them as being</p> <p>23 authoritative, would be permitted in any way.</p> <p>24 I have no other questions.</p>
<p>1 Q. And in the Altman study, as you've just</p> <p>2 confirmed, where there's no statistically significant</p> <p>3 difference in the outcome of dyspareunia, the proper</p> <p>4 interpretation of that study is that the Altman study</p> <p>5 does not establish -- withdrawn.</p> <p>6 The proper interpretation of the Altman study</p> <p>7 is that there was no statistical difference shown in</p> <p>8 the risk of dyspareunia comparing Prolift® to native</p> <p>9 tissue surgery, true?</p> <p>10 MR. SLATER: Just for the record, I've</p> <p>11 clearly stated an objection to this whole line</p> <p>12 of questioning.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: To answer your question, you</p> <p>14 are correct as it is stated in the document,</p> <p>15 with the reservations I've had as far as the --</p> <p>16 is it a true study.</p> <p>17 BY MR. ISMAIL:</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. But as to the data that Mr. Slater</p> <p>19 gave you, it wasn't -- I didn't give you that data, he</p> <p>20 gave you that data, right?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. And if you were going to interpret the</p> <p>23 data he gave you, where there was an absence of</p> <p>24 statistical significance, you would conclude the Altman</p>	<p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:41 and</p> <p>2 this concludes the videotape deposition of</p> <p>3 Dr. Daniel Elliott.</p> <p>4 (Witness excused.)</p> <p>5 (Mr. Slater leaves the deposition room.)</p> <p>6 MR. ISMAIL: We have requested the</p> <p>7 stenographic record note that the deposition</p> <p>8 remains open due to the instructions not to</p> <p>9 answer. Mr. Slater was advised but was outside</p> <p>10 the deposition room.</p> <p>11 -----</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>
	84 (Pages 330 to 333)

Daniel S. Elliott, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 334</p> <p>1 C E R T I F I C A T I O N</p> <p>2 I, MARGARET M. REIHL, a Registered</p> <p>3 Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime</p> <p>4 Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter,</p> <p>5 Certified LiveNote Reporter and Notary Public,</p> <p>6 do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true</p> <p>7 and accurate transcript of the testimony as</p> <p>8 taken stenographically by and before me at the</p> <p>9 time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set</p> <p>10 forth.</p> <p>11 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am</p> <p>12 neither a relative nor employee nor attorney</p> <p>13 nor counsel of any of the parties to this</p> <p>14 action, and that I am neither a relative nor</p> <p>15 employee of such attorney or counsel, and that</p> <p>16 I am not financially interested in the action.</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19 -----</p> <p>20 Margaret M. Reihl, RPR, CRR, CLR</p> <p>21 CSR #XI01497 Notary Public</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 336</p> <p>1 A C K N O W L E D G M E N T O F D E P O N E N T</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 I, _____, do</p> <p>4 hereby certify that I have read the</p> <p>5 foregoing pages, and that the same</p> <p>6 is a correct transcription of the answers</p> <p>7 given by me to the questions therein</p> <p>8 propounded, except for the corrections or</p> <p>9 changes in form or substance, if any,</p> <p>10 noted in the attached Errata Sheet.</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15 Subscribed and sworn</p> <p>16 to before me this</p> <p>17 ____ day of _____, 20 ____.</p> <p>18 My commission expires: _____</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>
<p style="margin-top: 10px;">Page 335</p> <p>1 -----</p> <p>2 E R R A T A</p> <p>3 -----</p> <p>4 PAGE LINE CHANGE</p> <p>5 REASON: _____</p> <p>6 _____</p> <p>7 REASON: _____</p> <p>8 _____</p> <p>9 REASON: _____</p> <p>10 _____</p> <p>11 REASON: _____</p> <p>12 _____</p> <p>13 REASON: _____</p> <p>14 _____</p> <p>15 REASON: _____</p> <p>16 _____</p> <p>17 REASON: _____</p> <p>18 _____</p> <p>19 REASON: _____</p> <p>20 _____</p> <p>21 REASON: _____</p> <p>22 _____</p> <p>23 REASON: _____</p> <p>24 _____</p>	